Chemical Reviews

Volume 82, Number 2

April 1982

Rate and Equilibrium Studies in Jackson–Meisenheimer Complexes

FRANÇOIS TERRIER

Department of Chemistry, Faculté des Sciences de Rouen, 76130 Mont Saint Aignan, France

Received August 1, 1981

Contents

| Ι. | Int | roduction | 78 | | | | | | | |
|------|--------------------|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Α. | History and Scope | 78 | | | | | | | |
| | в. | General Remarks and Nomenclature | 78 | | | | | | | |
| | C. | Methods of Investigation | 79 | | | | | | | |
| II. | Ox | ygen-Bonded σ Complexes | 79 | | | | | | | |
| | Α. | Basic Mechanisms | 79 | | | | | | | |
| | В. | Hydroxy and Alkoxy Complexes | 80 | | | | | | | |
| | | 1. Activated 1,3,5-Trisubstituted Benzenes | 80 | | | | | | | |
| | | Activated 2,4,6-Trisubstituted 1-Alkoxybenzenes | 86 | | | | | | | |
| | | 1-Substituted Trinitro-, Dinitro-, and Mononitrobenzenes | 97 | | | | | | | |
| | | 4. Naphthalenes | 100 | | | | | | | |
| | | 5. Heterocyclic Substrates | 101 | | | | | | | |
| | | 6. Nonbenzenoid Aromatics | 113 | | | | | | | |
| | C. | Phenoxy Complexes | 114 | | | | | | | |
| | D. | Spiro Complexes | 115 | | | | | | | |
| III. | Su | lfur-Bonded σ Complexes | 118 | | | | | | | |
| | Α. | Sulfite Complexes | 118 | | | | | | | |
| | В. | B. Thiolate Complexes | | | | | | | | |
| | C. | C. Thiophenoxide Complexes | | | | | | | | |
| | D. Spiro Complexes | | | | | | | | | |
| IV. | Nit Co | trogen- and Phosphorus-Bonded σ complexes | | | | | | | | |
| | Α. | Complexes from Aliphatic, Alicyclic, and Aromatic Amines | 123 | | | | | | | |
| | | 1. Rate Equations and Mechanisms: Rate-Limiting Proton Transfer | 123 | | | | | | | |
| | | 2. Effect of Structures on Rates and Equilibria | 128 | | | | | | | |
| | | 3. Proton Transfer Rates | 130 | | | | | | | |
| | | Relevance of the Results to the Mechanism of S_NAr Reactions Involving Amine Nucleophiles | 130 | | | | | | | |
| | В. | Complexes from Heterocyclic Amines | 131 | | | | | | | |



François Terrier was born in Gisors, France. He obtained his Ph.D. in 1968 from the University of Paris and did postdoctoral work with Professor Claude F. Bernasconi at the University of California, Santa Cruz. He became Professor of Chemistry at the University of Rouen in 1974 while keeping the responsibility of a research group at the Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Paris (L.A. CNRS 161). In addition to kinetics, catalysis, and areas described in this article, he is currently interested in proton transfer processes involving carbon acids and in solvent effects on reaction rates and acid-base equilibria.

| | C. Complexes from Phosphorus Bases | 131 |
|-------|--|-----|
| ٧. | Smiles Rearrangements-Unsymmetrical Spiro | 131 |
| | Complexes | |
| | A. Complexes with an Oxazolidine Ring | 132 |
| | B. Complexes with an Oxathiolane Ring | 133 |
| VI. | Carbon-Bonded σ Complexes | 134 |
| | A. Cyanide Complexes | 134 |
| | B. Enolate Complexes | 134 |
| | C. Amidine Complexes | 139 |
| | D. Other Carbanionic Complexes | 140 |
| VII. | Effect of Structure on Complex Stability | 140 |
| | A. Effect of Benzo Fusion and Methoxy | 140 |
| | Substitution | |
| | B. Effect of Electron-Withdrawing Substituents | 141 |
| | C. Reliability of the Results | 142 |
| VIII. | Solvent Effects | 143 |
| IX. | Electrolyte and Micellar Effects | 145 |
| Х. | References and Notes | 147 |

I. Introduction

A. History and Scope

Anionic σ complexes form as stable or transient species from covalent addition of nucleophiles to a substituted or unsubstituted ring carbon atom of electron-deficient aromatic and heteroaromatic substrates. They have been known since 1900 when Jackson and Gazzolo¹ proposed structure 1 for the red-colored



species resulting from reaction of picryl ethers with potassium alkoxides. Since the first chemical evidence for this structure was obtained in 1902 by Meisenheimer,² compounds of this type are now commonly referred to as "Jackson-Meisenheimer" or "Meisenheimer" complexes. In the fifties, research in the area was strongly stimulated by Bunnett's proposal^{3,4} that most nucleophilic aromatic substitution (S_NAr) reactions involving activated substrates and good leaving groups should proceed by the two-step mechanism shown below where the intermediate 4 is formally



analogous to 1 (EWG = electron-withdrawing groups). Following this suggestion, many investigations were devoted to the structural characterization of σ complexes. NMR spectroscopy and crystal structure determinations have played a central role in these studies.⁵⁻¹⁴ In contrast, if one excepts the pioneering work of Caldin et al. on the reaction of ethoxide ion with some trinitrobenzene derivatives in ethanol^{15,16} and related data on a few similar systems,^{6-11,13,14} it was not until 1968 that systematic quantitative studies on Meisenheimer complex stability were made or that the kinetics of formation of such complexes were investigated in detail.^{9,11,14,17–19} Two main reasons for this late interest are undoubtedly the low stability of most of the complexes known at that time in commonly used protic solvents and the high rates associated with most of the reactions. During the past 12 years, as fast reaction techniques have become widely used²⁰ and as proticdipolar aprotic cosolvent systems like water-dimethyl sulfoxide (Me₂SO) or alcohol-Me₂SO mixtures have proven very adequate media to enhance complex stability.⁵⁻¹⁴ the number of reports of kinetic and thermodynamic studies has greatly increased. These studies have provided a better understanding of factors influencing formation and decomposition of Meisenheimer complexes and, therefore, of the mechanism of S_NAr reactions. $^{3-14,21,22}$

With the exception of discussion related to nitrogen-bonded complexes which have been the subject of short reviews,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ details of the thermodynamic and kinetic aspects of Meisenheimer complex chemistry are notably absent in published reports.⁵⁻¹⁴ An attempt is made here to summarize all the important work which has been done through April 1981. Structural characteristics of the complexes have been extensively reviewed⁵⁻¹⁴ and will be referred to only when necessary for understanding mechanistic interpretation. In order to keep the review within reasonable bounds, it will be limited solely to a discussion of anionic σ complexes. In particular, pseudobase formation from covalent addition of hydroxide ion to heterocyclic cations will not be covered. Recently, there has been an excellent review on this subject.²³ The discussion is arranged into sections and, when needed, into subsections on the basis of the nature of the attacking nucleophile and the structure of the aromatics, respectively. Both of these factors are responsible for primary changes in mechanism and reactivity. This also allows rapid location of the various reactions described. Important features related to solvent and salt effects will be considered in special sections at the end of the review. General remarks on complex stability precede the detailed discussion. This provides an introduction and facilitates presentation of the results.

B. General Remarks and Nomenclature

The stability of the adducts depends on the nature and the number of substituents bonded to the anionic ring. Typically, two or three electron-withdrawing groups located ortho and/or para to the site of nucleophilic attack are required to detect anionic σ -complex formation. With polynitro compounds as a point of reference, the replacement of one of the nitro groups by a less electron-withdrawing group has the expected effect of decreasing complex stability. In the case of picryl adducts, crystal-structure determinations^{24,25} and molecular orbital calculations^{26,27} fully support the quinoid structure 1 where the negative charge is essentially associated with the p-NO₂ group. However, this representation is no longer suitable for benzene or arene complexes lacking a NO₂ group para to the sp^3 carbon. In this review, we will use the more general structure 2, where the negative charge is shown to be delocalized through the ring and any electron-withdrawing substituents. Similar delocalized structures are used for most heteroaromatic complexes.

Conventional nomenclature is generally employed to indicate the position of substituents in the reacting substrates. Exceptions will be indicated. Classical abbreviations are used for some common aromatics, e.g., TNB = 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene, TNA = 2,4,6-trinitroanisole, TNT = 2.4.6-trinitrotoluene, etc. The complexes will, in all cases, be referred to by number to avoid nomenclature problems. When the position of some substituents in the ring must be indicated, for example, to distinguish between isomers, the numbering of the parents is used. The alkoxide adducts 2 and 3are thus designated as 1.1- and 1.3-dialkoxy complexes. respectively. The complexes formed from addition of 1, 2, or 3 equiv of nucleophile on the same substrate are also referred to as mono or 1:1 adducts. di- or 1:2 adducts, tri- or 1:3 adducts. In accord with previous usage, the terms cyclohexadienylide and cyclopropenide are employed to name the anionic moieties of benzene mono- and diadducts.

Dipolar aprotic solvents greatly enhance the stability of numerous complexes relative to hydroxylic solvents. A great number of kinetic and thermodynamic studies have thus been carried out in water-Me₂SO and methanol-Me₂SO mixtures. Water-dioxane and water-dimethylformamide (DMF) mixtures have also been used in some instances. The composition of such media is indicated by volume percentages or, more simply, by the volume percentage of the dipolar aprotic component.

C. Methods of Investigation

Nucleophilic addition at a ring carbon of an aromatic substrate disrupts the aromaticity, leading to significant changes in electronic conjugation and therefore in the UV-visible absorption of the system.⁵⁻¹⁴ Since intense colors are often produced upon complex formation. visible spectroscopy has been a primary tool in kinetic and thermodynamic studies of complex-forming reactions.^{9,11,17-19} Typically used substrate concentrations are in the range 10^{-5} – 10^{-4} M. Equilibrium constants have been determined either directly, by using wellknown procedures such as the Benesi-Hildebrand treatment²⁸ or acidity function methods,²⁹⁻³¹ or indirectly, from kinetic experiments. The latter are usually conducted under pseudo-first-order conditions with the base or buffer reagents as the excess component; where this is not the case, it will be stated explicitly. Stopped-flow (SF) and temperature-jump (TJ) techniques have been frequently employed.²⁰ Calorimetric studies,³²⁻³⁹ radioactive exchange,⁴⁰⁻⁴² and high-pressure stopped-flow experiments^{43,44} have also been used to study complexation.

II. Oxygen-Bonded σ Complexes

More than half of the kinetic and equilibrium studies of Meisenheimer complex formation deal with intermolecular and intramolecular additions of oxygen bases. The basic mechanisms and rate laws encountered in these two types of processes are first considered. The typical mechanisms will be discussed when relevant to the system at hand.

A. Basic Mechanisms

1. Intermolecular Additions

Equation 1 describes the most simple mechanism for intermolecular addition of an oxygen base ($\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{H}$, alkyl, aryl) to an aromatic S to give a 1:1 complex, C. On the basis of eq 1, the equilibrium constant K_1 is defined by eq 2 and the observed first-order rate constant k_{obsd} for the equilibrium attainment is given by eq 3. The linear

$$S + RO^{-} \xrightarrow[k_{-1}]{k_{-1}} C$$
 (1)

$$K_1 = \frac{[C]}{[S][RO^-]} = \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}}$$
(2)

$$k_{\text{obsd}} = k_{-1} + k_1 [\text{RO}^-]$$
 (3)

dependence of k_{obsd} on [RO⁻] has often allowed a facile determination of the rate constants k_1 and k_{-1} for the formation and decomposition of C, respectively.⁴⁵

When RO^- is the lyate ion of the solvent, an alternative way to express equilibrium 1 is the Brønsted-like formulation of eq 4 which emphasizes the acid-base

$$S + ROH \xrightarrow{k^{ROH}}_{k^{H^+}} C + H^+$$
 (4)

character of the reaction with the pK_a value denoting the pH at which C is half-formed.⁴⁶ The equilibrium constant K_a (eq 5) is simply related to K_1 through the

$$K_{\rm a} = \frac{[\rm C][\rm H^+]}{[\rm S]} \tag{5}$$

$$K_{\rm a} = K_1 K_{\rm s} \tag{6}$$

ionic product K_s of the solvent by eq 6. Equation 4 also points out that the H⁺-catalyzed decomposition of C, a process which has received much attention, is the microscopic reverse of the formation of this complex through nucleophilic attack by the solvent molecules on S. Although this latter pathway is often negligible, examples are known where both solvent molecules and lyate ions compete to form C.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ In these cases, a rigorous analysis of the kinetic data includes a simultaneous consideration of eq 1 and 4.

Such a coupling is illustrated by the equilibrium of 1,3,5-tris(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)benzene (8) (S) with its methoxyl complex 9 (C) in methanol.⁴⁸ Figure 1 shows the pH-rate profile of the observed first-order rate constant k_{obsd} for this process together with those of the individual first-order rate constants k_f and k_d for formation and decomposition of 9, respectively. Provided pK_a is known from equilibrium studies, both k_f and k_d can easily be calculated from k_{obsd} at each pH.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ The k_{obsd} -, k_f -, and k_d -pH dependences are consistent with eq 7, 8, and 9, respectively. In the

$$k_{\rm obsd} = k_{\rm f} + k_{\rm d} \tag{7}$$

$$k_{\rm f} = k^{\rm ROH} + k_1 [{\rm RO}^-]$$
 (8)

$$k_{\rm d} = k_{-1} + k^{\rm H^+}[\rm H^+] \tag{9}$$

chosen example, k^{ROH} and k_1 refer to attack of 8 by methanol and MeO⁻ ion, respectively, while k^{H^+} and k_{-1} refer to H⁺-catalyzed and spontaneous decompositions of 9, respectively. Clearly, these rate constants are easily accessible from the two linear portions of each of the $k_{\rm f}$ and $k_{\rm d}$ pH-rate profiles. These intersect at $pH = pK_a$. Of interest is that a comparison of the k_{obsd} -pH profile with those for k_{f} and k_{d} immediately reveals the significance of the minimum values of k_{obsd} . Here, k_{obsd} is identical with k_d and k_f at low and high pH, respectively, but close to k_f around pH 9. It is thus apparent that formation of C from solvent attack on S is an important pathway. Such an analysis is so informative that it has been frequently used in studies of cation-pseudobase equilibrations.²³ In fact, it is quite useful in analysis of any system investigated over a large pH range.49

Concurrent attack of an oxygen base at two different positions of an aromatic S with formation of two isomeric complexes C_1 and C_2 (eq 10) is frequently ob-

$$S + RO^{-\frac{k_2}{k_{-2}}} C_2$$

$$\xrightarrow{k_1 \atop k_{-1}} C_1$$
(10)



Figure 1. pH dependence of k_{obsd} , k_f , and k_d for the formation and decomposition of the trifluoromethylsulfonyl complex 9 in methanol.⁴⁸ I = 0.01 M, t = 20 °C.

served.⁵⁰⁻⁶⁰ In general, experimental conditions can be found where the interaction occurs in two well-separated steps with one of the two complexes, for example C_2 , being formed faster than the other. In such an instance, the first step is the direct equilibration between S and C_2 , as described in eq 1-3. The second step is the slower equilibrium formation of C_1 (often thermodynamically much more stable than C_2), from S considered to be in instantaneous equilibrium with C_2 . The first-order rate constant k_{obsd} associated with this process is given by eq 11, which predicts a curvilinear

$$k_{\text{obsd}} = k_{-1} + \frac{k_1 [\text{RO}^-]}{1 + K_2 [\text{RO}^-]}$$
 (11)

dependence of k_{obsd} on [RO⁻] with attainment of a plateau at the base concentrations where there is complete initial formation of C₂.^{53,56} Depending upon the system under study, treatment of the data according to eq 3 and 11 and using inversion plots according to

$$\frac{1}{k_{\rm obsd} - k_{-1}} = \frac{1}{k_1 [\rm RO^-]} + \frac{K_2}{k_1}$$
(12)

eq 12 lead to a complete or partial determination of the rate and equilibrium parameters. When C₂ isomerizes completely to C₁, the maximum value of k_{obsd} (eq 13) may be used as a reference for its lifetime: $t_{1/2} = 0.693/k_{obsd}$ ^{max.53,56}

$$k_{\text{obsd}}^{\max} = \frac{k_1}{K_2} = k_{-1} \frac{K_1}{K_2}$$
 (13)

Regarding eq 10, one should note that the question of whether the actual conversion of C_2 to C_1 takes place by the direct route $C_2 \rightarrow C_1$ rather than by the $C_2 \rightarrow$ $S \rightarrow C_1$ route has been raised.^{11,58,61} Although this is a question that kinetic experiments cannot answer, it is generally considered to be unlikely.

2. Intramolecular Additions

The usual mechanism for intramolecular addition of an oxygen base is described by eq $14.^{62-68}$ It involves a rapid proton transfer from the alcohol side chain to base (OH⁻, MeO⁻) followed by a slower internal cyclization of the formed anion GO⁻ to give the spiro complex SC. The stoichiometric equilibrium constant K_c associated with the conversion of GOH to SC is defined by eq 16, from which eq 17 is deduced. K_c is generally evaluated from spectrophotometric measurements by



$$\text{GOH} \xrightarrow[k_{\text{H}^+}]{} \text{SC} + \text{H}^+ \tag{15}$$

$$K_{\rm c} = \frac{[\rm SC]}{([\rm GOH] + [\rm GO^-])[\rm RO^-]}$$
 (16)

$$K_{\rm c} = \frac{KK_1}{1 + K[{\rm RO}^-]}$$
(17)

$$K_{\rm c} = KK_1 \tag{18}$$

$$K_{\rm a} = K_{\rm c} K_{\rm s} \tag{19}$$

$$k_{\rm obsd} = k_{-1} + \frac{Kk_1[\rm RO^-]}{1 + K[\rm RO^-]}$$
(20)

assuming that GO⁻ anions have extinction coefficients similar to those of the parent GOH. In most cases, the product $K[\text{RO}^-]$ is << 1 so that eq 17 reduces to eq 18 and then relation 19 holds between K_c and the equilibrium constant K_a associated with the Brønsted-like formulation of eq 14, i.e., eq 15. Based on eq 14, the observed rate constant k_{obsd} for equilibrium attainment between GOH and SC is given by eq 20. Plots of k_{obsd} vs. [RO⁻] are usually linear, in accord with $K[\text{RO}^-] <<$ 1. The H⁺-catalyzed decomposition of SC via the k^{H^+} pathway has been studied in several cases.^{68,69}

B. Hydroxy and Alkoxy Complexes

1. Activated 1,3,5-Trisubstituted Benzenes

a. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene (TNB). The orange-colored 1:1 complexes 5 formed by the attack of lyate ions



R = (a) H; (b) Me; (c) Et; (d) Pr; (e) i-Pr; (f) n-Bu; (g) i-Bu; (h) t-Bu

of water and alcohols on TNB in the respective solvents are among the most thoroughly studied Meisenheimer complexes. Reaction 21 is, in fact, a usual reference for any system involving complex formation from $\rm RO^-$ addition to an unsubstituted carbon. Kinetic and thermodynamic data for complexes 5 are listed in Table I, together with those for complexes derived from other 1,3,5-trisubstituted benzenes.

i. Hydroxy, Methoxy, and Ethoxy 1:1 Complexes (5a, 5b, 5c). There is satisfactory agreement between the different sets of rate and equilibrium constants measured for formation and decomposition of 5a and 5b in aqueous and methanolic solutions, respectively: K_1 ranges from 1.5 to 6.7 L mol⁻¹ for $5a^{44,70-79}$ and from 12.5 to 23.1 L mol⁻¹ for $5b^{78,79,84-88}$ at 20–28 °C. The reaction of ethoxide ion with TNB to give 5c in ethanol was the first kinetic study ever made of such reaction systems.^{15,16} However, the kinetic and thermodynamic parameters derived in this study for formation and decomposition of 5c do not agree very well with those recently determined.^{78,79,90,91} TJ experiments have shown, in particular, that the formation of 5c is exothermic⁷⁸ and not endothermic as initially reported.¹⁵ The reasons for the discrepancies are difficult to assess. since the reaction was studied in media of quite different ionic strengths and at quite different temperatures (in the range -50, -80 °C on the one hand, 15 10–25 $^{\circ}$ C on the other⁷⁸). Ion-pairing effects might account for part of the differences (vide infra).

The reversible formation of 5a, 5b, and 5c has been studied in two highly aqueous mixed solvents, namely 22.5% MeOH-77.5% H₂O (v/v) and 19% EtOH-81% $H_2O(v/v)$, which approximate a "common" solvent for the three equilibrium reactions concerned.⁸¹ Going from MeOH and EtOH to these solvents has only a 3to 4-fold retarding effect on the rate of nucleophilic attack by MeO⁻ and EtO⁻ and does not appreciably affect the rates of leaving group departure. Thus, the k_1, k_{-1} , and K_1 reactivity sequences found for 5a, 5b, 5c in the pure solvents are not fundamentally modified. Since steric effects are not important in the addition of OH⁻, MeO⁻, and EtO⁻ to TNB, comparison of these parameters is of interest with respect to the relative reactivities of the three bases toward an aromatic carbon. The relative k_1^{RO} values are in the ratio 1:188:918 for 5a, 5b, and 5c, respectively;⁷⁸ i.e., they do not correlate at all with the relative Brønsted basicities of OH-, MeO⁻, and EtO⁻ which are in the ratio 1:0.62:1.80 in water⁹² and 1:0.3:1.3 in 2-propanol (*i*-PrOH),⁹³ respectively. However, this result is in agreement with the general pattern found in other nucleophilic reactions and is attributed to the greater solvation of OH⁻ compared to MeO⁻ and EtO^{-,7,94} The higher entropy of activation ΔS_1^* for k_1^{OH} than for k_1^{MeO} and $k_1^{EtO 78}$ as well as the observation of a positive volume of activation $(\Delta V_1^* = 1.1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1})$ for the formation of 5a⁴⁴ favor this interpretation.

On the basis of the pK_a value of the respective solvents, a reactivity order $k_{-1}^{OH} >> k_{-1}^{MeO} > k_{-1}^{EtO}$ is expected for the k_{-1} values. Instead, these rate constants are in the ratio $k_{-1}^{OH} : k_{-1}^{MeO} : k_{-1}^{EtO} = 1:31:2.9$, implying an abnormally low k_{-1}^{OH} value. The very high negative entropy of activation $(\Delta S_{-1}^* = -122.9 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$ for k_{-1}^{OH} compared to k_{-1}^{MeO} and k_{-1}^{EtO} has been taken as evidence for the existence of intramolecular hydrogen bonding in 5a, as shown in 6.⁷⁸ This would explain the slow rate of departure of OH in 5a. In addition, it evidently affects the K_1 sequence which measures the thermodynamic affinity of the three bases for the aromatic carbon of TNB. The K_1 values are in the ratio 1:6.2:324.⁷⁸



Addition of Me₂SO or DMF to aqueous and methanolic solutions causes the stability of **5a** and **5b** to increase.^{82,83,95} K_1 for **5a** is about 10³-fold greater in 50% H₂O-50% Me₂SO⁸² and 40% H₂O-60% DMF⁸³ than in water while K_1 for **5b** is estimated to be 10⁸ times greater in Me₂SO than in methanol.⁹⁵ As evidenced by the kinetic results (Table I), this reflects both an increase in k_1 and a decrease in k_{-1} . Going from water to *tert*-butyl alcohol (*t*-BuOH) causes similar changes in the parameters for **5a**.⁹⁶ In DMF-D₂O mixtures containing NaOD, the increase in the ease of formation of **5** (R = D) with increasing DMF concentration is paralleled by a decrease in the rate of aromatic proton exchange in TNB.⁹⁷

Decomposition of **5a**, **5b**, and **5c** in acidic medium is very fast. Data for this process have been obtained at low temperatures for **5c** in EtOH.¹⁶ From the values measured for the H⁺-catalyzed rate constant $k^{\rm H^+}$ between -50 and -80 °C, a $k^{\rm H^+}$ value of ~10¹⁰ L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ is obtained at 25 °C, i.e., close to the diffusion-controlled limit. The reaction was found to be general acid catalyzed with a Brønsted coefficient α of 0.67.¹⁶

ii. Other alkoxy 1:1 Complexes (5d-5h). An extensive kinetic study of the reactions of TNB with sodium propoxide in PrOH and sodium isopropoxide in *i*-PrOH has been made.^{59,60} The results cannot be interpreted in terms of eq 1. Instead, the scheme (eq 22) where

both the free PrO⁻ or *i*-PrO⁻ ions and the sodium propoxide or isopropoxide ion pairs (PrO⁻, Na⁺; *i*-PrO⁻, Na⁺) contribute to the formation of **5d** or **5e** is more appropriate. From experiments carried out in the presence of 18-crown-6-polyether or tetramethyl-ammonium propoxide or isopropoxide on the one hand or in the presence of sodium perchlorate or tetraphenylborate on the other, values of the rate constants k_1 and k_{ip} for attack on TNB by free ions and ion pairs, respectively, have been determined.

The reactivities of PrO⁻ and *i*-PrO⁻ ions are clearly reduced by ion pairing: the ratio k_1/k_{ip} is equal to 6.5 and 2.15 for *i*-PrO⁻ and PrO⁻, respectively. No difference exists between the rate coefficients k_{-1} and k_{-ip} for decomposition of the unassociated and associated forms of 5d while, due to its high thermodynamic stability, reliable k_{-1} and k_{-ip} values could not be obtained for 5e.⁵⁹ Although it was not checked, the influence of such ion-pairing effects on the formation of 5c in EtOH cannot be excluded at relatively high ionic strengths. Indeed, the rate of ethoxide ion attack at the unsubstituted 3-position of 2,4,6-trinitrophenetole to give 3 (R = R' = Et)⁵⁷ is decreased by ion pairing of NaOEt at I = 0.057 M (section IIB2d).

TABLE I. Thermodynamic and Kinetic Parameters for Hydroxy and Alkoxy 1:1 Complexes of 1,3,5-Trisubstituted Benzenes

| | | | | | | | Z (| OR X | | | |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Срх | x | Y | Z | R ^a | solvent | t, °C | $\overset{k_{\mathbf{f}}, b}{\operatorname{L} \operatorname{mol}^{-1} \operatorname{s}^{-1}}$ | $k_{\mathbf{d}}, b$ \mathbf{s}^{-1} | <i>K</i> , ^b L mol ⁻¹ | activation and thermodynamic parameters, ^c conditions and comments ^d | ref |
| 5a | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | H | H ₂ O | 25 20 20 25 28 20 25 | 27 37.5 | 10.5 9.8 | 3.6, 6.7 6.5 1.5 2.7 2.7 2.57 3.73 | isnc isnc isnc isnc isnc isnc 1M NaCl: $\Delta H_{\ell}^{\dagger} = 65.2$; $\Delta S_{\ell}^{\dagger} = 4.6$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 30.5$; | 70 75 72,73 74 76 77 78 |
| | | | | | | 25 25 25 | 25.3 33.9 37.4 | 13.4 8 9.8 | 1.88 4.21 3.8 | $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -123; \Delta H^{\circ} = 34.7; \Delta S^{\circ} = 127.6$ 0.25 M NaCl 3 M NaCl 0.1-0.5 M [OH ⁻]; $\Delta V_{f}^{\dagger} = 1.1; \Delta V_{d}^{\dagger} = -8.9;$ $\Delta V = 10$ | 79 81 44 |
| | | | | | H ₂ O-dioxane 90:10 | 25 25 | 49 70 | 9.8 6.5 | 5 11.8 | 0.5 M NaCl 0.5 M Me₄NCl | 80 80 |
| | | | | | $H_2O-MeOH$ 77.5:22.5 | 25 25 | 17.1 8.8 | 10.5 8.5 | 1.63 1.03 | 0.5 M NaCl 3 M NaCl | 81 81 |
| | | | | | 81:19 H,O-tBuOH | 25 | 70.2 | 6.8 | 10.3 | 0.5 M NaCl | 81 |
| | | | | | 80:20 10.1:89.9 H ₂ O-DMF | 30 30 | 140 1.9 × 10⁴ | 5 | 28 | isne isne | 96 96 |
| | | | | | 40:60 H ₂ O-Me ₂ SO | 25 | | | 10 ³ | $[TNB] > [OH^-]$ | 83 |
| 5b | NO ₂ | NO2 | NO2 | Ме | 60:40 50:50 MeOH | 20 20 28 25 25 25 | 174 547 | 0.5 0.145 | 348 3770 16.2 15.4 12.5 13.6 17 | isne isne isne isne isne isne isne | 82 82 84 85 86 87 88 |
| | | | | | | 25 | 7050 | 305 | 23.1 | 0.2 M NaClO ₄ , $\Delta H_f^{\dagger} = 42.6$; $\Delta S_f^{\dagger} = -28$; $\Delta H_d^{\dagger} = 38.5$; $\Delta S_d^{\dagger} = -68$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = 4.1$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = 40$ | 78 |
| | | | | | Η Ο-ΜεΟΗ | 25 25 | 7700 | 357 | 21.6 | isnc cd; $\Delta H^{\circ} = 9$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = 52.6$ | 79 33 |
| | | | | | 77.5:22.5 | 25 25 | 2425 2460 | $\begin{array}{c} 254 \\ 134 \end{array}$ | 9.55 18.3 | 0.5 M NaCl 3 M NaCl | 81 81 |
| | | | | | 60:40 | 20 | | | ≃1.5 × 10⁴ | isne | 95 |

| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 5c | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | NO2 | Et | EtOH | - 80 20 | 1.1 27500 | 4.6×10^{-4} 11.4 | 2390 2400 | isnc; $k^{H^+} = 2 \times 10^{6} \text{ e}$ isnc; $k^{H^+} \sim 10^{10} \text{ e}$; $\Delta H_f^{\dagger} = 46.4$; $\Delta S_f^{\dagger} = 9$; $\Delta H_f^{\dagger} = 45 \cdot \Lambda S_f^{\dagger} = 60 \cdot \Lambda H^{\circ} = 1.4 \cdot \Lambda S^{\circ} = 69$. | 15 16 |
|--|------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|---|----------|
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | 25 | 33400 | 27.5 | 1210 | $\Delta H_{d}^{+} = 43, \Delta S_{d}^{-} = -30, \Delta H^{-} = -1.4, \Delta S^{-} = 0.9$ $ \text{TNB} > \text{EtO}^{-} ; \Delta H_{f}^{+} = 36.4; \Delta S_{f}^{+} = -36;$ $\Delta H_{d}^{+} = 43.5; \Delta S_{d}^{+} = -70.6; \Delta H^{\circ} = -7; \Delta S^{\circ} = -26$ | 78 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | 90 | | | 1600 | 34.6 isno | 89 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | 20 | | | 2070 | unspecified | 6 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | 20 | 49500 | 15 / | 2070 | isno | 79 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | 25 | 37000 | 11.4 | 3100 | isne | 90 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | 25 | 49500 | 15.4 | 3100 | $TNB-d_1 + EtO^-$; isnc | 79 |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | | | | | EtOD | 25 | 95000 | 37 | 2570 | isnc | 79 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | H.O-EtOH | | 00000 | | 2010 | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | 81:19 | 25 | 7700 | 32 | 241 | 0.5 M NaCl | 81 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 5d | NO, | NO, | NO, | Pr | PrOH | 25 | 92600 | 11.9 | 7800 | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 30.5$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -27$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 43$; | 79 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | - | • | - | | | | • | | | $\Delta S_{A}^{\dagger} = -59; \Delta H^{\circ} = -12.5; \Delta S^{\circ} = 32$ | |
| | | | | | | | 25 | 86000 | 10 | 8600 | $k_{in} = 40000; k_{in} = 10; K_{in} = 4000^{f}$ | 60 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 5e | NO. | NO. | NO. | iPr | iPrOH | 25 | 96700 | 1.7 | 2.04×10^{5} | isno: $A H_{2}^{\dagger} = 94: A S_{2}^{\dagger} = -49: A H_{2}^{\dagger} = 67:$ | 79 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0~ | 1102 | 1.02 | 110 2 | | | | 00100 | | | $\Delta S_{1}^{\dagger} = -8 \cdot \Delta H^{\circ} = -43 \cdot \Delta S^{\circ} = -41$ | 15 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | 25 | 96 × 105 | <1 | N3 Y 105 | $AS_d = 0, AII = 40, AS = 41$ $b_1 = 4 \times 10^4 f$ | 59 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 5f | NO | NO | NO | n-Bu | n-BuOH | 25 | 94700 | 53 | 17900 | $k_{ip} = 4 \wedge 10$ isne: $\wedge H_c^{\dagger} = 30 \cdot \wedge S_c^{\dagger} = -27 \cdot \wedge H_a^{\dagger} = 37.5$ | 79 |
| | 01 | | | | <i>n</i> -Du | <i>n</i> -DuOII | 20 | 34700 | 0.0 | 17500 | $AC^{+} = OO, ABC^{-} = 7E, ABC^{-} = 5C$ | 10 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 5 a | NO | NO | NO | ; D ., | | 25 | 1 96 4 105 | 17 | 7.4×10^{4} | $\Delta S_d = -83, \Delta H = -7.5, \Delta S = 50$ isno: $\Delta H_2^{\dagger} = 33.4 + \Delta S_2^{\dagger} = -16 + \Delta H_2^{\dagger} = 46$ | 79 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | og | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | <i>I</i> -Du | <i>t</i> -BuOII | 20 | 1.20 × 10 | 1.7 | 7.4 × 10 | $\Delta M_{\rm f}^{\pm} = 53.4, \Delta S_{\rm f}^{\pm} = -10, \Delta M_{\rm d}^{\pm} = 40,$ | 15 |
| bh NO, NO, NO, NO, I-BU FBU FBUH 25 3800 <1 >380.0 <1 >380.0 (1 >10.000 (10.0000 (10.0000 (10.000 (10 | -1 | NO | NO | NO | (D | | 05 | 00000 | . 1 | | $\Delta S_{d} = -70; \Delta H = -12; 5; \Delta S = 54$ | 70 |
| 9 SO, CF, SO, CF, SO, CF, Me MeOH 20 $3.9 \times 10^{\circ}$ 0.011 $3.54 \times 10^{\circ}$ $pR_{a}^{mEOH} = 9.12; k^{mEOH} = 3.02 \times 10^{-1};$ 48 $k^{H^{+}} = 2.68 \times 10^{\circ e}$ 11a' CN NO, NO, H H, O-Me,SO 50:50 20 21.8 1.14 19.5 isnc $10250:50$ 20 112 0.3 372 isnc $10225 134 0.372 360 isnc \Delta H_{4}^{+} = -21; \Delta H_{4}^{+} = 66; 102\Delta S_{4}^{+} = -31; \Delta H^{-} = -12; \Delta S_{0}^{-} = 1010425 134 0.372 360 isnc \Delta S_{4}^{+} = -31; \Delta H^{-} = -12; \Delta S_{0}^{-} = 1010410a' NO, CN NO, H H, O-Me,SO10a'$ NO, CN NO, H H, O-Me,SO 10a NO, CN NO, Me MeOH-Me,SO 10a NO, CN NO, Me MeOH-Me,SO 10b CF, NO, NO, Me MeOH-Me,SO 20:80 20 126 0.086 1460 isnc $10210b$ CF, NO, NO, Me MeOH-Me,SO $20:80 20 740 < 0.011 >77 10^4 isnc 10210b$ CF, NO, NO, Me MeOH-Me,SO 20:80 20 126 0.086 1460 isnc $10210b$ CF, NO, NO, Me MeOH-Me,SO 20:80 20 2126 0.086 1460 isnc 102102 MeOH-Me,SO 20:80 20 1180 488 23.6 isnc $10240:60 20 4300 13 330 isnc102$ 10000 4.6 2180 isnc 102 | 5h | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | t-Bu | t-BuOH | 25 | 38000 | <1 | >38000 | $\operatorname{isnc}; \Delta H_{\mathbf{f}} = 27; \Delta S_{\mathbf{f}} = -48$ | 19 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 9 | SO ₂ CF ₃ | SO ₂ CF ₃ | SO ₂ CF ₃ | Me | MeOH | 20 | $3.9 \times 10^{\circ}$ | 0.011 | 3.54×10^{7} | $pK_{a_{-}} = 9.12; k^{MeOH} = 3.02 \times 10^{-2};$ | 48 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | 1_ | | | | | | | | $k^{H+} = 2.88 \times 10^{7} e$ | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 11a' | CN | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | Н | H ₂ O-Me ₂ SO | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | 60:40 | 20 | 21.8 | 1.14 | 19.5 | Isne | 102 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | 50:50 | 20 | 112 | 0.3 | 372 | $\frac{15\Pi C}{16\pi^{2}} = 54 \cdot AS^{\frac{1}{2}} = -91 \cdot AH^{\frac{1}{2}} = 66$ | 102 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | ON | NO | NO | Ma | M-OU | 20 | 134 | 0.372 | 300 | $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -31; \Delta H^{\circ} = -12; \Delta S^{\circ} = 10$ | 102 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 11a | UN | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | we | меон | 20 | | | 1.9 | af | 104 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | MeOH-Me SO | 20 | | | I | ai | 00 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | 70.30 | 25 | | | 75 | isne | 104 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | 50:50 | 20 | 3660 | 47 | 78 | isnc | 102 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 10a' | NO. | CN | NO, | Н | H,O-Me,SO | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | - 1 | | 2 | | 50:50 [°] | 20 | 19300 | 4.5 | 4300 | isnc | 102 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | 25 | 325 | 9.25 | 35 | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 54$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -14.5$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 56$; | 102 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | | | | $\Delta S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\dagger} = -35.5; \Delta H^{\circ} = -2; \Delta S^{\circ} = 21$ | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | 40:60 | 20 | 600 | 1.62 | 370 | isnc | 102 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 10a | NO ₂ | CN | NO ₂ | Me | MeOH-Me ₂ SO | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | OD | NO | NO | | 50:50 | 20 | 40000 | 118 | 340 | isnc | 102 |
| $102 \\ 102 \\ 11b \\ CF_3 \\ NO_2 \\ NO_$ | 110 | CF ₃ | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | н | H ₂ O-Me ₂ SO | 90 | 94.6 | 0.46 | E 9 E | i | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | 40:60 | 20 | 24.6 | 0.46 | 03.0 | isne | 102 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | 30:70 | 20 | 120 | 0.080 | 1460 | ISNC | 102 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 11h | CF | NO | NO | Ме | 20.00 MeOH | 20 | 140 | \U.UI | $27 \times 10^{\circ}$ | of | 102 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 110 | U ¹ ₃ | 102 | 102 | 1410 | MeOH-Me SO | 20 | | | 0.012 | aı | 00 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | 50:50 | 20 | 1180 | 48 | 23.6 | isnc | 102 |
| 30:70 20 10000 4.6 2180 isnc 102 | | | | | | 40:60 | 20 | 4300 | 13 | 330 | isnc | 102 |
| | | | | | | 30:70 | 20 | 10000 | 4.6 | 2180 | isnc | 102 |

| Cpx | x | γ | z | Ra | solvent | ι, °C | $k_{\mathbf{f}}, b$ L mol ^{-t} s ^{-t} | $k_{d,b}^{k}$ | $K,^b \perp \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | activation and thermodynamic parameters, ^c conditions and comments ^d | ref |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|-------------------|
| 10b [°] | NO, | CF, | NO, | Η | H,O-Me,SO | | | | | | |
| | 4 | n | 7 | | 40.60° | 20 | 60 | 19 | 3.1 | isnc | 102 |
| | | | | | 30:70 | 20 | 375 | 9 | 94 | isnc | 102 |
| | | | | | 20.80 | 20 | 2340 | 0.6 | 3900 | isnc | 102 |
| 10b | , NO, | CF, | , NO | Me | MeOH-Me,SO | | | | | | |
| | a | 1 | 4 | | $30:70^{-1}$ | 20 | 36000 | 150 | 240 | isnc | 102 |
| 11c | SO,Me | , NO, | , NO, | Me | MeOH | 25 | | | 0.50 | af | 88 |
| 11d | COÔMe | NO | NO | Me | MeOH | 25 | | | 6×10^{-3} | af | 88 |
| 11e | I | NO | , NO | Me | MeOH | 25 | | | 8.9×10^{-4} | af | 88 |
| 11f | CONEt, | NO | , NO, | Me | MeOH | 25 | | | $1.1 	imes 10^{-4}$ | af | 88 |
| 11g | SMe | NO | NO, | Me | MeOH | 25 | | | 3.9×10^{-5} | af | 88 |
| 11h | SO | NO, | NO, | Me | MeOH | 25 | | | 10-4-10-5 | af | 88 |
| 11i | , H | , ON | NO | Me | MeOH | 25 | | | 10^{-6} | af | 88 |
| | | • | ą | | MeOH | 25 | | | 5×10^{-7} | af | 6 |
| | | | | | MeOH-Me,SO | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 10:90 | 20 | 1625 | 20.5 | 79 | isnc | 108 |
| $\operatorname{mol}^{a}_{e} \operatorname{So}^{a}_{H^{+}}$ | dium or pot K ⁻¹ ; activati n L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | assium hyon $\frac{1}{k}$ MeOII | droxide or a tetion volun in s ⁻¹ ; as de | alkoxides. aes in cm³ fined by € | $ \begin{array}{c} {}^{b} k_{f}, k_{d} \\ \text{mol}^{-i}, {}^{d} A b b revised \\ \text{mol}^{-i}, {}^{f} k_{ip_{1}}, k_{-ip}, a^{ip_{1}} \end{array} $ | epresent ations: nd K _{ip} | k_1, k_2, K_1 or isnc = ionic st are the rate an | (k_2, k_2, K_3, K_4) trength not od equilibriu | as defined by eq constant; af = ac m constants defi | 1, eq 21, and 25. ^c Enthalpies in kJ mol ⁻¹ ; entropie dity function method; cd = calorimetric determinati ned by eq 22. | ies in J tion. |

Equilibrium and kinetic data are available for attack of lyate ions of *n*-butyl, isobutyl and *tert*-butyl alcohol on TNB.⁷⁹ Surprisingly, ion-pairing effects were not reported to affect the course of the reactions, and the data have been worked out in terms of eq 1. The lower rate coefficient for *t*-BuO⁻ attack on TNB as compared with those for PrO⁻, *i*-PrO⁻, *n*-BuO⁻, and *i*-BuO⁻ probably reflects steric hindrance to approach of the large *t*-BuO⁻ ion (F strain).

iii. 1:2 Complexes. As the base concentration is increased, conversion of the monoadducts into the diadducts 7 may occur according to eq 23. The kinetics



of formation of the dihydroxy complex 7a was thoroughly studied in water and, together with those of 7b or 7c, in 22.5% MeOH-77.5% H₂O (v/v) and 19% EtOH-81% H₂O (v/v).⁹⁸ Since each 1:2 complex may form as a mixture of cis and trans isomers, three relaxation effects were in principle expected for the conversion of TNB into 7a according to eq 24 where the



superscripts "c" and "t" refer to cis and trans. Instead, only two relaxation times were observed, suggesting that one of the two isomers does not form. By applying the principles of normal coordinates to chemical reactions,²⁰ it was shown that in the not unlikely event where the cis and trans isomers dissociate with similar rates, i.e., $k_{-2}^{c} = k_{-2}^{t}$, one of the relaxation times would not be observable in a SF experiment. On this basis, it was concluded that cis-trans isomerism in 7a cannot be ruled out. However, ¹H NMR evidence for such isomerism has not been obtained so far.

Due to the competition between OH^- and RO^- ions, three 1:2 complexes, namely 7a, 7b (or 7c), and 7d (or 7e), can form in mixed solvents. This should give rise to three relaxation effects in addition to those for 1:1 complex formation. Instead, only two, which have been attributed to 7a and 7b (or 7c), were observed by SF. A normal coordinate analysis of the systems^{20,98} again shows that detection of the missing relaxation effect is not possible if two of the diadducts; i.e., 7b and 7d or 7c and 7e, decompose with similar rates. Just as for 7a, experiments have failed to provide evidence for cistrans isomerism in 7b and 7c.

As for the 1:1 complexes, the stabilities and the rates of formation of the diadducts 7 are in the order EtO⁻ > MeO^- >> OH^- (Table II). On the other hand, diadduct formation is very much slower than that of the adducts 5 with all three bases. This result, which has

TABLE II. Rate and Equilibrium Constants for Hydroxy and Alkoxy 1:2 Complexes 7 of TNB $(t = 25 \degree C)^a$

| | R, R'= | solvent | k_2, L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | k_{-2}, s^{-1} | K_2, L mol ⁻¹ | ionic strength (NaCl) |
|------------|-----------|------------------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 7a | Н | H₂O | 0.022 | 0.082 | 0.27 | 2 M |
| | | | 0.057 | 0.068 | 0.84 | 3 M |
| | | H ₂ O-MeOH 77.5:22.5 | | 0.11 | | 3 M |
| | | H ₂ O-EtOH 81:19 | | 0.07 | | 3 M |
| 7 b | Me | H ₂ O-MeOH 77.5:22.5 | 7.5 | 0.20 | 37 | 3 M |
| 7c | Et | H ₂ O-EtOH 81:19 | 45 | 0.20 | 225 | 3 M |

^a Reference 98. ^b k_2, k_{-2} , and K_2 as defined by eq 23.

been observed for other diadducts (section III), arises from a transition-state effect, which can be seen by a comparison of the rate parameters for 5c and 7c in 19% EtOH-81% H₂O (v/v) at I = 3 M. The equilibrium constants K_1 and K_2 are about the same, while the ratios k_1/k_2 and k_{-1}/k_{-2} are quite different: $k_1/k_2 = 171$; $k_{-1}/k_{-2} = 214$. The marked dependence of the parameters on the ionic strength I, as expected for the charge type of reaction 23, should also be noted. The transient formation of 5a is not observed in the acid decomposition at 7a,⁹⁹ but this does not necessarily suggest that the reaction takes place other than via $7a \rightarrow 5a \rightarrow$ TNB.

b. 1,3,5-Tris(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)benzene. The aromatic 8 has just recently been synthesized and its conversion into 9 studied.⁴⁸ The results are re-



markable in that, in contrast to its trinitro analogue 5b, 9 forms at an appreciable extent in MeOH even in the absence of any added methoxide. The pK_a^{MeOH} for formation of 9 is 9.12 as compared with a pK_a^{MeOH} of 15.51 for 5b (at 20 °C). The kinetics of formation and decomposition of 9 were investigated over a large pH range (Figure 1), and we have used the data to illustrate the coupling of eq 1 and 4 (section IIA). Formation of 9 from methanol attack on 8 is an important pathway between pH 9 and 10: $k^{\text{MeOH}} = 3.02 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. There are no other reports (in benzene series) of such rapid MeOH attack at an unsubstituted carbon to give a methoxy σ complex. The very strong electron-withdrawing character of the SO_2CF_3 group¹⁰⁰ is responsible for the unique reactivity of 8. Another noteworthy result is the high susceptibility of 9 to H⁺-catalyzed decomposition: $k^{\text{H}^+} = 2.88 \times 10^7 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (at 20 °C). This suggests k^{H^+} values close to the diffusion-controlled limit for less stable complexes like 5b.

c. 1-X-3,5-dinitrobenzenes (1-X-3,5-DNB). Due

to the nonequivalence of the 2- and 4-positions, there is the possibility of isomeric addition of RO^- to 1-X-3,5-DNB with formation of the complexes 10 and 11.



 $\begin{array}{l} R = Me, \ X = (a) \ CN; \ (b) \ CF_3; \ (c) \ SO_2Me; \ (d) \ COOMe; \ (e) \\ I; \ (f) \ CONEt_2; \ (g) \ SMe; \ (h) \ SO_3^-; \ (i) \ H \\ R = H, \ X = (a') \ CN; \ (b') \ CF_3; \ (i') \ H \end{array}$

Both types of adducts have been characterized by visible spectroscopy in the reactions of OH⁻ and MeO⁻ with a number of such substrates in Me₂SO.^{88,101-104} Initial addition of the base preferentially occurs at C-4 to give 10, which subsequently rearranges to the thermodynamically favored complex 11. In some cases (X = SO₂Me, CONEt₂, SO₃⁻, SMe, H) conversion of 10 to 11 is complete or nearly so.⁸⁸ However, the proportion of 10 present at final equilibrium is in the range 5–10% when X = CF₃, CN^{101,102} and 27% when X = COOMe.⁸⁸ ¹H NMR experiments have confirmed the structure of both 10 and 11 for X = CN, CF₃, COOMe, and COOEt.^{61,101,104}

Equilibrium formation of 11 (R = Me) was studied by visible spectroscopy in MeOH and MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures containing 0.098 M NaOMe.⁸⁸ The results allowed the simultaneous determination of the thermodynamic values of the equilibrium constant K_1 , referred to pure MeOH as solvent, and the J_M acidity function for these media.⁸⁸ The order of stabilities parallels the electron-withdrawing power of X.

The kinetics of the interaction of OH⁻ and MeO⁻ with 3,5-dinitrobenzonitrile and benzotrifluoride (X = CN, CF_3) were studied by SF in H_2O-Me_2SO and MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures.^{101,102} Increasing the amount of Me₂SO in the mixtures enhances the stability of both adducts 10 and 11 to about the same extent. MeO⁻ and OH⁻ attack C-4 to give 10a, 10b and 10a', 10b' only 2-3 times faster than they attack C-2 to give 11a, 11b and 11a', 11b', but these latter complexes decompose at much lower rates than their isomers: the ratios k_{-2}/k_{-1} are equal to about 25 and 50 for X = CN and CF_3 , respectively. The parameters (Table I) have been derived from experiments conducted at base concentrations where the relative rates of formation of 10 and 11 are mainly governed by the ratio k_{-2}/k_{-1} . Then the interaction consists of two sufficiently well-separated steps for an analysis of the data in terms of eq 10. In flow-NMR experiments where such conditions are not fulfilled, the reactions initially yield a mixture of 10 and 11 in a ratio close to the k_2/k_1 values.⁶¹ The lower stability of 10 relative to 11 is attributed to the effect of the NO_2 group located in the position para to the sp³ carbon of $11.^{88,101,102}$ Due to its greater ability to delo-calize electrons by resonance, 26,27 a p-NO₂ group generally exerts a very strong stabilizing effect on Meisenheimer complexes and is a factor of overwhelming importance in determining their relative stabilities (section VII). Interestingly, the activation and thermodynamic parameters determined in 50% H₂O-50% Me₂SO for 10a' and 11a' are all very similar, with the exception of ΔH^{*} for their decomposition.¹⁰² The higher enthalpy of activation for the decomposition of 11a' as compared with that of 10a' ($\delta \Delta H^{*}$ = 9.6 kJ mol⁻¹) must essentially reflect the stabilizing effect of the *p*-NO₂ group on 11a'.

The kinetics of the reaction of 1,3-dinitrobenzene (X = H) with MeO⁻ was investigated in 90% Me₂SO-10% MeOH.¹⁰⁸ No evidence for the transient formation of 10i was found in this solvent, and only k_1 , k_{-1} , and K_1 for 11i were determined. Evidence for 10i' and 10i was obtained in 98% Me₂SO-2% H₂O (MeOH) by means of a rapid scan spectrophotometer.¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷

2. Activated 2,4,6-Trisubstituted 1-Alkoxybenzenes

a. 4-X-2,6-Dinitroanisoles (4-X-2,6-DNA). Methoxide addition to substituted 4-X-2,6-DNA in MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures has been the subject of many investigations (see ref 30-33, 37, 38, 40, 41, 43, 44, 50-53, 56, 108-124). In all cases, addition to the unsubstituted C-3 to give the 1,3-dimethoxy complexes 12 is kineti-



14, 15, (a)
$$R = H$$
, $X = NO_3$; (b) $R = Et$; $X = NO_3$

cally favored, but rearrangement occurs to give the 1,1-dimethoxy complexes 13. For most substituents X (NO₂, SO₂CF₃, CN, SO₂Me, CHO, COOMe), complexes 13 are thermodynamically much more stable products so that their detection in a given solvent requires much lower base concentrations than that of their 1,3-isomers 12. This allows the formation of these complexes to be studied either directly according to eq 1 or indirectly as the second step of eq 10. Reactions of TNA with OH- and EtO- resemble reaction with MeO- and result in initial formation of 14a and 14b.67,136,137 Addition of OH⁻ to C-1 is about 10-fold slower than at C-3 but is followed by nucleophilic displacement of OMe to give picrate anion¹³⁶ (15a is undetected). There is also nucleophilic displacement of OMe by OH⁻ within 14a.¹³⁶ 14b is rapidly converted into the most stable 1,1-complex 15b in EtOH.¹³⁷ Table III gives the most representative kinetic and thermodynamic data obtained for complexes 12, 13, 14, and 15.

i. 1,1 Complexes. Direct equilibration between TNA and 13a was studied by different authors in MeOH, but

notable differences exist between the values reported for the k_1, k_{-1} , and K_1 rate and equilibrium constants associated with the reaction (see ref 43, 44, 52, 109, 110, 113, 114, 121, 124). The main reason for this discrepancy lies in the unfavorably high stability of 13a which is completely formed in a 5×10^{-3} M NaOMe solution. Since carbon dioxide may interfere at lower MeO⁻ concentrations, the results obtained by Fendler et al. in the 10^{-3} - 10^{-2} M range are considered to be the most reliable.¹¹³ The linear response of k_{obsd} (eq 3) on [MeO⁻] confirms that 12a does not form prior to 13a in such experimental conditions. Interestingly, the k_{-1} , ΔH_{-1}^* , and ΔS_{-1}^{*} values obtained in this work agree extremely well with those determined by carbon-14 exchange techniques^{40,41} while the k_1 value is close to the one recently determined from high-pressure SF experiments.^{43,44} The observation by Crampton^{119,123} that the 1,1-complexes 13 have a particular tendency to associate with cations like K⁺ or Na⁺ in MeOH may also account for part of the differences in k_1 , k_{-1} , and K_1 for 13a. A detailed discussion of this phenomenon which does not concern complexes like 5b or 12 arising from MeOattack at an unsubstituted carbon is made in section IX together with the analysis of salt and micellar effects on the decomposition of 13a.¹²⁵⁻¹²⁸ Direct equilibration between TNA and 13a was thoroughly investigated in MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures.^{37,38} In addition to the k_1, k_{-1} , and K_1 values, the heats of reaction as well as the heats of transfer for both the reactants and this complex were measured.^{37,38} These data are of primary importance to discuss the effect of Me₂SO on complex formation (see section VIII). At low MeOH contents in water-MeOH mixtures, k_1 is not significantly changed but there is a decrease in k_{-1} and therefore a concomitant increase in the stability of 13a.¹²⁹ The formation of the ethoxide complex 15a was investigated in the temperature range of -20, +10 °C in EtOH.¹³⁷

Replacing the 4-NO₂ group of TNA by a SO₂CF₃ group causes a 60-fold increase in the stability of the 1,1 complex.⁵⁶ Formation of 13b is complete at [MeO⁻] = 5×10^{-4} M so that buffer solutions must be used to study the reaction. The pK_a^{MeOH} for formation of 13b is 10.68 at 20 °C. Analysis of the data by coupling eq 1 and 4 is necessary because methanol attack on the parent ether contributes for about 10–15% of the formation of 13b between pH 10 and 11.⁵⁶ In contrast, replacing the 4-NO₂ group by a less electron-withdrawing function has the expected effect of decreasing the stability of 13.^{31,56,119–123} The stability order is SO₂CF₃ > NO₂ > CN, SO₂Me > CHO > COOMe > CF₃ > Cl > F, H.

ii. 1,3 Complexes. The 1,3-complex 12a was first detected by Servis¹¹¹ in Me₂SO where its conversion into 13a is complete within a few minutes. Addition of MeOH strongly catalyzes the isomerization and the observation of 12a is no longer possible by NMR in 50% Me₂SO-50% MeOH. In MeOH, the fast transient formation of 12a was detected only at [MeO⁻] \geq 0.05 M and kinetically studied at different temperatures in a SFTJ apparatus.⁵² The reaction is endothermic (ΔH_2° = 6.18 kJ mol⁻¹) and not exothermic as reported in a calorimetric study of the system.³³ The 1,3-complex 12b is 12-fold more stable than 12a, in agreement with the greater electron-withdrawing effect of an o-SO₂CF₃ group relative to an o-NO₂ group.⁵⁶ Other complexes

are less stable than 12a and were detected only in the presence of Me_2SO cosolvent.^{50,51,56,113,118,122} In the case of X = H, the 1,3-complex 12j was observed by SF in 98% $Me_2SO-2\%$ MeOH.⁵¹

At high $[OH^{-}]$ in water, ionization of the hydroxy group of the 1,3-complex 14a occurs to give the dianion 16. The solvent deuterium isotope effect on the rate



constant k_{-2} for hydroxide ion departure from 14a is $k_{-2}^{\rm H_2O}/k_{-2}^{\rm D_2O} = 1.72.^{67}$ The formation of 14b has been studied at very low temperatures (-80, -60 °C).¹³⁷

iii. Mechanism of 1,3 vs. 1,1 Addition. A clear picture of the mechanism emerges from Table III. On the one hand, the 1.3 complexes which arise from base addition at the unsubstituted 3-carbon have high rate coefficients but a relatively low thermodynamic stability. Kinetic and thermodynamic parameters for the 1,3-dimethoxy complexes 12 compare well with those for the methoxy complexes 11 (R = Me) of 1-X-3,5-DNB. Similarly, the parameters for the hydroxy complex 14a are similar to those obtained for the TNB complex 5a. On the other hand, the 1,1 complexes form and decompose much more slowly, but they have in most cases a greater stability than their 1,3 isomers. The energy diagram of Figure 2 illustrates the interaction in the case of the reaction of MeO⁻ with TNA. The most striking feature in this diagram is the much higher enthalpy of activation ΔH^* for the decomposition of 13a relative to that for 12a.

The TNA-MeO⁻ system has been the most discussed (see ref 9, 11, 14, 17, 52, 57, 78, 85, 113, 130, 131). The greater stability of 13a relative to 12a was attributed to the release of steric strain from the molecule and to the stabilizing influence of the two methoxyl groups at the sp³ carbon.^{9,11,52,78,109} To account for the slower attack of MeO⁻ ions at C-1 than at C-3, it was first suggested that 13a is less strained than TNA but the transition state leading to it is sterically more strained than TNA.^{109,130} In contrast, steric effects should be unimportant in the transition state leading to 12a. This explanation has been criticized since it is difficult to visualize why steric strain should maximize in the transition state.⁷⁸ Thus, a recent interpretation in terms of steric hindrance of approach of the reagent (F strain) has been proposed.^{57,131} According to Bernasconi,^{17,52,78} the main factor may be the stabilization, through a resonance interaction of the OMe group and nitro aromatic ring, of TNA and 12a, and thus also of the transition state leading to this complex (structures 17a, 17b and 18a, 18b). Such stabilization is not possible in 13a and thus probably very little in the corresponding transition state. This effect could reduce the rate of its formation relative to 12a. Isomeric addition of OH⁻ and EtO⁻ on TNA may be interpreted along similar lines.

Since all 4-X-2,6-DNA present the same steric strain around C_{1} ,^{117,132} it is clear that the nature of X is an additional factor of major importance in determining



Figure 2. Energy diagram for the reaction of TNA with methoxide ion to give the 1,3 and 1,1 complexes 12a and 13a in methanol.



the relative stabilities as well as the relative rates of formation and decomposition of 12 and 13.53,56 This is clearly shown in Table IV. It can be noted that the ratio k_2/k_1 increases from X = SO₂CF₃ to X = F, i.e., as the electron-withdrawing ability of X which is para to C-1 but ortho to C-3 decreases. The value of k_2/k_1 for $X = NO_2$ is, however, abnormally high with respect to the series. On the other hand, the ratio k_{-2}/k_{-1} decreases from $X = NO_2$, SO_2CF_3 to X = F. As a result, going from $X = SO_2CF_3$ to X = F causes a much larger decrease in K_1 for 1,1-complex formation than in K_2 for 1,3-complex formation. The ratios $K_1^{SO_2CF_3}/K_1^F$ and $K_2^{SO_2CF_3}/K_2^F = 1.6 \times 10^{10}$ and 1.5×10^5 , respectively.⁵⁶ The greater stability of the fluoro 1,3-complex 12i relative to the 1,1-analogue 13i⁵¹ clearly emphasizes the importance of these structural changes, the general observation being that complex stability is more sensitive to changes in the substituent para to the site of nucleophilic attack than ortho to it.¹³³ The abnormal value of k_2/k_1 for X = NO₂ probably reflects the fact that resonance stabilization as described in 17 and 18^{52,78} should be much more important in the TNA system than in the other anisoles systems due to the greater electron-delocalizing ability of a p-NO₂ group relative to other substituents.

Figure 3 shows that approximately parallel straight lines are obtained on plotting $\log k_1$, $\log k_2$ as well as $\log k_{-1}$, $\log k_{-2}$ vs. the mole fraction of Me₂SO. While the existence of such correlations is probably fortui-

| | | | | | Q | | | | (1 ₂ | MeO OR NO2 | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--|----------------------------|-----|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----|---|---|---|---|--|
| x | R ^a | solvent | , °C | Срх | $\frac{k_2, b L}{\text{mol}^{-1}}$ | $k_{-2}^{,b}$ s ⁻¹ | $K_2,^b$ L mol ⁻¹ | Срх | $k_{1}, \stackrel{b}{\sim} L$ mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | $k_{-1}, b s^{-1}$ | $K_1,^b$ L mol ⁻¹ | activation and thermodynamic parameters; ^c conditions and comments ^d | ref |
| NO2 | Ме | МеОН | 25 25 25 20 25 | 12a | 950 | 350 | 2.71 | 13a | ~4 4.55 10.33 | $5 \times 10^{-4} 2.01 \times 10^{-3} 5 \times 10^{-4} 5 \times 10^{-4} $ | 7700 2260 20600 | isnc isnc ¹⁴ C exchange; $\Delta H_{-1}^{\dagger} = 78.6$; $\Delta S_{-1}^{\dagger} = -3.7$ isnc 0.5 M NaClO_4 ; $\Delta H_2^{\dagger} = 43.5$; $\Delta S_2^{\dagger} = -45$ $\Delta H_{-2}^{\dagger} = 34$; $\Delta S_{-2}^{\dagger} = -80.5$; $\Delta H_2^{\circ} = 9.5$; $\Delta S_2^{\circ} = -35.5$ | 109 110 40, 41 114 52 |
| | | | 25 25 25 | | | | | | 17.3 | 1.04×10^{-3} | 17000 | $\Delta S_{2} = -6; \Delta H_{1}^{\circ} = -20; \Delta S_{1}^{\circ} = 12.5$ isnc; $\Delta H_{1}^{+} = 54; \Delta S_{1}^{+} = -39; \Delta H_{-1}^{+} = 77;$ $\Delta S_{-1}^{+} = -20; \Delta H_{1}^{\circ} = -23; \Delta S_{1}^{\circ} = -19$ HPSE: $\Delta V_{1}^{+} = -7.2; \Delta V_{2} < 0$ | 33 113 43 44 |
| | | MeOH-Me, SO | 25 25 25 | | | | | | 13.4 14 13.9 | 1.10×10^{-3} | 12600 | isne; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 44.7$; $\Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -53.5$ isne; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 38$ | 197 124 38 |
| | | 90:10 80:20 70:30 | 25 25 25 25 | | 2450 | 95 | 33 | | 39.3 48 44 88.3 | 4.25×10^{-4} 2.60 × 10^{-4} 1.90 × 10^{-4} | 92600 1.85 × 10 ⁵ 4.65 × 10 ⁵ | snc; cd; $\Delta H_1^\circ = -27$; $\Delta S_1^\circ = 5$ isnc; cd; $\Delta H_1^\circ = -29$; $\Delta S_1^\circ = 3$ isnc isnc; cd; $\Delta H_1^\circ = -35.5$; $\Delta S_1^\circ = -10$ | 38 38 135 38 |
| | | MeOH-H ₂ O 3.17:96.83 | 25 | | | | | | 18 | 5.5×10^{-4} | 3.3 × 10 ⁴ | isne; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 52$; $\Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -46$; $\Delta H_{-1}^{\dagger} = 73$ $\Delta S_{-1}^{\dagger} = -62$; $\Delta H_1^{\circ} = -21$; $\Delta S_1^{\circ} = 16$ | 129, 138 |
| NO ₂ | Н | H ₂ O | 25 25 25 20 | 14a | 12 12 7.37 8.3 | 8.3 8.4 8.90 7 | 1.4 1.4 0.83 1.18 | 15a | 1.4 | | | 2 M NaCl 1 M NaCl isnc isnc | 136 136 67 77 |
| NO2 | D Et | D2O EtOH | 25 -60 25 | 14b | 1.03 3690 | 5.18 1.96 × 10 ⁻² 472 | 52 7.3 | 15b | 20.2 | | | isnc; $k_{-2}^{H_2O}/k_{-2}^{D_2O} = 1.72$ isnc; $k_{2}^{H^+} = 2.65 \times 10^6 \text{ at} - 70^{\circ} {}^{e,f}$ isnc; $k_{1}^{H^+} = 6 \times 10^6 \text{ at} + 10^{\circ} \text{ C};^e$ $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 52; \Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -35; \Delta H_{-1}^{\dagger} = 73 \text{ to } 83;$ $\Delta H_1^{\circ} = -20 \text{ to } -30; \Delta H_2^{\dagger} = 41; \Delta S_2^{\dagger} = -39;$ $\Delta H_{-2}^{\dagger} = 55; \Delta S_{-2}^{\dagger} = -9$ $\Delta H_{-2}^{\circ} = -14; \Delta S_{-2}^{\circ} = -9$ | 67 137 137 |
| SO ₂ CF ₃ | Me | МеОН | 20 | 12b | 750 | 25 | 30 | 13b | 141 | 1.17 × 10 ⁻⁴ | 1.2 × 10 ⁶ | $M_2 = 14, M_2 = -30$ $0.01 \text{ M buffer salts; } k_1^{\text{MeOH}} = 5 \times 10^{-5 i}$ $k_1^{\text{H}^+} = 1.66 \times 10^{6 e}$ | 56 |
| CN | Me | меОн-Ме ₂ SO 80:20 МеОН | 20 25 | 12c | 2300 | 3.7 | 620 | 13c | 450 6.1 | 0.022 | 280 | isnc isnc; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 55.5; \Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -43; \Delta H_{-1}^{\dagger} = 38; \Delta S_{-1}^{\dagger} = -133; \Delta H_{0}^{\circ} = 17.5; \Delta S_{0}^{\circ} = 90$ | 56 113 |
| | | MeOH-Ma SO | 43 20 | | 60 ^g | 420 ^g | 0.14 ^h | | 2.82 | 0.017 | 2.46 168 | LiOMe isnc | $\begin{array}{c} 115\\118\end{array}$ |
| | | 80:20 50:50 30:70 | 20 20 20 | | 190 2460 14800 | 128 11 1.85 | 1.48 224 8000 | | 7.07 60 251 | 6.5×10^{-3} 8.5×10^{-4} | 1090 70500 | isne isne isne | $118 \\ 118 \\ 118 \\ 118 $ |

TABLE III. Kinetic and Thermodynamic Parameters for 1,1- and 1,3-Complexes of 4-X-2,6-Dinitroanisoles

Terrier

| SO ₂ Me | Me | MeOH MeOH-Me.SO | 20 | 12d | 35 ^g | 440 ^g | 0.08 ^h | 13d | 1.75 | 0.017 | 101 | isnc | 56 |
|--------------------|----|---------------------------------|-----------|-----|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----|
| | | 80:20 | 20 | | | | | | 5.8 | 8 × 10 ⁻³ | 720 | isne | 56 |
| | | 60:40 | 20 | | 362 | 30 | 12 | | 17 | 1.36×10^{-3} | 12500 | isnc | 56 |
| | | 40:60 | 20 | | 3160 | 5.5 | 575 | | 117 | | | isnc | 56 |
| CHO | Me | MeOH | 25 | 12e | | | | 13e | | | 210 | isnc; LiOMe | 122 |
| COOMe | Me | MeOH | 25 | 12f | | | | 13f | 0.36 | 0.06 | 6 | isnc | 119 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 5.5 | isnc; n-Bu, NOMe | 120 |
| CF ₃ | Ме | MeOH | 20 | 12g | 2.5^{g} | 1400 ^g | 1.8 × 10 ^{-3 h} | 13g | | | 5 | isnc | 56 |
| | | | 20 | | | | | | | | 2 | af | 30 |
| | | | 25 | | | | | | | | $\overline{2}$ | isnc | 119 |
| | | | 25 | | | | | | | | 1.2 | NaOMe with crown ether | 123 |
| | | MeOH-Me,SO | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 20:80 | 20 | | 5500 | 2.75 | 2000 | | 152 | 0.013 | 11700 | isnc | 51 |
| | | 15:85 | 20 | | 10000 | 1.18 | 8500 | | | | | isnc | 51 |
| Cl | Me | MeOH | 20 | 12h | 2^{g} | 2000 ^g | 0.001^{h} | 13h | 0.012^{g} | 5^g | 2.5×10^{-3} h | | 56 |
| | | | 25 | | | | | | | | 4.3×10^{-3} | af | 31 |
| | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 25:75 | 20 | | 725 ^g | 5.95 ^g | 120 | | 4.65 | 0.011 | 420 | isnc | 51 |
| | | 20:80 | 20 | | 1260 | 2.80 | 440 | | 10 | 6.85×10^{-3} | 1460 | isnc | 51 |
| _ | | 10:90 | 20 | | 5250 | 0.74 | 7100 | | 30 | 1.44×10^{-3} | 20800 | isnc | 51 |
| F | Me | MeOH MeOH-Me ₂ SO | 20 | 12i | 1^{g} | 5000 ^g | 2×10^{-4} h | 13i | 2.5×10^{-3} g | 30 ^g | 8.5×10^{-5} h | | 56 |
| | | 15:85 | 20 | | 1260 | 4.67 | 270 | | 3.47 | 0.021 | 165 | isnc | 51 |
| | | 10:90 | 20 | | 2820 | 2.24 | 1260 | | | | | isnc | 51 |
| Н | Me | MeOH | 20 | 12j | | | | 13j | 1.5×10^{-3g} | 20 ^g | 7.5×10^{-5} | | 56 |
| | | | 20 | | | | | | | | 3.63×10^{-4} | af | 30 |
| | | | 25 | | | | | | | | 9 × 10 ⁻⁵ | af | 31 |
| | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 10:90 | 20 | | | | | | 4.17 | 6.2×10^{-3} | 675 | isne | 134 |

^a Sodium or potassium hydroxides or alkoxides unless indicated otherwise. ^b Rate and equilibrium constants as defined by eq 26. ^c Enthalpies in kJ mol⁻¹; entropies in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹; activation and reaction volumes in cm³ mol⁻¹. ^d Abbreviations: HPSF = high-pressure stopped-flow experiments; see Table I for others. ^e $k_1^{H^+}$, $k_2^{H^+}$ in L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹, are defined by eq 4 and refer to the H⁺-catalyzed decomposition of the 1,1 and 1,3 complexes, respectively; see Table V for other k^{H^+} values in H₂O. ^f Rate constants for catalysis by other acids, in L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ at -70 °C: 2,2-dimethylpropionic acid = 0.54; acetic acid = 1; 3-chloropropionic acid = 1.97; chloroacetic acid = 9.5. ^g Values estimated from the influence of Me₂SO on the rate constants k_1 , k_2 , and k_{-1} , k_{-2} (see section VIII). ^h K_1 (K_2) = k_1 (k_2)/ k_{-1} (k_{-2}). ⁱ k^{MeOH} , in s⁻¹, as defined by eq 4 with R = Me.

| TABLE IV. | Influence of the X Substituent | on the Rate and | d Equilibrium | Constants for | Formation and Deco | mposition of |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
| 1,3- and 1,1- | Complexes 12 and 13 | | | | | |

| | SO ₂ CF ₃ ^a | NO ₂ ^a | aza ^{a,c} | $\mathrm{SO}_2\mathrm{Me}^b$ | CN^b | CF ₃ ^b | Clb | H ^b |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $\frac{k_2/k_1}{k_{-2}/k_{-1}}$ | 5.32 2.13 × 10 ⁵ 2.5 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 55 3.5 × 10 ⁵ 1.6 × 10 ⁻⁴ | $16.64.35 \times 10^{3}3.80 \times 10^{-3}$ | $22 \\ 2.2 \times 10^{4} \\ 10^{-3}$ | 26 1.5 × 10 ⁴ 1.75 × 10 ⁻³ | 36 200 0.18 | 130 430 0.3 | 360 225 1.6 |

^a Values in MeOH. ^b Average values in MeOH-Me, SO mixtures (see Table III). ^c Reference 53; see section IIB5a.



Figure 3. Effect of Me₂SO concentration on the rate constants for the formation (k_1, k_2) and decomposition (k_{-1}, k_{-2}) of the 1,1 and 1,3 complexes of 4-X-2,6-dinitroanisoles. (---) Log k_1 (k_2) ; (---) $-\log k_{-1}$ (k_{-2}) .

tous,^{56,133} they indicate that Me₂SO affects the rates for formation and decomposition of 12 and 13 to about the same extent. Therefore, the relative thermodynamic stability of 1,1 and 1,3 complexes is not markedly altered by a change in the Me_2SO concentration. This observation is of considerable importance with respect to the rate of conversion of 12 into 13. The k_{obsd}^{max} value of the rate constant for this process (eq 13) may be used as a measure of the lifetime of 12 when this latter completely isomerizes into 13.53,56 Owing to the independence of the ratio K_1/K_2 on the Me₂SO concentration, the variation of k_{obsd}^{max} parallels that of k_{-1} . Increasing the Me₂SO content therefore results in a strong decrease in k_{obsd} max and a concomitant increase in the lifetime of 12. Thus, the $t_{1/2}$ of 12b is ~0.14 s in MeOH but 10000 s in 90% Me₂SO, i.e., 10^5 -fold greater than in the absence of Me_2SO cosolvent.⁵⁶ Similarly, the $t_{1/2}$ of a less stable complex like 12d changes from 0.5 s in 40% Me_2SO to 250 s in 90% Me₂SO.⁵⁶ These results show why NMR observation of such transient species can be made in Me₂SO.^{111,113,117}

iv. Buffer Catalysis. Methoxide ion departure from 1,1-complexes 13 (X = NO₂, SO₂CF₃, CN, SO₂Me, CF₃) is general acid catalyzed in aqueous solution.^{144,145} Buffer catalysis is appreciable with pyridinium ions but hardly detectable with neutral (carboxylic) or anionic $(H_2PO_4^-)$ acids. In all cases, the observed rate constant obeys the equation

$$k_{\text{obsd}} = k_{-1} + k^{\text{BH}^+}[\text{BH}^+] + k^{\text{H}^+}[\text{H}^+]$$
 (27)

The Brønsted α values range from 0.55 for X = CF₃ to 0.63 for X = SO₂CF₃; i.e., α slightly increases as X becomes more electron withdrawing (Table V). This trend has been rationalized, as has the trend in α values associated with the decomposition of a number of 1,1dialkoxy-2,4,6-trinitrocyclohexadienylides (section IIB2d), with the help of More O'Ferrall–Jencks energy diagrams.^{149–151} The results are fully consistent with a concerted mechanism and a transition state like **19**.¹⁴⁴



Such a mechanism must also operate in the general acid catalyzed decomposition of the TNB complex $5c^{16}$ and the 1,3-complex $14b^{137}$ in EtOH. ($\alpha = 0.67$ and 0.56, respectively). The uncatalyzed decomposition of the complexes $13^{138,139,144}$ involves a simple alkoxide ion departure, assisted by water solvation, as shown in 20, but without a proton transfer taking place in the rate-determining step.¹⁴⁴ Failure to observe general catalysis in earlier studies of the decomposition of $13a,^{138,146,147}$ $15b,^{137}$ or other 1,1 complexes^{138,148} was probably due to the use of very low buffer concentrations and/or inefficient catalysts.¹⁴⁴

v. 1:2 Complexes. At high base concentrations in methanolic and aqueous solutions, the 1,1-complexes 13 are converted into the diadducts 21 and 21', respectively^{30,74,140-143} (eq 28). The triadduct 22 has also



been observed.^{30,140} By use of the acidity functions $H_{\rm M}^{29,30,141}$ and $J_{\rm M}^{29,30,140}$ values of the equilibrium constant K for 21a (X = NO₂) and 21j (X = H) have been estimated in MeOH (Table VII). Interestingly, the conversion of 13 into 21 requires quite different NaOMe and KOMe concentrations, giving evidence for great differences in ion association.³⁰ The rate of decomposition of the diadduct 21a' (X = NO₂) in aqueous solution has been reported to depend on the nature of the cation.¹⁴²

b. 2-X-4,6-Dinitroanisoles¹⁵² (2-X-4,6-DNA). Due to the nonequivalence of the 3- and 5-positions, 2-X-4,6-dinitroanisoles may react with MeO⁻ to give the complexes 23, 24, and 25 (eq 29) which are designated as 1,5, 1,3, and 1,1 complexes, respectively.^{54,102,112,153–155} Under conditions where they can be observed, 23 and 24 which both result from MeO⁻ addition to an un-

TABLE V. Rate Constants and Bronsted α Values for the Decomposition of 1,1-Complexes 13 in Water at 25 °C^{*a,b*}

| | CF ₃ , 13g (0.74) | CN, 13c (1.00) | SO ₂ Me, 13d (1.05) | $NO_2, 13a$ (1.27) | $SO_2CF_3, 13b$ (1.65) |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| $k_{-1}, c s^{-1}$ | 0.134 | 1.30×10^{-2} | 1.06 × 10 ⁻² | 4.96×10^{-4} 5.51 × 10^{-4} d,e | 2.56×10^{-4} |
| k^{BH^+} . L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | | | | 0.01 / 20 | |
| γ -picoline-H ⁺ (6,23)f | 7.44 | 0.85 | 0.65 | 3.22×10^{-2} | 2.44×10^{-2} |
| pyridine-H ⁺ (5.36)f | 17.4 | 1,98 | 1.72 | 8.30×10^{-2} | $6.51 	imes 10^{-2}$ |
| nicotinamide-H ⁺ (3.40)f | | 28 | 29.6 | 1.25 | 0.9 |
| k^{H^+} , L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ (-1.74)f | 2.4×10^{5} | $4.7 	imes 10^4$ | $4.2 	imes 10^4$ | 3.4×10^{3} | 3.3×10^{3} |
| α | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.59 | 0.61 | 0.63 |

^a References 144, 145. ^b I = 0.2 M KCl. ^c Rate constants as defined by eq 27. ^d Reference 138. ^e $\Delta H_{-1}^{+}^{+} = 82$ kJ mol⁻¹; ref 139. ^f p $K_a^{BH^+}$.



 $\begin{array}{l} X = (a) \ SO_2 CF_3; (b) \ CN; (c) \ CF_3; (d) \ COOMe; (e) \ Cl; (f) \ F; \\ (g) \ H \end{array}$

substituted carbon, are formed under kinetic control. In all cases, these undergo a *complete* conversion into the thermodynamically more stable 1,1-complexes 25. NMR measurements have unambiguously confirmed the structure of the transient complexes where X = CNand CF_3 .¹⁵³ Kinetic and thermodynamic data are summarized in Table VI.

i. 1,1 Complexes. Formation of the 1,1-complexes 25 could be directly investigated in MeOH under conditions in which eq 1 applies, i.e., where 23 and 24 do not form (ref 26, 30, 31, 102, 113, 154-156). The stability sequence is essentially the same as that for the 4-X-2,6-DNA series, i.e., $SO_2CF_3 > NO_2 > CN > CF_3$ > COOMe > Cl > F > H. Because these adducts benefit from the stabilizing effect of a p-NO₂ group, all are isolable as potassium or sodium crystalline salts.^{113,153,155,157} In fact, with the exception of **25a** and 25g, they are more stable than their isomers 13. The SO_2CF_3 complex 25a is 6-fold more stable than the trinitro analogue 13a but less stable than its isomer 13b.¹³⁴ This shows that a p-SO₂CF₃ has more effect than an $0-SO_2CF_3$ group on complex stability.^{56,100} This behavior is similar to that observed for a NO_2 group^{11,53,113} and suggests greater electron-delocalizing ability for a para than for an ortho SO_2CF_3 group.^{56,158} The hydrogen complex 25g is two times less stable than 13j because its formation involves much less release of

steric strain.³¹ Ion-pairing effects have in some cases a marked influence on the K_1 values^{119-121,123} (section IX).

An interesting related 1,1 complex is 26, which forms from 1,3-dimethoxy-4,6-dinitrobenzene.³¹ Although it



should be favored on statistical grounds, **26** $(K_1 = 3.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ L mol}^{-1})^{31}$ is about 10-fold less stable than **25g** at 25 °C $(K_1 = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ L mol}^{-1})$.^{30,31,156} Since steric effects at the position of addition are similar, the lower stability of **26** has been attributed to a greater stabilization of the parent through resonance structures involving the two OMe groups (as shown for TNA in **17a**, **17b**). In addition, steric interactions between the OMe group at C-5 and the NO₂ group at C-4 may also be important. This latter factor would reduce the ability of the NO₂ group to delocalize the negative charge of **26**.³¹

Kinetic data have been obtained for the formation of 25a between pH 4 and 13 in MeOH.¹³⁴ Contrary to the observation with 13b, methanol attack does not significantly contribute to the formation of 25a. Formation and decomposition of the cyano and hydrogen complexes 25b and 25g have been investigated in MeOH and MeOD.^{113,156} The solvent deuterium isotope effects on the equilibrium and rate constants are similar: $K_1^{\text{H}}/K_1^{\text{D}}, k_1^{\text{H}}/k_1^{\text{D}}, k_{-1}^{\text{H}}/k_{-1}^{\text{D}}$ are equal, respectively, to 0.38, 0.51, and 1.34 for **25g**,¹⁵⁶ 0.45, 0.6, and 1.36 for 25b.¹¹³ They have been interpreted as secondary solvent isotope effects¹⁵⁶ and their magnitudes regarded to be typical for relevant S_NAr reactions.^{113} Comparison of the activation parameters for $25b^{113}$ and $25g^{156}$ with those for $13a^{113}$ is of interest. The activation enthalpies for formation of these adducts increase in the order 13a << 25g < 25b while the corresponding rate constants k_1 for 13a and 25b are similar and about 2500-fold greater than that for 25g. This supports the idea that entropy changes may also be important in determining the relative rates of formation of such complexes. This is also true for the rates of decomposition. The formation of **25g** is rate determining in the symmetrical methoxyl exchange reaction for 2,4-dinitroanisole (methyl.¹⁴C) in MeOH.⁴¹ The decomposition of 25a in MeOH,¹³⁴ like that of 25b in water,¹¹³ is strongly catalyzed by H⁺ ions.

| % | | $\frac{\overset{O_2N}{\underset{MeO}{\longrightarrow}} x}{\overset{We}{\longrightarrow}} x$ | | | | D ₂ N C H NO ₂ N OMe NO ₂ | | | | O₂N | MeO OMe | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|---|-----|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|-----|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------|
| x | % Me₂SO | °c | Срх | $k_3, L mol^{-1} s^{-1}$ | k_3, s ⁻¹ | $K_3,$ L mol ⁻¹ | Срх | $k_2, L mol^{-1} s^{-1}$ | k_{-2}, s^{-1} | K_2, L mol ⁻¹ | Срх | k_1, L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | <i>k</i> _1, s ⁻¹ | K_1 , L mol ⁻¹ | activation and thermodynamic parameters; ^c conditions and comments ^d | ref |
| SO ₂ CF ₃ | 0 | 20 | 23a | 87 0 0 | 47 | 185 | 24a | | | | 25a | 17.5 | 1.32×10^{-4} | 1.32×10^{5} | k_1 MeOH = 5.24 × 10 ⁻⁶ ; k_1 H ⁺ = 1.26 × 10 ⁶ ; 0.01 buffer salts | 134 |
| CN | 0 | 25 25 25 | 23b | | | | 24b | | | | 25b | 18.8 | 7.20 × 10 ⁻³ | 1140 2600 | isnc isnc; $\Delta H_1^{\circ} = 14.2; \Delta S_1^{\circ} = 104.5; \Delta H_1^{+} = 72; \Delta S_1^{+} = 21; \Delta H_{-1}^{+} = 57.8; \Delta S_{-1}^{+} = -83.5;$ in H ₂ O: $h_{-1} = 8 \times 10^{-3}; h_1^{+} = 1.73 \times 10^{4} e$ $\Delta H_1^{\circ} = -11.8; cd$ | 9, 26 113 33 |
| | | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 11 | 5×10^{-3} | 2200 | isnc | 159 |
| | MeOD | 25 | | | | | | | | | | 30.4 | 5.3 × 10 ⁻³ | 5736 | isnc; $\Delta H_1^{\circ} = 6.3$; $\Delta S_1^{\circ} = 74.4$; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 69$; $\Delta S_1^{\dagger} = 16$; $\Delta H_2^{\dagger} = 62.7$; $\Delta S_2^{\dagger} = -58.4$ | 113 |
| | 50 | 20 | | 17000 | 72 | 240 | | 4400 | 8.6 | 510 | | 310 | 1.65×10^{-4} | $1.9 	imes 10^6$ | isnc | 102, 154 |
| CF ₃ | 0 | 20 | 23c | | | | 24c | | | | 25c | | | 14 | af | 30 |
| | 0 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 0.35 | 0.012 | 29 | isnc | 159 |
| | 20 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 1.45 | 4.32×10^{-3} | 335 | isnc | 159 |
| | 40 | 20 | | | | | | 0.400 | 0.05 | 000 | | 5.8 | 1.15 X 10 ⁻³ | 5040 | isnc | 159 |
| 00014 | 70 | 20 | 001 | 15000 | 82 | 185 | 041 | 2400 | 8.05 | 296 | 05.1 | 130 | 6 X 10 ⁻³ | $2.2 \times 10^{\circ}$ | isnc | 102, 154 |
| COOMe | 0 | 20 | 230 | | | | 240 | | | | 25d | 0.22 | 0.022 | 10 | isne | 119 |
| CI | 0 | 20 | 020 | | | | 946 | | | | 05. | 0.10 | 0.00 | 8.3 | LIOMe or <i>n</i> -Bu ₄ NOMe | 120 |
| UI . | 0 | 20 | zae | | | | 24e | | | | 2 5 e | 0.18 | 0.06 | ა ე / | ISIC | 119 |
| | | 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.4 9 5 | of | 30 |
| | | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 0.98 | 0.036 | 2.0 | ai ieno | 54 |
| | 10 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 0.28 | 0.030 | 20 1 | isne | 54 |
| | 30 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 11 | 6×10^{-3} | 183 | isne | 54 |
| | 50 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 5.5 | 107×10^{-3} | 51 50 | isne | 54 |
| | 70 | 20 | | | | | | 795 | 21 | 38 | | 37 | 1.01 / 10 | 0100 | isnc | 54 |
| | 80 | 20 | | | | | | 2900 | 8.1 | 358 | | 105 | | | isnc | 54 |
| | 90 | 20 | | 29000 | 76 | 382 | | 10000 | 2 | 5000 | | 410 | 10-5 | 4.1 × 10 ⁷ | isnc | 54 |
| F | 0 | 25 | 23f | | | | 24f | | | | 25f | | | 0.3 | af | 31 |
| | | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 0.1^{f} | 0.4 | 0.245 | isnc | 155 |
| | 30 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 1.38 | 0.05 | 28 | isnc | 155 |
| | 50 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 6.3 | 0.0125 | 500 | isnc | 155 |
| | 70 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 63 | 1.4×10^{-3} | 45000 | isnc | 155 |
| | 80 | 20 | | | | | | 950 | 47.5 | 20 | | 250 | 4×10^{-4} | 6.3×10^{5} | isnc | 155 |
| | 90 | 20 | | | | | | 6000 | 13.5 | 450 | | 2000 | | | | 155 |
| Н | 0 | 25 | 23g | | | | 24g | | | | 25g | | | 2.88×10^{-4} | af | 140 |
| | | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | 4.6×10^{-5} | af | 31 |
| | | 20 | | | | | | | | | | 0.40 . 40.1f | 10 | 6.76 × 10 ⁻⁵ | at | 30 |
| | | 25 | | | | | | | | | | 2.12×10^{-37} | 42 | 5.05 × 10 ⁻ | at infinite dilution | 156 |
| | | 25 | | | | | | | | | | 7.90 X 10 ⁻³ | 28.7 | 2.74 × 10 ⁻⁴ | 0.2 M NaOMe; $\Delta H_1^{\circ} = 23.4$; $\Delta S_1^{\circ} = 11.2$; $\Delta H_1^{+} = 70.2$; $\Delta S_1^{+} = -39$; $\Delta H_{-1}^{+} = 46.8$; $\Delta S_{-1}^{+} = -50.2$ | 196 |

TABLE VI. Kinetic and Thermodynamic Parameters for 1,5, 1,3, and 1,1 Complexes of 2-X-4,6-Dinitroanisoles in MeOH-Me₂SO Mixtures^{a, b}

| | 25 | 1.94×10^{-2} | 7.63 | 2.54×10^{-3} | 1 M NaOMe | 156 |
|----|----|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|--|-----|
| | 25 | 1.06×10^{-3} | | | ¹⁴ C exchange; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 67.7$; $\Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -73^g$ | 41 |
| 85 | 20 | 14.80 | 0.45 | 33 | isnc | 108 |
| 90 | 20 | 76 | 0.095 | 800 | isnc | 108 |

^a NaOMe or KOMe unless indicated otherwise. ^b Rate and equilibrium constants as defined by eq 29. ^c Enthalpies in kJ mol⁻¹; entropies in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. ^d See Table I for abbreviations. ^e k_1^{MeOH} (in s⁻¹) and $k_1^{H^+}$ (in L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹) as defined by eq 4 with R = Me or H. ^f $k_1 = k_{-1}K_1$. ^g Calculated from data of ref 41.

Chemical Reviews, 1982, Vol. 82, No. 2

93

| TABLE VII. Kinetic and Thermodynamic Parameters for Formation and Decomposition of Various Alkoxy and Hydroxy Meisen | nheimer Complexes |
|--|-------------------|
|--|-------------------|

| compd | Срх | x | Y | Z | R | solvent ^a | °C | $k_{\rm f}$, ^b L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | k_{d}^{b}, s^{-1} | K, b L mol ⁻¹ | activation and thermodynamic parameters; ^c conditions and comments ^d | ref |
|------------|-----|----|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------|---|-----|
| MeQ OMe | 30 | CN | CN | NO ₂ | | MeOH MeOH-Me.SO | 25 | 2.06 | 0.198 | 10.40 | isnc; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 49.4$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -92$; | 32 |
| Ĭ(-)Ĭ | | | | | | 90:10 | 25 | 19.1 | 0.115 | 166 | isnc: $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 47.2$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -100$ | 32 |
| Ŷ | | | | | | 85:15 | 25 | 87.4 | 0.094 | 930 | isnc: $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 53$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -83.6$ | 32 |
| ł | | | | | | | 25 | | | | $\Delta H^{\circ} = -3.4; \Delta S^{\circ} = 36.4; cd$ | 32 |
| | 31 | CN | NO, | CN | | MeOH | 20 | 12.9 | 0.34 | 38 | isnc | 118 |
| | | | - | | | | $\frac{25}{25}$ | 12.4 | 0.37 | 34 | isnc; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 58.5$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -85.3$ $\Delta H^{\circ} > 0$; cd | 32 |
| | | | | | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 90:10 | 25 | 16.2 | 0.21 | 77 | isnc; $\Delta H_{a}^{\dagger} = 67.3$; $\Delta S_{a}^{\dagger} = -28.8$ | 32 |
| | | | | | | 70:30 | 25 | 43.8 | 0.063 | 695 | isnc; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -10.3$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = 19.6$; cd | 32 |
| | | | | | | 50:50 | 20 | 457 | 0.0125 | 36500 | isnc | 118 |
| | 32 | CN | CN | CN | | MeOH | 25 | | | 0.4 | isnc | 160 |
| | | | | | | MeOH-Me,SO | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 70:30 | 25 | | | 280 | isnc | 160 |
| | | | | | | 50:50 | 25 | 0.33 | 6 × 10⁻⁴ | 550 | isnc | 160 |
| | | | | | | 25:75 | 25 | 1.75 | 2×10^{-4} | 8000 | isnc | 160 |
| | 35 | Н | SO,CF, | NO, | | MeOH-Me,SO | | | | | | |
| | | | 2 5 | - | | 30:70 | 20 | 27.2 | 1.7 | 16 | isnc | 158 |
| | | | | | | 20:80 | 20 | 152.5 | 0.55 | 277 | isnc | 158 |
| | 36 | Н | NO, | SO ₂ CF ₃ | | MeOH-Me,SO | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | 20:80 | 20 | 68.5 | 0.95 | 72.1 | isnc | 158 |
| | | | | | | 10:90 | 20 | 664 | 0.28 | 2370 | isnc | 158 |
| | 37 | Н | SO,CF, | SO,CF, | | MeOH-Me,SO | | | | | | |
| | | | • • | | | 30:70 | 20 | 221 | 2.2 | 100 | isnc | 158 |
| | | | | | | 20:80 | 20 | 840 | 0.72 | 1165 | isnc | 158 |
| OMe | 33 | CN | CN | NO ₂ | | MeOH-Me,SO | | | | | | |
| | | | | - | | 85:15 | 25 | | | | $\Delta H^{\circ} = 12.7$; cd | 32 |
| Ĭ (- Ĭ / H | 34 | CN | NO, | CN | | MeOH-Me,SO | | | | | | |
| Y . | | | - | | | 60:40 | 20 | | | 2.24 | isnc | 118 |
| ↓ ÔMe | | | | | | 50:50 | 20 | 2800 | 273 | 10.25 | isnc | 118 |
| | | | | | | 30:70 | 20 | 31800 | 46 | 690 | isnc | 118 |
| | 38 | Н | NO ₂ | SO ₂ CF ₃ | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | 10:90 | 20 | | | 47 | isnc | 158 |
| | 39 | H | SO ₂ CF ₃ | SO ₂ CF ₃ | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 20:80 | 20 | | | 19.3 | isnc | 158 |

| TABLE VII (Continued) | |
|-----------------------|--|
|-----------------------|--|

| compd | Срх | x | Y | Z | R | solvent ^a | °C | $k_{\mathbf{f}}, \overset{b}{\sim} \mathbf{L}$ mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | k_{a}^{b}, s^{-1} | $K, b L mol^{-1}$ | activation and thermodynamic parameters; ^c conditions and comments ^d | ref |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---|---|--------|--|------------|---|------------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| | 27 | | | | | H ₂ O-Me ₂ SO 40:60 | 25 | 0.013 | 9.7 | 1.3 × 10 ⁻³ | isnc; $\Delta H_{\mathbf{d}}^{\dagger} = 55$; $\Delta S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\dagger} = -40$ | 67 |
| | 42a | <i>n</i> = 4 | | | H D | H ₂ O D ₂ O | 25 25 | 5.38 | 8.40 5.50 | 0.64 | isnc $k_d^{H_2O}/k_d^{D_2O} = 1.53$ | 67 67 |
| C H | 42b | <i>n</i> = 6 | | | н | H ₂ O | 25 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 0.5 M NaCl; $K_p = 3^e$ | 491 |
| | 60a | Cl | | | н | H₂O U O | 25 | 12 | 14 | 0.85 | 2 M NaCl; $K_p = 15$; $^e k_A = 0.4^f$ | 136 |
| U2IV CH | | | | | | $H_2O-tBuOH$ | 20 25 | 9 12000 | ь 0.6 | 1.5 2 x 10⁴ | isne | 96 |
| j ór NO ₂ | 60a' | | | | Me | MeOH | 2 5 | 912 | 303 | 2.58 | isne; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 54$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = 10.9$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 34.3$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -62.3$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = 19.7$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = 73.2$ | 197 |
| | 60 a '' | | | | Et | EtOH | 25 | 5770 | 19.7 | 293 | isnc; ${}^{\diamond}AH_{f}^{\dagger} = 40; \Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -38.5; \Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 37; \Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -98.5; \Delta H^{\circ} = 3; \Delta S^{\circ} = 60$ | 19 8 |
| | 60Ъ | NO ₂ | | | H | H ₂ O | 25 | 2900 | 0.2 | $14500 \\ 24000$ | 1 M NaCl; $K_{p} = 24;^{e} k_{A} = 140^{f}$ isnc | $\begin{array}{c} 136 \\ 192 \end{array}$ |
| | 60b'' | | | | Et | EtOH | 25 | | | >106 | isnc | 192 |
| | 60c | SO3 | | | Н | H ₂ O | 25 | 0.8 | 45 | 0.018 | 1 M NaCl; $K_{\rm p} = 32$; $k_{\rm A} = 0.045^{\prime}$ | 191 |
| | 60d | COO | | | Н | H ₂ O | 20 | 22 | 9.6 | 2.29 | isnc | 77 |
| | 60e | 0- | | | H | H ₂ O | 25 | 0.26 | 20 | 0.013 | 2 M NaCl; $K_p = 0.8^e$ | 136 |
| | 60e' | | | | Me | MeOH | 25 | | | 3×10^{-4} | af | 140 |
| | 50a | NO ₂ | | | | MeOH | 25 | 6.5 | 5.2 × 10 ⁻³ | 1.3 × 10° | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{+} = 78.2$; $\Delta S_{f}^{+} = 32.6$ $\Delta H_{d}^{+} = 113.7$; $\Delta S_{d}^{+} = 54.3$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -35.5$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = -21.7$ | 178 |
| NO ₂ | 5 0b | CN | | | | МеОН | 2 5 | 3.2 | 6.3 × 10 ⁻⁴ | 4.7 × 10 ³ | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 96$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = 87$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 91.5$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = 1$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = 4.5$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = 86$ | 178 |
| RQ CONH-/-Bu O2N (-) | 64a | | | | Н | H ₂ O | 25 | 17.6 | 0.0156 | 1130 | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 46$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -65$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 81$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = 11$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -35$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = -76$ | 199 |
| | 64b | | | | Me | MeOH | 25 | 1130 | 0.46 | 548 | isnc | 199 |

^{*a*} Sodium or potassium hydroxides or alkoxides. ^{*b*} k_{f} , k_{d} , and K represent the rate and equilibrium constants for formation and decomposition of the various complexes ^{*c*} Enthalpies in kJ mol⁻¹; entropies in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. ^{*d*} See Table I for abbreviations. ^{*e*} K_{p} for ionization of the OH group. ^{*f*} k_{A} for OH⁻ attack at the 1-position.

ii. 1.3 and 1.5 Complexes. At methoxide concentrations of 5×10^{-4} to 5×10^{-2} M, the reaction of 2-[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]-4,6-dinitroanisole with MeOin MeOH is characterized by a fast kinetic process followed by the slower appearance of 25a.¹³⁴ Whether the initially formed complex is 23a or 24a could not be established by NMR due to a rapid methanolysis of the SO_2CF_3 group in basic Me₂SO.¹⁵⁸ Its greater stability relative to that of 12a suggests, however, that this complex has a p-SO₂CF₃ group rather than a p-NO₂ group, i.e., structure 23a. No evidence for 24a has been found. Kinetic and equilibrium data for 23 and 24 (X = CN, CF_3 , Cl) have been obtained in some MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures. In these cases, conditions were found where the formation of the purple-colored adducts 23 occurs prior to that of the red-colored adducts 24.54,155 In comparing the parameters for 23 to those for 24, it is clear that the situation is similar to that encountered in comparing the parameters for the corresponding complexes 10 and 11. Both 23 and 24 form and decompose rapidly, and the lower stability of 23 relative to 24 results from a greater rate of decomposition. 54,102,154 Such an analogy is not unexpected since 23 and 24 are structurally similar to 10 and 11, respectively. In the case of the CN and CF₃ derivatives, where data are available in the same solvents, this similarity is fully supported by the near identity of the rate and equilibrium constants for formation and decomposition of 10 and 23 as well as for 11 and 24.^{102,154} When X = F. only formation of the 1,3-complex 24f is detected by SF in 90% Me₂SO.¹⁵⁵ In contrast, detection of 23g and 24g (X = H) has been reported in 98% Me₂SO-2% MeOH.¹⁰⁷ Rate and equilibrium constants for formation and decomposition of what is believed to be 27, i.e., the hydroxyl analogue of 23g, have been measured in 60% Me₂SO-40% H₂O.⁶⁷ When the slow but thermodynamically favored formation of the 1,1-complexes 25 is compared to the fast but thermodynamically nonfavored formation of the 1.5- and 1.3-complexes 23 and 24, all the arguments previously developed in the 4-X-2,6-DNA series apply. However, the release of steric strain around C₁ is, here, dependent on X. Me₂SO stabilizes complexes 23, 24, and 25 to about the same extent.

iii. 1:2 Complexes. As do their isomers 13, the 1,1complexes 25 add a second methoxide ion at high KOMe or NaOMe concentrations in MeOH to give diadducts 28 or 29. In the case of X = CN, NMR



evidence for 29 has been obtained.²⁶ The estimated values for the equilibrium constants K (as defined in eq 28) are given in Table VIII.

c. Other Substituted Anisoles. The equilibrium formation of the 1,1-dimethoxy complexes 30, 31, and 32 according to eq 1 (R = Me) has been investigated in MeOH. The K_1 values at 25 °C are 33.5, 10.4, and 0.4 L mol⁻¹ for 31, 30, and 32, respectively,^{32,160} as compared with K_1 values of 17 000, 2600, and 280 L mol⁻¹

TABLE VIII. Equilibrium Constants for Formation of Some 1:2 and 1:3 Complexes and Some Dianions in Methanol

| . f |
|------|
| en f |
| ei |
| 40 |
| 0 |
| 40 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 40 |
| 0 |
| 68 |
| 73 |
| 74 |
| 40 |
| 0 |
| 90 |
| 40 |
| |

^a K as defined by eq 28 or a similar equation. ^b Values estimated from acidity function determinations $(H_{\rm M})$. ^c Values estimated from acidity function determinations $(J_{\rm M})$. ^d In 95% Me₂SO-5% MeOH.



for 13a, 25b, and 13c, respectively.¹¹³ Replacing two nitro groups in the 2- and 4-positions of TNA by cyano groups results in a greater decrease in K_1 than replacing two nitro groups in the 2- and 6-positions: K_1^{13a}/K_1^{31} = 500; K_1^{13a}/K_1^{30} = 1700. This result is in accord with relative stabilities of the isomeric dinitrocyano complexes 25b and 13c¹¹³ and quantum mechanical calculations.²⁶ More significantly, the stability of the tricyano adduct is 42 500-fold smaller than that of 13a.¹⁶⁰ The effect of increasing the Me₂SO concentration in MeOH on the rates of formation and decomposition of 30, 31, and 32 has been studied.^{32,160} Calorimetric measurements have provided the ΔH_1° values for the

TABLE IX. Rate and Equilibrium Constants for 1,1- and 1,3-Diethoxy, -Dipropoxy-, and -Diisopropoxy Complexes in Ethanol, Propanol, and 2-Propanol at 25 °C

| . In his data an an in his data an affin d | | | | | $k_{\rm f},^{a} { m L}$ | | | k _{f,ip} L | | $K_{\rm ip}$, ^b L | |
|--|-------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----|
| | Срх | X | R | solvent | $mol^{-1} s^{-1}$ | $k_{\mathbf{d}},^{a} \mathbf{s}^{-1}$ | $K,^a L mol^{-1}$ | mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | $k_{\mathbf{d},\mathrm{ip}}, \mathbf{b} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | mol⁻¹ | ref |
| | 40a | NO, | Et | EtOH | 17 | 6 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 3 × 10 ⁵ | 30 | | | 57 |
| Sh X - | 40h | COOEt | | | 0.13 | 1.3×10^{-3} | 100 | 4 | 6×10^{-4} | 6.7×10^{3} | 57 |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 16\\ 16\\ 12\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ | 40k | Cl | | | 0.14 | 2.6×10^{-3} | 53 | 0.5 | 1.7×10^{-3} | 300 | 57 |
| | 40n | н | | | 0.01 | 7 | 1.5×10^{-3} | 0.01 | 1 | 0.01 | 57 |
| | | | | | | | $7.41 \times 10^{-4} c$ | | | | 162 |
| | 40b | NO, | Pr | PrOH | 28 | | > 2 	imes 10' | 50 | | $> 2 \times 10^{s}$ | 60 |
| | 40i | COOPr | | | 0.21 | 3.8 × 10⁻⁴ | 560 | 6 | 7×10^{-4} | 8400 | 60 |
| | 40 1 | Cl | | | 0.21 | 7.5×10^{-4} | 280 | 0.75 | 1.7×10^{-4} | 450 | 60 |
| | 40o | н | | | 0.016 | 3.1 | 5×10^{-3} | 0.014 | 1.3 | 0.011 | 60 |
| | 40c | NO. | i-Pr | i-PrOH | ≥50 | | | 100 | | | 59 |
| | 40 i | COOi-Pr | | | 0.11 | 7 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 1500 | 10 | 10-3 | 10000 | 59 |
| | 40m | Cl | | | 0.10 | 10-4 | 1000 | 1.8 | 3.6×10^{-3} | 500 | 59 |
| | 40p | н | | | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.009 | 0.36 | 0.025 | 59 |
| , A | 41a | NO. | \mathbf{Et} | EtOH | 2100 | 30 | 70 | 1700 | 30 | 57 | 57 |
| ch、人 ス | 41b | NO. | Pr | PrOH | 4500 | 16 | 280 | 2400 | 19 | 125 | 60 |
| | 41c | NO ₂ | i- P r | i-PrOH | 8000 | ≤0.1 | ≥10⁵ | 2400 | 0.6 | 4 × 10 ³ | 59 |

 ${}^{a} k_{f}, k_{d}$, and K represent k_{1}, k_{-1}, K_{1} , and k_{2}, k_{-2}, K_{2} as defined by eq 29 and in the absence of ion pairing: NaOR + crown ether or Me₄NOR. ${}^{b} k_{f,ip}, k_{d,ip}$, and K_{ip} represent the same rate and equilibrium constants as determined in the presence of ion pairing (see eq 22: NaOR + NaClO₄ or sodium tetraphenylborate. c Acidity function determination.

formation of **30** in 15% Me₂SO and of **31** in 20 and 30% Me₂SO.^{32,33} They have also provided evidence for the formation of the transient 1,3- complex **33** in 15% Me₂SO.³² Contrary to **33**, the isomer **34** does not benefit from the stabilizing effect of a p-NO₂ group. It has been detected in mixtures with only \geq 40% Me₂SO, and its lifetime is about 3 s in 90% Me₂SO.¹¹⁸

The trifluoromethylsulfonyl 1,1-complexes 35, 36, and 37 have been compared with the dinitro analogue 25g in MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures.¹⁵⁸ The order of stabilities is 37 > 35 > 36 > 25g, confirming earlier conclusions on the effect of the SO₂CF₃ group on complex stability.⁵⁶ Equilibrium constants for formation of the transient complexes 38 and 39 were also measured in 80 and 90% Me₂SO.¹⁵⁸ In all cases, methoxide ion attack on the SO₂CF₃ group(s) of the parents is a concurrent but slower reaction.^{158,161} The results are summarized in Table VII.

d. Miscellaneous Alkoxybenzenes. Equilibrium and kinetic data have been reported for the reactions of sodium ethoxide, sodium propoxide, and sodium isopropoxide with a series of 2-X-4,6-dinitro-1-ethoxy-, -1-propoxy-, and -1-isopropoxybenzenes to give the 1,1-complexes 40 (R = Et, Pr, *i*-Pr; X = NO₂, COOR,

X = Cl, R = (k) Et; (l) Pr; (m) i Pr

X = H, R = (n) Et; (o) Pr; (p) i-Pr

Cl, H) in EtOH, PrOH, and *i*-PrOH, respectively.^{57,59,60} In the case of the trinitro derivatives, the formation of the 1,3-complexes 41a-c is observed initially. The results have been interpreted in terms of eq 22 and, when necessary, eq 10. Values of the rate and equilibrium constants in Table IX are derived from experiments conducted in the presence of sodium perchlorate or tetraphenylborate $(k_{ip}, k_{-ip}, K_{ip})$ or of crown ethers (k_1, k_{-1}, K_1) . Tetramethylammonium alkoxides have also been used to avoid ion pairing. Table IX shows that for 1,1-complex formation the sodium alkoxide ion pairs have a greater reactivity than free RO⁻ ions while the ion paired σ -complexes 40 revert to the reactants less rapidly (R = Et, iPr) or more rapidly (R = Pr; X = COOPr) than their unpaired analogues. In contrast the free RO⁻ ions are much more reactive than the RO⁻, Na⁺ ion pairs in forming the 1,3-complexes 41. These results are consistent with a high tendency of the 1,1complexes to associate with cations (see section IX).^{57,59,60}

As expected from solvent basicities, the K values for RO^- addition to similarly activated substrates are in the order $K^{EtO} < K^{PrO} < K^{i-PrO}$. The same sequence holds for the rates of formation of the 1,3 complexes. In contrast, the order is *i*-PrO < EtO < PrO for the rates of formation of the 1,1 complexes. This inversion probably reflects greater F strain associated with formation of the 1,1-diisopropoxy complexes.⁶⁰ That the complexes 40 have considerably greater stability but form less rapidly than their isomers 41 is qualitatively explicable in the same terms as discussed above for formation of 1,1- and 1,3-dimethoxy complexes.

Spectrophotometric measurements of the apparent equilibrium constant K_c for formation of the adduct 40n from the reaction of NaOEt and KOEt with 2,4-dinitrophenetole have been used to define an acidity function J_E for ethoxide solutions in EtOH.¹⁶² In EtOD solutions of the same [EtO⁻], the K_c value is greater than that in EtOH solutions by a factor of 2.5. This result compares well with the one found for 25g in MeOH¹⁵⁶ and is consistent with the formulation of EtO⁻ as an entity containing three hydrogen-bonded solvent molecules.¹⁶²

As that of their dimethoxy analogue 13a, the decomposition of the picryl 1,1-complexes 40 (R = Et, CH₃-OCH₂CH₂, ClCH₂CH₂, HC \equiv CCH₂) is catalyzed by pyridinium ions and H₃O⁺ in aqueous solution.^{144,145} TABLE X. Rate Constants, Solvent Isotope Effects, and Brønsted α Values for the Decomposition of 1,1-Dialkoxy-2,4,6-trinitrocyclohexadienylides in Water at 25 °C^{a,b}

| | | NO2 | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| R | Et | Me | CH ₃ OCH ₂ CH ₂ | CICH ₂ CH ₂ | HC≡CCH ₂ |
| pK _a ^{ROH} , ^e complex ^g | 16, 40a | 15.54,13a | 14.82, 40d | 14.31, 40e | 13.55, 40f |
| $k_{-1}H_{2}O, s^{-1}$ | 3.22 × 10 ⁻⁴ 2.96 × 10 ^{-4 f} | $4.96 	imes 10^{-4}$ | $1.65 	imes 10^{-3}$ | 6.09 × 10 ⁻³ | 5.40×10^{-2} |
| $k_{-1}D_{2}O, s^{-1}$ | | | 1.21×10^{-3} | | 4.19 × 10 ⁻² |
| $k_{-1}H_{2}O/k_{-1}D_{2}O$ | | | 1.37 | | 1.30 |
| k^{BH^+} , L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | | | | | |
| γ -picoline, H ⁺ (6.23) ^d | 3.82×10^{-2} | 3.32×10^{-2} | 0.11 | 0.109 | 0.645 |
| pyridine, H^+ (5.36) ^d | 0.127 | 8.30 × 10⁻² | 0.265 | 0.205 | 1.15 |
| nicotinamide, H^+ (3.40) ^{<i>d</i>} | | 1.25 | 3.83 | 2.66 | 6.05 |
| $k^{\rm H^+}$, L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ (-1.74) ^d | 8×10^{3} | $3.4	imes10^3$ | 2.9×10^{3} | 9.2×10^{2} | $8.2 	imes 10^2$ |
| | 1.20×10^{4} | | | | |
| $k\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}$, L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | 1.4 ×10⁴ | 6.8×10^{3} | 5×10^{3} | 1.45×10^{3} | $9.9	imes10^2$ |
| $k H^{+}/k D^{+}$ | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.637 | 0.82 |
| α | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.35 |

^a References 144, 145. ^b I = 0.2 M KCl. ^c Rate constants as defined by eq 27. ^d pK_aBH^+ . ^e References 92, 167. ^f References 138, 166. ^g Also in ref 138: R = Pr (40b), $k_{-1}H_2O = 1.45 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$; R = *i*-Pr (40c), $k_{-1}H_2O = 3.17 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$; R = CH₂=CHCH₂ (40g), $k_{-1}H_2O = 1.58 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

The Brønsted α values coefficients range from 0.35 to 0.65 (Table X), indicating concerted acid catalysis with a transition state like 19. α increases with increasing basicity of the leaving group. Interestingly, there is a concomitant decrease in the solvent isotope effect $(k^{\rm H^+}/k^{\rm D^+})$ on the H⁺-catalyzed pathway, from 0.82 for the least basic (R = HC \equiv CCH₂) to 0.49 for the most basic (R = Et) leaving group. The trends in α and $k^{\rm H^+}/k^{\rm D^+}$ are toward the values expected for an A₁ mechanism.¹⁶³⁻¹⁶⁵ More O'Ferrall-Jencks diagrams¹⁴⁹⁻¹⁵¹ have allowed better visualization of the effect of changing the leaving group on the transition state. The uncatalyzed decomposition, i.e., the "water reaction", is a simple alkoxide ion departure, as described in 20.144 The solvent isotope effects on this pathway $(k_{-1}^{H_2O}/$ $k_{-1}^{D_2 O} \sim 1.30$) have values typical for similar water reactions.^{46,69,164} The activation volumes for the uncatalyzed and H⁺-catalyzed decompositions of 40a are -5.6 and +18 cm³/mol⁻¹, respectively.¹⁶⁶ k_{-1} for 40a decreases when adding Me₂SO to aqueous solutions. A plot of log k_{-1} vs. N_{Me_2SO} is linear.¹⁶⁶ Rate and activation parameters for the uncatalyzed decomposition of a number of unsymmetrical picryl complexes 2 have been reported: $R = Me, R' = Pr, i-Pr, Bu, i-Bu, n-C_5H_{11}, i-C_5H_{11}; R = Et; R' = Pr, i-Pr; R = Pr, R' = i-Pr^{138,139}$ 1-(4-Hydroxybutoxy)- and 1-(6-hydroxyhexyloxy)-2,4,6-TNB add OH⁻ to the 3-position of the ring to give 42a and 42b in aqueous NaOH.^{67,491}

3. 1-Substituted Trinitro-, Dinitro-, and Mononitrobenzenes

a. 2,4,6-Trisubstituted Anilines and Related Derivatives. Hydroxide and alkoxide ions may react

with picramide, N-substituted picramides, or related substrates 43 by addition and proton abstraction pro-

 $\begin{array}{l} X = NO_{2}; (a) R' = R'' = H; (b) R' = H, R'' = Me; (c) R' = H, \\ R'' = n \cdot Bu; (d) R' = H, R'' = i \cdot Pr; (e) R' = H, \\ R'' = t \cdot Bu; (f) R' = H, R'' = Ph; (g) R' = R'' = Me \\ X = CF_{3}; (h) R' = R'' = Pr; \\ X = H (i) R' = R'' = H \end{array}$

cesses according to eq $30.^{111,130,168-171}$ Such 1:1 interactions show kinetics characterizing a very fast process which corresponds to deprotonation of the amino group to give the conjugate base 44 and a slower process which corresponds to RO⁻ addition at the unsubstituted 3position to give $45.^{15,77,172}$ In the case of N,N-dialkyl substrates, only the slow process is observed.¹⁷⁶ Rate and equilibrium data have been obtained for the reactions of OH⁻, MeO⁻, and EtO⁻ with compounds 43a-i in water, MeOH, and EtOH, respectively (Table XI).^{15,77,169,172-174} If one excepts N-phenylpicramide 43fwhich only yields the anion 44f, complex formation and proton loss are closely balanced, with K_2/K_p ratios varying from 52 for 43e to 0.65 for 43b in MeOH.¹⁷² For the N-alkylpicramides 43b-f, the K_p values decrease as the substituent R" changes along the series Me, n-Bu,

TABLE XI. Rate and Equilibrium Data for 1:1 Interactions of 4-X-2,6-Dinitroanilines with Hydroxide and Alkoxide Ions

| | | | | | | | - | ₹ <u></u> | | 81 | ~~- | |
|-----------------|--------|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------|-----------------------------|-----|
| | | | | | | | 24 | | | °₂'∨ | ₩0 ₂ | |
| | | | | | | | | I JR X CR | | | × | |
| x | R' | R '' | \mathbf{R}^{a} | solvent | t, °℃ | Срх | $k_2, b L$ mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | k_{-2}^{b}, s^{-1} | K_2 , ^b L mol ⁻¹ | anion | K _p ^b | ref |
| NO ₂ | Н | Н | Н | H ₂ O | 25 | 45a | | 0.5 | 32.8 | 44a | 0.4 | 169 |
| | | | Мө | MeOH | 20 25 | 45a' | 30 | 0.7 | 42.85 38 | | 3.4 | 168 |
| | | | NIC | meon | $\frac{10}{25}$ | 104 | 1900 | 60 | 32 | | 9 | 172 |
| | | | Et | EtOH | -50 | 45a'' | 8.7 | $7.3 	imes 10^{-3}$ | 1200 | | | 15 |
| | | | | | 25 | | 6800 ^c | 1.86 ^c | 3600 ^a | | | 15 |
| | н | Ме | Me | MeOH | 25 | 45b' | 280 | 21 | 13 | 44b | 20 | 172 |
| | | ~ | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 5:95 | 25 | | | 00 | 0.0 | | 2×10^{3} | 174 |
| | н | n-Bu | Me | MeOH | 25 | 45C | 440 | 20 | 22 | 44C | 8.3 E E | 172 |
| | H | <i>i</i> -Pr | Me | MeOH | 20 | 45a | 450 | 10 | 28 | 44a | 0.0 | 172 |
| | п u | l-Du Dh | Mo | MoOH | 20 | 45e 45f | 270 | 10.0 | 20 | 44e 11f | $>10^4$ | 172 |
| | Mo | Mo | Me | MeOH | 25 | 45a' | | | 7 | 441 | >10 | 168 |
| | NIC | MC | IVIC | MeOH | 25 | 106 | 180 | 31 | 6 | | | 172 |
| | | | | MeOH-Me.SO 5:95 | 25 | | 100 | | 1400 | | | 173 |
| CF. | Pr | Pr | н | H,O | 25 | 45h | 0.0153^{f} | 0.368^{f} | 0.031^{f} | | | 176 |
| | | | | H,O-Me, SO 60:40 | 25 | | 0.09 | 0.093 | 0.97 | | 4.1^{e} | 176 |
| | | | | 40:60 | 25 | | 0.46 | 0.026 | 17.5 | | | 176 |
| | | | | 30:70 | 25 | | 1.99 | $7.84 	imes 10^{-3}$ | 254 | | | 176 |
| | | | | 20:80 | 25 | | 6.56 | 2.65×10^{-3} | 2475 | | | 176 |
| Н | Н | Н | Me | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 25:75 | 25 | 45i' | | | ~80 | 4 4i | ~110 | 175 |

^a Sodium or potassium hydroxides; sodium methoxide. ^b Rate and equilibrium constants as defined by eq 30. ^c Calculated from the activation enthalpies; $\Delta H_2^{\dagger} = 46.4$; $\Delta H_2^{\dagger} = 38$ (kJ mol⁻¹); $\Delta S_2^{\dagger} = -13.5$; $\Delta S_2^{\dagger} = -119$ (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹). ^d $K_2 = k_2/k_2$. ^e K_p for ionization of the OH group of 45h to give 48. ^f Values estimated from linear plots of log k_2 , log k_{-2} , and log K_2 vs. $N_{\text{Me}_2\text{SO}}$.

i-Pr, *t*-Bu. This is in the direction expected from inductive effects, but steric interaction between the substituent and the o-nitro groups also plays an important role in the variations.^{172,175} In the case of 43f, K_p is more than 10³-fold greater than other K_p values in the series. This reflects the particularly good electron-delocalizing ability of the phenyl group which stabilizes the anion 44f. The K_2 values are on the order of those for RO⁻ addition to TNB. Addition of Me₂SO to the aqueous or methanolic solutions increases both K_p and K_2 , but proton abstraction is more favored than base addition.¹⁷²⁻¹⁷⁴ The sole product of the 1:1 interaction of *N*-methylpicramide 43b and MeO⁻ in 95% Me₂SO-5%-MeOH is 44b.¹⁷⁴ MeO⁻ addition at the 3-position of 2,6-dinitroaniline to give 45i competes with proton loss to give 44i in MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures.¹⁷⁵ In contrast, only proton loss occurs in the *N*-alkyl 2,4-dinitro set

ries.¹⁷⁵ Equilibrium data for the formation of the 1:2 complexes or dianions 46, 47, and 48 are given in Table VII.^{168,169,173,174,490} 47b forms in concentrated NaOMe or KOMe solutions in MeOH.³⁰

The reaction of MeO^- with 2,6-dinitro-4-X-anilino-N-methylpropionamides 49a-c in MeOH is unique in

that base addition takes place at the 1-position to give the 1,1-complexes 50a-c.¹⁷⁸ There is no anilino NH ionization, 3-complex formation, or nucleophilic displacement of the side chain. Complexes 50 have low rates of formation and decomposition but a high thermodynamic stability, as expected for addition at a substituted carbon. Stabilization of 50 through intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the anilino NH and an o-NO₂ group may be responsible for this stability.¹⁷⁸ Addition of piperidine, morpholine, or triethylamine to a methanolic solution of 49 does not displace the amido side chain but results in the formation of 50.¹⁷⁷ Upon acidification of 50a, the nitronic acid 51 is formed prior to the recovery of 49a.¹⁷⁷

Transient 1,1-intermediates of type 50 have been observed in the reactions of picryl ethers with aliphatic amines.^{179,180} RO⁻ addition at the 1-position of 2,4-dinitro-1-piperidinonaphthalene also yields a 1,1 complex of some stability^{181,182} (see section IIB4).

b. 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene and Derivatives. The major interactions of TNT with OH^- and RO^- (R = Me, Et, *i*-Pr, *t*-Bu) ions are base addition at the 3-position to give the 3-complexes 56a-e and proton loss from the methyl group to give the anion 53.^{15,84,183-190} When it forms, 56 is produced prior to 53 which is thermodynamically more stable. With TNT in excess of the base or in the presence of surfactants formation of the Janowsky complex 52 may occur^{183,190} (see section VID). Buncel, Norris, et al. have also studied the reactions of TNT- d_3 (deuterated methyl group) with EtO⁻, *i*-PrO⁻, and t-BuO⁻ in EtOH, i-PrOH and t-BuOH, respectively.¹⁸⁵⁻¹⁸⁸ As shown by the observation of an isotope effect of about 8 in the three systems, the formation of 53 involves a rate-determining proton transfer. In i-PrOH and t-BuOH, ion-pairing effects strongly affect the reactions.^{186–188} Evaluation of the individual roles of free RO⁻ ions and ion pairs was possible in both σ -complex formation and the proton transfer, using equations similar to eq 22. In i-PrOH the free i-PrOions are the more reactive species in proton transfer while in σ -complex formation the free ions and ion pairs have comparable reactivity.¹⁸⁶ In t-BuOH, free t-BuO⁻ ions are far more reactive than the ion pairs in forming both 53 and 56e.¹⁸⁸ In contrast with the behavior of TNT, the most stable complex derived from TNBCl

results from addition at the 1-position.¹⁹⁰ **59** has a stability comparable with that of the anion **55**. Proton transfer is largely favored over base addition in the reactions of EtO^- with trinitro-*m*-xylene and trinitro-mesitylene.¹⁵ Rate and equilibrium data are summarized in Table XII.

c. Miscellaneous Benzene Derivatives. Kinetics and equilibrium data are available for the reactions of a number of 1-X-2,4,6-TNB with OH^- in aqueous solution.^{136,191-194} In each case, the 3-hydroxy complex **60**,

and not the complex 61,^{77,195} is initially formed. Ionization of the added OH group of 60 to give 62 has been observed.^{136,191} For X = Cl, NO₂, SO₃⁻, nucleophilic displacement occurs in a second step via OH- attack at the X-bearing carbon of the parents to give picrate ion (61 is undetected). The major interaction between picrate ion and OH⁻ in water and MeOH is the formation of the diadducts 63^{136,140,196} (Table VII). A remarkable result is that N-tert-butyl-2,4,6-trinitrobenzamide reacts with OH-, MeO-, and EtO- to give the 1,1-complexes 64.¹⁹⁹ No nucleophilic displacement of the side chain occurs. The stability of these unsymmetrical 1.1-complexes is probably due to the important relief of steric strain which accompanies their formation.¹⁹⁹ In H₂O-Me₂SO mixtures and in the presence of bile salts, the 1,3-complex 65a is reported to be thermodynamically favored relative to 64a.²⁰⁰ All the rate and equilibrium data are listed in Table VIII.

Kinetic evidence exists for the transient formation of complexes 66 and/or 67 in the reactions of OH⁻ and MeO⁻ with a number of 1-X-2,4-dinitrobenzenes (X = Cl, Br . .) in H₂O-Me₂SO and MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures.^{201,202} The oxidative decomposition of 3carboxy-4-nitrobenzenesulfenate in aqueous KOH 15.3 M involves the formation of an observable mononitrobenzene complex which is 68 or 69. A mixture of these two species is also possible.²⁰³ The lack of a good leaving group is responsible for the appreciable stability

| | anion | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|
| | or Cpx | solvent | base ^a (RO⁻) | t, [⊂] C | k_{f} , ^b L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | k_{d} , $b_{s^{-1}}$ | <i>K</i> , ^b L mol ⁻¹ | comments ^c | ref |
| | 53 | H ₂ O-dioxane 50:50 MeOH | OH ⁻ MeO | 25 25 25 | 2.42 13.3 20 | 0.0075 1.07 | 323 12.4 | 0.2 M NaCl ⁱ 0.5 M NaClO ₄ ⁱ isnc | 183 183 189 |
| | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 60:40 50:50 | | 20 25 25 | 20 | | 7.1 225 530 | isnc isnc isnc | 84 189 189 |
| | | 40:60 EtOH | EtO⁻ | 25 25 19 25 | 82 63 138 | 0.045 0.039 0.08 | $ \begin{array}{r} 1000 \\ 1820 \\ 2040 \\ 1700 \end{array} $ | isnc 0.4 M NaClO₄ ⁱ isnc isnc | 189 183 15 185 |
| | | EtOD | | 25 | 250 | | | isnc; $k^{\text{EtOD}}/k^{\text{EtOH}} = 1.81$ | 185 |
| | 54 | i-PrOH t-BuOH EtOD EtOH | i-PrO ⁻ t-BuO ⁻ EtO ⁻ | 30 30 25 25 | 7123 2.7 × 10 ^{5 d} 39.1 19.8 | 0.02 | 2000 | $k_{f,ip} = 7 \times 10^{3e}$ $k_{f}^{TNT}/k_{f}^{TNT-d_{3}} = 7$ | 186 188 185 185 |
| $\bigcup_{k=1}^{n}$ | | t-BuOH | <i>t</i> - BuO ⁻ | 30 30 | 4.2×10^{4} d | | | $k_{\rm f,ip} = 8 \times 10^2 e$ | 186 |
| | 55 | MeOH | MeO⁻ | 25 | 16 | 0.065 | 250 | isnc | 190 |
| | 56b | MeOH MeOH-Me ₂ SO 60:40 50:50 40:60 | MeO | $25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25$ | 280 2800 5400 12000 | 3000 100 35 10 | 0.09 28 155 1200 | isnc isnc isnc | 189 189 189 189 |
| CR Ng | 56c | EtOH | EtO⁻ | 25 -80 | 1500-3000 0.019 | 80-200 0.011 | 7.5-37.5 1.7 | isnc isnc | 183 184 |
| . <u>1</u> | 56d 56e 57e | i-PrOH t-BuOH t-BuOH | i-PrO⁻ t-BuO⁻ t-BuO⁻ | 25 25 30 30 | $ 1580 3.8 \times 10^{5 d} 3.8 \times 10^{5 d} $ | 3.64 | 440 | isne isne $k_{f,ip} = 1.4 \times 10^{3} e$ $k_{f,ip} = 1.4 \times 10^{3} e$ | 184 186 188 188 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 58b 58c 58d | MeOH EtOH i-PrOH | MeO⁻ EtO⁻ i-PrO⁻ | 25 25 25 | 10000 10 ^{s f} | 14 | <20 700 | isnc isnc k _{f,ip} = 10 ^{4 g} | 190 190 190 |
| | 59b 59c | MeOH EtOH | MeO⁻ EtO⁻ | 25 25 | 770 7000 | 2.2 <1 | 350 >10⁴ | isne isne | 190 190 |

TABLE XII. Kinetic and Thermodynamic Parameters for the Reactions of TNT and Derivatives with Hydroxide and Alkoxide Ions

^a Sodium hydroxide or alkoxides unless indicated otherwise. ^b $k_{\rm f}$, $k_{\rm d}$, and K refer to the various rate and equilibrium constants of eq 32. ^c See Table I for abbreviations; $k_{\rm f,ip}$ refers to proton loss or base addition steps involving ion pairs RO⁻, M⁺. ^d KO-t·Bu + crown ethers or n-Bu₄O-t-Bu. ^e KO-t·Bu. ^f Me₄NO-i·Pr. ^g NaO-i·Pr + sodium salts. ^h Calculated at 25 ⁻C from activation and thermodynamic parameters. ⁱ Rate and equilibrium constants for formation and decomposition of the Janowsky complex 52: $k_{\rm f} = 442$, $k_{\rm d} = 3.5$, K = 18.9 in MeOH; $k_{\rm f} = 700$, $k_{\rm d} = 34.5$, K = 20.3 in EtOH; $k_{\rm f} = 3400$, $k_{\rm d} = 30$, K = 113 in H₂O-dioxane 50:50; see ref 183.

of 68 and/or 69 which would exist predominantly as their conjugate bases.

4. Naphthalenes

1-Methoxy-2,4-dinitronaphthalene reacts with dilute MeO⁻ solutions in MeOH to give directly the 1,1-complex **70a** according to eq $1.^{44,108,120,204}$ The stability of **70a** ($K_1 = 240$ L mol⁻¹ at 25 °C) is (4.6×10^6)-fold greater and 75-fold lower than that of the 1,1-complexes **25g** and **13a** of 2,4-dinitroanisole and TNA, respectively²⁰⁴ (Table XIII). This shows that the stabilizing effect of the added aromatic ring, relative to **25g**, is

uncatalyzed decomposition of 70a ($\Delta V_{-1}^{*} = -8.9 \text{ cm}^{3}$ mol⁻¹) is consistent with a reactant-like rather than with a complex-like transition state.⁴⁴ Replacing one of the two NO_2 groups of 70a by a cyano group gives 70b and 70c which both have, as expected, a lower stability.²⁰⁵ Introduction of a third nitro group at the 5- and 7positions of 70a increases the stability by a factor of 130 and 500, respectively.^{206,207} The steric interaction between the 4- and $5-NO_2$ groups of 70d which results in some loss of coplanarity and hence to decreased conjugation would be the major factor responsible for the lower stability of this adduct relative to its isomer 70e.^{206,207} The rate of decomposition of 70a and 70d is catalyzed, like that of 13a, by hydronium ion in aqueous solution.^{204,206} The k^{H^+} rate constants parallel the order of stability 70a > 13a > 70d. Of interest is that the formation of the less stable purple-colored 1,3-complex 71 may be detected prior to that of 70a in mixtures with \geq 70% Me₂SO.¹⁰⁸ While the stability of **70a** is close to that of the cyano 1,1-complex 13c, the stability of 71 is 1400-fold smaller than that of the cyano 1,3-complex 12c and similar to that of the fluoro 1.3-complex 12i. The surprisingly low stability of 71 results from the absence of a NO_2 group para to the sp³ carbon. In fact, the structure of 71 resembles that of the 1,5-complexes 23.¹⁰⁸ Surprisingly, the analogous 1,3-complexes of 1-methoxy-2,4,5- or -2,4,7-trinitronaphthalene have not been observed. The 1,1-complex 70f from 1-methoxy-5-nitronaphthalene forms in 90% $Me_2SO-10\%$ MeOH.²⁰⁸

The reactions of OH^- and MeO^- ions with 1,3,6,8tetranitro-, 1,3,8-trinitro-, and 1,3-dinitronaphthalenes result in equilibrium formation (eq 1) of the hydroxy or methoxy adducts 72. Similarly, 1,4,5,8- and

1,3,5,8-tetranitro-, 1,4,5-trinitro-, and 1,5-dinitronaphthalenes give the adducts 73.^{108,208,209} Rate constants for the formation and decomposition of all these complexes have been determined (Table XIII). In a number of cases, the reactions are followed by slower processes shown to be nucleophilic displacements of NO₂ groups, as evidenced by liberation of nitrite ions.²⁰⁸ Buffer catalysis has been observed in the formation of 72a.²⁰⁹

Complexes of type 72 are clearly different from those

of type 73. In the first series, the incoming lyate ion attacks at a position both ortho and para with respect to the two NO_2 groups in the ring undergoing substitution. In the second series, attack takes place at a position ortho and/or meta with respect to the nitro(s) group(s) in the ring undergoing substitution. This behavior is unique in Meisenheimer arene complexes. However, for the same number of NO2 groups, complexes 73 are of lower stability than those of type 72. In each series, the stability order parallels the increase in the number of nitro groups in the second ring, i.e., 72c < 72b < 72a and 73d < 73c < 73b < 73a. When the tetranitro complexes 73a(a') and 73b(b') are compared, the lower stability of the latter is due to noncoplanarity of the two peri NO₂ groups which reduces delocalization of the negative charge.²⁰⁸ The formation and decomposition of the most stable hydroxy complex 72a have been studied in D_2O .²⁰⁹ The observed solvent isotope effects on k_1 , k_{-1} , and K_1 are similar to those observed for 25b, 25g, and 14a: $k_1^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/k_1^{\text{D}_2\text{O}} = 0.505$; $k_{-1}^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/k_{-1}^{\text{D}_2\text{O}} = 1.7$; $K_1^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/K_1^{\text{D}_2\text{O}} = 0.3$. In 90% Me₂SO-10% MeOH, 1-N-piperidyl-2,4-di-

In 90% Me₂SO-10% MeOH, 1-N-piperidyl-2,4-dinitronaphthalene reacts with MeO⁻ according to eq 10 to give the 3-methoxy complex 74a prior to the more stable unsymmetrical 1,1-complex 75a.^{58,493} Rate and

equilibrium parameters for these reactions have been determined by SF (Table XIII). 74a has a thermodynamic stability similar to that of the 1,3-dimethoxy analogue 71: $K_2^{71}/K_2^{74} = 1.7$. Similarly, the 3-ethoxy complexes 74b and 74c have been detected prior to their 1,1-isomers in Me₂SO.²¹⁰

Addition of Me₂SO to aqueous or MeOH solutions enhances the stability of all naphthalene complexes due to an increase in the rates of formation and a decrease in the rates of decomposition.^{108,208} The situation is similar to that found for benzene complexes. In contrast, the effect of dioxane is less straightforward.²⁰⁸ Increasing dioxane concentration only slightly increases the rates of formation of the hydroxy complexes **72b**, **73b**, and **73c**. On the other hand, the decomposition rates are either almost unaffected (**72b**, **73c**) or increased with increasing the amount of dioxane (**73b**). As a result, dioxane does not stabilize σ complexes as effectively as Me₂SO. This result is emphasized by a decrease in the stability of **73b** when dioxane is added to the aqueous solutions.

5. Heterocyclic Substrates

a. Substituted Nitropyridines and -Pyrimidines. In agreement with the well-known activating effect of the aza group in nucleophilic heteroatomatic substitu-

| | Срх | X | Y | Z | т | R | solvent | t, °C 1 | k_{f} , b L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | $k_{\mathbf{d}}, \mathbf{b} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | K, ^b L mol⁻¹ | activation and thermodynamic parameters, ^c conditions and comments ^d | ref |
|------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----|---|------------|---|---|-------------------------|--|-------------|
| | 70a | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | Н | Н | | MeOH | 2 5 | 0.95 | 3.95×10^{-3} | 240 | isne; $\Delta H_{f}^{+} = 55.2; \Delta S_{f}^{+} = -71;$ $\Delta H_{d}^{+} = 66.5; \Delta S_{d}^{+} = -75;$ $\Delta H^{\circ} = -11.3; \Delta S^{\circ} = 4$ | 204 |
| Т. Т. | | | | | | | | 2 5 | 0.8 9 | 3.9 × 10 ^{~3} | 22 8 | isnc; $\Delta V_{f}^{\dagger} = -13.2$; $\Delta V_{d}^{\dagger} = -8.9$; $\Delta V = -4.3$ | 44 |
| | | | | | | | | 25 | | | 205 | LiOMe | 1 20 |
| | | | | | | | | 20 | 0.745 | $2.4 	imes 10^{-3}$ | 310 | isnc | 108 |
| | | | | | | | H,O | 25 | | 1.76 X 10 ⁻³ | | isnc; $k^{H^*} = 1.5 \times 10^{4} e^{-1.5}$ | 204 |
| | | | | | | | H,O–MeOH | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 70:30 ⁱ MeOH–Me,SO | 20 | 3.02 | 1.32 × 10 ⁻³ | 2 290 | isnc; $k^{H^*} = 2.24 \times 10^4 e^{-10}$ | 147 |
| | | | | | | | 70:30 | 20 | 4.9 | 8 × 10 ⁻⁴ | 6150 | isnc | 108 |
| | | | | | | | 60:40 | 20 | 10 | 3.77×10^{-4} | 26500 | isnc | 108 |
| | 70b | NO, | CN | Н | н | | MeOH | 2 5 | | | 14.4 | isnc | 205 |
| | 70c | CN | NO, | Н | н | | MeOH | 25 | | | 3.3 | isnc | 205 |
| | 70d | NO2 | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | Н | | MeOH | 25 | 32.8 | 1.12×10^{-3} | 29300 | isnc; $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{+} = 48.5$; $\Delta S_{\rm f}^{+} = -52$; $\Delta H_{\rm d}^{+} = 75.2$; $\Delta S_{\rm d}^{+} = -49$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -26.7$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = -3$ | 206 |
| | | | | | | | | 35 | | 10-3 | 1760 | ¹⁴ C exchange; $\Delta H_d^{\dagger} = 75$; $\Delta S_d^{\dagger} = -57$ | 42 |
| | | | | | | | H ₂ O | 25 | | $1.59	imes10^{-4}$ | | isnc; $\bar{k}^{H^*} = 1.38 \times 10^{3} e^{-1}$ | 206 |
| | 7 0 e | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | Н | NO ₂ | | MeOH | 25 | 62 | 5.70 × 10⁻⁴ | 1.09 × 10 ⁵ | isne; $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\pm} = 53$; $\Delta S_{\rm f}^{\pm} = -33.5$; $\Delta H_{\rm d}^{\pm} = 82.4$; $\Delta S_{\rm d}^{\pm} = -30$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -29.4$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = -3.5$ | 207 |
| ÇMe | 71 | | | | | | MeOH-Me.SO | | | | | | |
| ~ / NO2 | | | | | | | 30:70 | 20 | 370 | 65 | 5.7 | isnc | 108 |
| | | | | | | | 10:90 | 20 | 7800 | 8.5 | 916 | isnc | 108 |
| OMe NO2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 72a | NO ₂ | NO ₂ I | NO ₂ | NO_2 | Н | H ₂ O | 25 25 | 250 333 | $2.25 	imes 10^{-2} \ 2.38 	imes 10^{-2}$ | 11000 14000 | at zero ionic strength 10 ⁻² M Na,B4O7 | 209 209 |
| | | | | | | D | D ₂ O | 25 | 660 | 1.40 × 10 ⁻² | 47000 | $10^{-2} \text{ M Na}_{2}^{2} \text{B}_{4}^{2} \text{O}_{7}; k_{f}^{2} \text{H}_{2}^{0} \text{/} k_{f}^{2} \text{D}_{2}^{0} = 0$ $0.505 k_{d}^{2} \text{H}_{2}^{0} \text{/} k_{d}^{2} \text{D}_{2}^{0} = 1.7; k_{d}^{2} \text{H}_{2}^{0} \text{/} K_{d}^{2} \text{D}_{2}^{0} = 0.3$ | 209 |
| | 72a' | | | | | Me | MeOH | 25 | 2.32×10^{4} | < 3 | >7700 | isnc: $\Delta H\epsilon^{\dagger} = 32$: $\Delta S\epsilon^{\dagger} = -49$ | 208 |
| | 72b | NO_{2} | NO_{2} | NO ₂ | Η | H | H ₂ O H ₂ O-dioxane | 25 | 3.8 | 0.12 | 43 | , | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 95:5 | 25 | 5.7 | 0.17 | 35 | isnc. $\Delta H\epsilon^{\dagger} = 56.9$: $\Delta S\epsilon^{\dagger} = -39$ | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 87.5:12.5 | 25^{-5} | 10.2 | 0.25 | 42 | isnc | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 75:25 | 25^{-5} | 18.7 | 0.20 | 100 | isnc | 208 |
| | 72 b ′ | | | | | Me | MeOH | 25 | 304.6 | 22 | 13.8 | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 48.5$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -33$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 45.5$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -63$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = 3$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = 30$ | 208 |
| | 72c | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | Н | Н | Н | H ₂ O H ₂ O-Me ₂ SO | 25 | 0.29 | 1.12 | 0.26 | isnc | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 70:30 | 25 | 2.88 | 0.25 | 12 | isnc | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 50:50 | 25 | 11.4 | 0.08 | 140 | isnc | 208 |
| | 72c' | | | | | Me | MeOH | 25 | 11.2 | 1 24 | 0.09 | extrapolated at zero [MeO ⁻] | 20 8 |

| TABLE XIII. Kinetic and Thermodynamic Parameters for Formation and Decomposition of Hydroxy- and Methoxynaphthalene σ Cor |
|--|
|--|

Terrier

| Y OC H | 73a 73a' | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | Н | NO ₂ | H Me | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 60:40 40:60 H ₂ O MeOH | 20 20 25 25 | 174 1120 12.8 7900 | 7.6 1.2 0.02 18 | 23 935 640 438 | isnc isnc isnc isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{+} = 37.6; \Delta S_{f}^{+} = -43.5; \Delta H_{d}^{+} = 52; \Delta S_{d}^{+} = -43.5; \Delta H_{d}^{+} = 52; \Delta S_{d}^{+} = -43.5; \Delta H_{d}^{+} = -52; \Delta S_{d}^{+} = -53.5; \Delta H_{d}^{+} =$ | 108 108 208 208 |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | 73b | NO2 | н | NO_{2} | NO ₂ | Н | H₂O H₂O–dioxane | 25 | 40 ^f | 0.10^{f} | 300 ^f | | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 90:10 | 25 | 39.5 | 0.24 | 170 | isnc | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 80:20 | 25 | 35 | 0.45 | 80 | isnc | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 70:30 | 25 | 94.5 | 1.25 | 75 | isnc | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 60:40 | 25 | 92 | 2.4 | 40 | isnc | 208 |
| | 73b' | | | | | Me | MeOH | 25 | 1960 | 10 | 196 | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 27.8; \Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -88;$ $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 47.7; \Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -65.6;$ $\Delta H^{\circ} = -20; \Delta S^{\circ} = -22.4$ | 208 |
| | 73c | NO ₂ | Н | Н | NO ₂ | Н | H₂O H₂O–dioxane | 25 | 0.08 ^g | 0.018 ^g | 7 8 | , | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 90:10 | 25 | 0.14 | 0.015 | 11 | isnc | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 75:25 | 25 | 0.25 | 0.024 | 11.5 | isne | 208 |
| | 73c' 73d' | н | H | NO, | Н | Me Me | MeOH MeOH–Me₂SO | 25 | | | 1.5 ^h | | 208 |
| \frown | 75a | | | - | | | 10:90 MeOH-Me,SO | 25 | 7.4 | 0.6 | 12.3 | isnc | 208 |
| | | | | | | | 10:90 | 25 | 2.53 | 1.8 × 10 ⁻⁴ | 1.4 × 104 | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 51; \Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -64.4;$ $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 114; \Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = 66;$ $\Delta H^{\circ} = -63; \Delta S^{\circ} = -130.4$ | 58 |
| \wedge | 710 | | | | | | MOOH-MO SO | | | | | | |
| | 74a | | | | | | 10:90 | 25 | 492 | 0.95 | 520 | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 48; \Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -32;$ $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 59; \Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -47;$ $\Delta H^{\circ} = -11; \Delta S^{\circ} = 15$ | 58 |
| NO ₂ OMe | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^a Sodium or potassium hydroxides and methoxides unless indicated otherwise. ^b k_f , k_d , and K represent k_1 , k_{-1} , K_1 or k_2 , k_{-2} , K_2 as defined by eq 1 or 10. ^c ΔH in kJ mol⁻¹, ΔS in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, ΔV in cm³ mol⁻¹. ^d See Table I for abbreviations. ^e k^{H^+} in L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹, as defined by eq 4 with R = H. ^f Estimated values from plots of k_f , k_d , and K against % dioxane. ^g Extrapolated values from plots of k_f and k_d against [dioxane]; $K = k_f/k_d$. ^h Estimated values due to decomposition. ⁱ 75% H₂O-25% MeOH by weight.

Jackson-Melsenhelmer Complexes

tion reactions, electron-deficient pyridines and pyrimidines easily form stable hydroxy and alkoxy σ complexes.^{53,82,114,115,212–220} For facilitation of comparison of the corresponding heteroaromatic dimethoxy complexes with those of 4-X-2,6- and 2-X-4,6-DNA, the investigated methoxy pyridines and pyrimidines are numbered as substituted anisoles, starting from the methoxybearing carbon. The available kinetic and thermodynamic parameters are given in Table XIV.

3,5-Dinitropyridine (DNP) behaves in a fashion analogous to 1-X-3,5-DNB toward OH⁻ and MeO⁻ in H_2O-Me_2SO and MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures^{82,215} (see eq 25). Base addition at C-4 to give the complexes **76** is

kinetically favored, but isomerization occurs to give the more stable complexes 77 which have a NO₂ group para to the sp³ carbon. The 4-complexes 76a and 76b have a stability intermediate between those of TNB (5a,b) and cyano analogues (10a',a), but the 2-complexes 77a and 77b are more stable than 5a and 5b: both ratios K^{77a}/K^{5a} and K^{77b}/K^{5b} are $\sim 3.^{82}$ This points out the remarkable effect of o-aza functionality relative to an o-NO₂ group on complex stability. This result is also substantiated by the greater stability of the 1,3-dimethoxy complex 78 relative to the TNA analogue 12a: $K^{78}/K^{12a} = 4.3.^{53}$ 78 forms prior to the 1,1-complex 79

in the reaction of MeO⁻ with 4-methoxy-3,5-dinitropyridine (4-MDNP) in MeOH and MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures.⁵³ That 4-MDNP behaves as a 4-aza-2,6-dinitroanisole is shown by the fact that the ratios k_2/k_1 , k_{-2}/k_{-1} , and K_2/K_1 of the rate and equilibrium constants (as defined by eq 26) for formation and decomposition of **78** and **79** are at the expected places in the sequences of Table IV.⁵³ The effect of going from MeOH to 90% Me₂SO-10% MeOH increases the lifetime of **78** by a factor of 3×10^{3} .⁵³

2-Methoxy-3,5-dinitropyridine (2-MDNP) does not behave as the analogous 2-X-4,6-DNA. MeO⁻ attack on 2-MDNP yields the 1,3-complex 81 as the stable entity and not the 1,1-complex 82.^{214,217} This result is important in that it unambiguously confirms that release of steric strain on addition to the 1-position of anisoles is one of the most important factors governing the stability of the 1,1-dimethoxy complexes. Steric strain around the OMe group is clearly reduced in 2-MDNP compared with other parents in the series. This is further evidenced by comparing the results for 2-MDNP to those for 4-MDNP and 2,6-dimethoxy-3,5dinitropyridine (2,6-MDNP).²¹⁷ On the one hand, the 1,3-complex 81 has rate and equilibrium constants similar to those for its structurally similar isomer 78, showing that it is not unusually stable. On the other

hand, 2.6-MDNP reacts with MeO⁻ ions to form the 1,1-complex 84 which has a structure close to that of the undetectable analogue 82. The stability of 84 is \sim 60-fold lower than that of 81 due to an "unexpectedly" high rate of decomposition.²¹⁷ By analogy, there is no doubt that the lack of observation of the 1,1-complex 82 is the result of its lower stability relative to that of the 1,3-isomer 81. At high [MeO⁻], the formation of the 1,5-complex 83 was seen to precede that of 84 in the mixtures rich in Me₂SO.²¹⁷ Also to be noted is that demethylation of 2-MDNP and 2.6-MDNP, via an S_N^2 mechanism, competes with the formation of the adducts and yields the anions derived from 2-hydroxy-3,5-dinitro- and 2-hydroxy-6-methoxy-3,5-dinitropyridines.^{214,217} Addition of Me₂SO to the MeOH solutions considerably decreases the rate of this irreversible reaction whereas it greatly enhances complex stability.²¹⁷

In agreement with the results obtained for 2-MDNP, 4-methoxy-5-nitro- and 2-methoxy-5-nitropyrimidines react with MeO^- to give the complexes 85b and 88b, respectively.^{213,219,220} Kinetic studies show no evidence

for isomerization into the 1,1-isomers 87 and 89, or for formation of the 1,5-complex 86b in MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures.²¹⁹ However, ¹H NMR experiments have confirmed the presence of 89 (\sim 5%) at final equilibrium in Me₂SO.²²⁰ Kinetic and equilibrium data have

| TABLE XIV. | Kinetic and | Thermodynamic | Parameters | for Formation | and Dec | composition o | of Hydroxy- and |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|
| Methoxypyridi | ine and pyrin | nidine o Complex | es | | | | |

| | Срх | R | s olvent ^a | t, °C | $k_{f}^{b} L$ mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | k_{d} , $b_{s^{-1}}$ | K ^b L mol ⁻¹ | activation and thermodynamic parameters, ^c conditions and comments ^d | ref |
|------------------------|--------------|----|--|----------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| O2N CH | 77 a | Н | H ₂ O | 25 | 34 | 2.82 | 12 | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 57$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -23.4$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 49.8$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -66.9$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = 7.2$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = 43.5$ | 82 |
| ŬŔ | | | H ₂ O-Me ₂ SO 80:20 | 20 20 20 | 23.5 51.5 138 | 2 0.65 0.12 | 11,75 79,5 1150 | isnc isnc | 82 82 82 |
| | 77 b | Me | MeOH | 25 | 2460 | 35.5 | 69.5 | isne; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 52.2$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -4.2$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 43.5$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -71$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = 8.7$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = 67$ | 82 |
| | | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 80:20 | 20 20 | $\begin{array}{c} 1740\\ 4560 \end{array}$ | 24 7.6 | 72.5 600 | isnc isnc | 82 82 |
| | 7 6 a | Н | H ₂ O-Me ₂ SO 60:40 50:50 | 20 20 | 345 1025 | 9 3.5 | 38.4 293 | isnc isnc | 82 82 |
| | 79 | | МеОН | 20 20 | 13.8 16.5 | 5 × 10 ⁻³ 5 75 × 10 ⁻³ | 2770 2870 | isnc | 114 53 |
| | | | | 25 | 23 | 8.6 × 10 ⁻³ | 2680 | sinc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 47.6$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -58.5$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 58$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -90$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -10.4$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = -31.5$ | 53 |
| | | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 80:20 50:50 | 20 20 | 48 330 | 2.37 × 10 ⁻³ | 20200 | isnc | 53 53 |
| O2N NO2 | 78 | | МеОН | 25 | 390 | 33.2 | 11.7 | isne; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 43$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -50.6$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 39$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -85.3$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = 4$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = 34.7$ | 53 |
| ∽N → OMe | | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 80:20 | 20 20 | 275 630 | 25 6.95 | 11 91 | isnc isnc | 53 53 |
| ОМе I | 81 | | 50:50 MeOH | 20 20 | 3710 | 0.7 | 5300 1.91 | isnc isnc | 53 214 |
| O2N C NH | | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 70:30 | 20 20 25 | $415 \\ 2520 \\ 3600$ | 125 27 40 | 3,32 93,5 90 | isnc isnc isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 38; \Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -49;$ $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 44; \Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -66;$ $\Delta H^{\circ} = -6; \Delta S^{\circ} = 17$ | $217 \\ 217 \\ 217 \\ 217$ |
| | | | 50:50 30:70 | 20 20 | $\begin{array}{c} 17200 \\ 60000 \end{array}$ | 3 0.5 | 5730 1.2 × 10 ⁵ | isnc | $\begin{array}{c} 217\\ 217 \end{array}$ |
| °₂N↓ OMe | 84 | | MeOH-Me SO 70:30 | 20 20 | 10,5 ^e 101 | 180 ^e 19.8 | 0.058 ^f 5.1 | isne | $217 \\ 217$ |
| OMe | | | 50:50 30:70 | 20 20 | 300 2500 | 5.75 0.91 | 52 2750 | isnc isnc | $217 \\ 217 \\ 217$ |
| νo ₂ φMe | 8 3 | | MeOH-Me,SO 40:60 | 20 | | | 6.6 | isnc | 217 |
| | | | 30:70 20:80 | 20 20 | | | 45 660 | isnc isnc | $\begin{array}{c} 217\\ 217\end{array}$ |
| | 85a | н | $H_2O-Me_2SO 50:50$ | 20 | 39.5 | 0.04 | 990 7400 | isnc | 219 |
| | 85b | Ме | 40:60 MeOH-Me ₂ SO 60:40 50:50 40:60 | 20 20 20 20 | 456 1045 2250 | 0.015 39 20 | 7400 11.7 52 225 | isne isne isne | 219 219 219 219 |
| OMe | 88a | н | H ₂ O-Me ₂ SO 50:50 | 20 20 20 | 320 | 7.4 | 43.5 | isne | 219 219 219 |
| | 88b | Me | 40.00 MeOH-Me ₂ SO 50:50 40:60 30:70 | 20 20 20 20 | 9600 27600 54000 | 2.3 177 90 50 | 54.2 307 1080 | isne isne isne | 219 219 219 219 219 |
| | 91 | | MeOH | 20 | 540 | 19.3 | 28 | isnc | 219 |

^a Sodium or potassium hydroxides or alkoxides. ^b $k_{\rm f}$, $k_{\rm d}$, and K represent the rate and equilibrium constants for formation and decomposition of the various complexes. ^c Enthalpies in kJ mol⁻¹; entropies in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. ^d See Table I for abbreviations.

also been obtained for the hydroxy complexes 85a and 88a in H_2O-Me_2SO mixtures.²¹⁹ Due to the presence of a *p*-nitro group, 85a and 85b decompose much more slowly than their isomers 88a and 88b in a given medium. In contrast with an earlier NMR report,²²⁰ kinetic experiments have revealed that MeO⁻ ions attack

5-nitropyrimidine to give first the complex 90 which subsequently decomposes to $91.^{219}$ A ¹H NMR reinvestigation of the reaction in Me₂SO has confirmed the kinetic observations.²¹⁹ Rate and equilibrium parameters have been obtained for the formation and decomposition of the most stable complex 91. No complex formation has been observed in the reactions of MeO⁻ with 2-methoxypyrimidine and 2-methoxy-1,3,5-triazine. However, the reported rapid exchange of the methoxyl group of this latter compound with MeO⁻ in MeOH probably proceeds via the 1,1-complex 92.²¹³ With ¹⁴C-exchange techniques, a rate constant of $1.1 \times$ 10⁻⁶ L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ has been reported for formation of 93 in MeOH at 34.9 °C.41

b. Activated Furans, Thiophenes, and Selenophenes. Nitro-activated furans, thiophenes, and selenophenes 94 and 96 react with MeO⁻ ion in MeOH to give complexes 95 and 97 according to eq $1.^{49,221-232}$

X = O; (a) $Y = Z = NO_2$; (b) $Y = NO_2$, Z = CN; (c) $Y = NO_2$, Z = H

 $\begin{array}{l} X = S_{1}^{'}(d) \ Y = Z = NO_{2}; \ (e) \ Y = NO_{2}, \ Z = CN; \ (f) \ Y = CN, \\ Z = NO_{2}; \ (g) \ Y = NO_{2}, \ Z = H \\ X = Se; \ (h) \ Y = Z = NO_{2}; \ (i) \ Y = NO_{2}, \ Z = CN; \ (j) \ Y = CN, \end{array}$

 $Z = NO_2$

X = S; (a) $Y = Z = NO_2;$ (b) $Y = NO_2, Z = CN;$ (c) $Y = CN, Z = NO_2;$ (d) $Y = H, Z = NO_2$ X = Se; (e) $Y = Z = NO_2$

The kinetic and thermodynamic parameters determined for the various reactions studied are listed in Table XV.

Formation and decomposition of the very stable gem-dimethoxydinitrothiophene and -selenophene adducts 97a and 97e have been studied in buffer solutions and the data analyzed by coupling eq 1 and 4.49 The pK_a^{MeOH} values are 11.36 and 10.07, respectively, but MeOH attack on the parents is not a significant process. In the case of the furans 94a-c, ring opening competes with complex formation so that 95a-c are seen only as short-lived species.²³⁰ In the reaction of MeO⁻ with 2-cyano-4-nitrothiophene 94f, the formation of 95f is followed by the slower appearance of methyl 4-nitro-2-thiophenecarboxyimidate 98 which arises from concurrent MeO⁻ attack at the CN group of 94f.^{226,231} Dinitro- and cyanonitrothiophenes 94d, 94e, and selenophenes 94h-j undergo a very rapid H/D exchange process at the 2-position in MeOD. The reaction presumably proceeds via the carbanion 99.233

For similarly activated rings, the K_1 values are in the order O > Se >> S. For example, the ratios K_1^0/K_1^{Se} and K_1^0/K_1^s are equal to about 12 and 10³, respectively, in the case of the 4-cyano-2-nitro complexes 95b, 95e, and 95i. Complex stability thus decreases with decreasing the electronegativity of the heteroatom and increasing the aromaticity of the parent. The k_1 values for MeO⁻ addition are also in the order O > Se > S. This is in agreement with the known relative reactivities of furan, thiophene, and selenophene substrates toward nucleophilic reagents.^{235–237}

All the complexes 95 and 97 are remarkably stable compared to methoxy and gem-dimethoxy analogues of the 1-X-3,5-DNB and 4-X-2,6- and 2-X-4,6-DNA series $(X = NO_2, CN, H)$. No appreciable release of steric strain occurs, however, upon formation of 97.49,223,229 The lower aromaticity of the parent heterocycles relative to the parent benzenes is one of the two major factors responsible for this result.^{49,223} The other is the differences in the geometry of five- and six-membered rings.^{49,223,229} In 94 and 96, the X-C₂-C₃ angle has a value close to that for a tetrahedral carbon (110.7° in furan,²³⁸ 111.5° in thiophene,²³⁹ 110.40° in selenophene²⁴⁰) while the analogous angle in the benzene series is $\sim 120^{\circ}$. Complex formation thus involves much less bond strain in the five- than in the six-membered systems.49,223

On the basis of results for the thiophene and selenophene series, the stability sequence is in the order 2,4-dinitro > 2-nitro-4-cyano > 2-cyano-4-nitro.²³¹ The replacement of a NO_2 group by a CN group in the "para-like" position of the sp³ carbon thus has a much more important effect on complex stability than a similar replacement in the "ortho-like" position. This shows that, as in benzene series, a "para-like" NO₂ group plays a predominant role in the delocalization of the negative charge of the adducts. The higher stability of the gem-dimethoxy complexes 97 relative to the monomethoxy analogues 95 is due to the stabilizing influence of the two methoxy groups at the sp³ carbon-.^{229,231} That 95 and 97 form at similar rates has been accounted for by the absence of appreciable F strain on approach of MeO⁻ to the methoxy-bearing carbon of 96.²²⁹ The high stability of the dinitro and cyanonitro adducts is emphasized by the high enthalpies of activation associated with their decomposition. The ΔH_{-1}^{\dagger} values are 79, 96, and 81 kJ mol⁻¹ for the selenophene complexes 95h, 95i, and 95j, respectively.²³¹

The reactions of 2-methoxy-3-nitro- and 3-methoxy-2-nitrobenzothiophenes with MeO⁻ ion to give the complexes 100 and 101 have been investigated in MeOH.²³⁴ As expected, the added aromatic ring increases complex stability. Thus, 100 is 60-fold more stable than 97d. Similarly, 101 is easily formed while its analogue 102 has not yet been detected.²²² Instead, the complex 103, which benefits from the stabilizing effect of a "para-like" NO2 group, has been characterized in the reaction of MeO⁻ with 2-nitro-3-methoxythiophene.²²²

Nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazoles and Benzc. oxadiazole N-Oxides. Related Compounds. Considerable work has been done on Meisenheimer complexes of nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazoles and corresponding N-oxides.^{46,47,241–252} One of the reason for this interest is that formation of such complexes is implicated in the explanation of the antileukemic activity of these compounds which are commonly known as nitrobenzo-

TABLE XV. Kinetic and Thermodynamic Parameters for Formation and Decomposition of Methoxy and Dimethoxy Complexes of Furan, Thiophene, and Selenophene Derivatives in Methanol^a

| | | | | | | | | | activation and thermodynamic | |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|
| | C | 37 | 37 | 7 | <i>t</i> , | k_1, b_1 | , h -1 | $K_1, \overset{b}{} L$ | parameters; ^c conditions and | - 6 |
| | Срх | | ¥ | Z | - <u>C</u> | molisi | R_{-1}, s | mol | comments" | rei |
| , ^z | 95a | 0 | NO_{2} | NO_{2} | 25 | $4.5 	imes 10^3$ | ≤9 × 10 ⁻³ | ≥5 × 10⁵ | isnc | 230 |
| | 95b | 0 | NO_2 | CN | 25 | 57 | 3.2×10^{-4} | 1.8×10^{5} | isnc | 230 |
| Y X X OMe | 95c | 0 | NO_{2} | н | 25 | 1.37×10^{-2} | 10-4 | 137 | 0.2 M NaClO₄ | 230 |
| | 95d | S | NO_2 | NO_2 | 25 | 15 | 1.87×10^{-2} | 800 | 0.2 M NaClO₄ | 225 |
| | | | | | 25 | 14.9 | 1.75×10^{-2} | 850 | isnc; $\Delta H_1^+ = 51.8$; $\Delta S_1^+ = -48.3$; $\Delta H_{-1}^+ = 46.4$; $\Delta S_{-1}^+ = -122$; $\Delta H_1^\circ = 5.4$; $\Delta S_1^\circ = 74$ | 231 |
| | 9 5e | \mathbf{S} | NO, | CN | 25 | 0.78 | $5.2 	imes 10^{-3}$ | 150 | isnc | 229 |
| | | | - | | 25 | 0.63 | 5 × 10 ⁻³ | 126 | isne; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 58.7; \Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -51;$ $\Delta H_{-1}^{\dagger} = 70.8; \Delta S_{-1}^{\dagger} = -50.8;$ $\Delta H_1^{\circ} = -12; \Delta S_1^{\circ} \sim 0$ | 231 |
| | 95f | S | CN | NO ₂ | 25 | 2.38 | 0.35 | 6.8 | isne; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 66.2$; $\Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -14.6$; $\Delta H_{-1}^{\dagger} = 53.3$; $\Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -73.8$; $\Delta H_1^{\circ} = 13$; $\Delta S_1^{\circ} = 59$ | 231 |
| | 95g | S | NO, | н | 25 | $1.8 	imes 10^{-3}$ | 3.2×10^{-4} | 5.6 | 0.2 M NaClO | 230 |
| | 95ĥ | Se | NO ² | NO2 | 25 | 27.7 | 4.8 × 10 ⁻⁴ | 5.78 × 104 | 0.01 M buffer salts; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 58.7$; $\Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -19.6$; $\Delta H_{-1}^{\dagger} = 79.2$; $\Delta S_{-1}^{\dagger} = -41.8$; $\Delta H_1^{\circ} = -20.5$; $\Delta S_0^{\circ} = -22$ | 231 |
| | 95i | Se | NO ₂ | CN | 25 | 1.37 | 9.55 × 10⁻⁵ | 1.43 × 104 | $\Delta S_{1} = 22$ 0.01 M buffer salts; $\Delta H_{1}^{\dagger} = 70.4$; $\Delta S_{1}^{\dagger} = -3.3$; $\Delta H_{-1}^{\dagger} = 95.7$; $\Delta S_{-1}^{\dagger} \sim 0$; $\Delta H_{1}^{\circ} = -25$; $\Delta S^{\circ} \sim -4$ | 231 |
| | 95j | Se | CN | NO ₂ | 25 | 2.62 | 5.37 × 10 ³ | 490 | ΔS_1 isnc; $\Delta H_1^{+} = 66; \Delta S_1^{+} = -16;$ $\Delta H_{-1}^{+} = 81; \Delta S_{-1}^{+} = -16;$ $\Delta H_{-1}^{+} = -15; \Delta S_{-0}^{+} \sim 0$ | 231 |
| Z | 97a | s | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | 25 | 36 | | >4 × 10 ^s | $0.2 \text{ M} \text{ NaClO}_4$ | 223, 225 |
| | | | | | 25 | 40.7 | | | isnc; $\Delta H_1^{\pm} = 41.4$; $\Delta S_1^{\pm} = -74$; | 49 |
| Y A Divie | | | | | 20 | 28.2 | 7.8 × 10 ^{-₅} | 3.6 × 10 ⁵ | 0.01 M buffer salts; $k^{MeOH} = 10^{-7}$; $k^{H^+} = 1.05 \times 10^4$; $pK_a^{MeOH} = 11.36$ | 49 |
| | 97Ь | \mathbf{s} | NO ₂ | CN | 25 | 4.85 | 1.94 × 10⁻⁴ | $2.5 	imes 10^{4}$ | isnc | 22 9 |
| | 97c | \mathbf{S} | CN | NO_{2} | 25 | 2.14 | $1.4 	imes 10^{-3}$ | $1.53 	imes 10^{3}$ | isnc | 22 9 |
| | 97d | \mathbf{s} | Н | NO ₂ | 20 | 1.3×10^{-3} | $2.2 	imes 10^{-4}$ | 6 | at zero ionic strength | 232 |
| | 97e | Se | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | 25 | 102 | | | isnc; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 51; \Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -35$ | 49 |
| | | | | | 20 | 69 | 1.04 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 6.8 × 10 ⁶ | 0.01 M buffer salts; $k^{MeOH} = 5.75 \times 10^{-7}$; $k^{H^+} = 2.65 \times 10^{3}$; $pK_o^{MeOH} = 10.07$ | 49 |
| | 100 | | | | 25 | 0.215 | 5.8 × 10 ⁻⁴ | 370 | isnc | 234 |
| | 101 | | | | 25 | 0.047 | 7.5 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 600 | isne | 234 |

^a Sodium or potassium methoxide. ^b k_1, k_2 , and K_1 as defined by eq 1. ^c Enthalpies in kJ mol⁻¹, entropies in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. ^d See Table I for abbreviations. ^e k^{MeOH} , in s⁻¹, and k^{H^+} , in L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹, as defined by eq 4 with R = Me.

furazans and nitrobenzofuroxans, respectively.^{243,253–256} The results are summarized in Tables XVI and XVII (dinitro and mononitro complexes, respectively). The adduct 104a is the most stable hydroxy σ complex in

aqueous solution known to date. It forms completely from 4,6-dinitrobenzofuroxan (DNBF) in the absence of any added hydroxide ion.⁴⁶ The $pK_a^{H_2O}$ value is 3.75 at 25 °C;⁴⁶ i.e., 104a is almost 10¹⁰-fold more stable than the TNB complex 5a. Ionization of the OH group of 104a occurs at pH \geq 10.6. The $pK_a^{H_2O}$ for formation of the dianion 107 is 11.30 at 25 °C.⁴⁶ Similar $pK_a^{H_2O}$ values have been reported for ionization of the OH group of pseudobases like 108 which have a thermodynamic stability close to that of 104a.^{257,258} The kinetics of formation and decomposition of 104a have been thoroughly investigated at different temperatures between pH 1 and $13.^{46,246}$ Analysis of the results has been made in terms of eq 1 and 4. As shown by the pH-rate profiles of Figure 4, 104a forms exclusively from the attack of water molecules on DNBF at $pH \leq$ 7. There is no other report of this kind in the field of Meisenheimer complexes. The fact that water reacts so efficiently $(k^{H_2O} = 3.45 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ with neutral DNBF to give 104a reflects the high electrophilic character of this compound, a consequence of both the strong electron-withdrawing effect of the annelated furoxan ring and the relatively low aromaticity of the benzofuroxan system. The unique stability of 104a is emphasized by the high enthalpy of activation for its uncatalyzed decomposition $(\Delta H_{-1}^* = 92 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}).^{46}$ The low k^{H^+} value

| TABLE XVI. | Rate an | d Equilib | rium C | Jonstants for Formation | n and D | ecomposition | of 4,6-Dinitrol | oenzofuroxan | and Benzofuraz | an Com | plexes | | |
|---|---------|-----------|--------|--|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----|
| na management of a contact of a contact of the second s | C | TT. | , r | no mai na finanza na managana ana ana managana ana managana ana managana ana managana ana managana a | 5 | , ROH , - I | k ^{H+} , a L | k, ^a L | | | | | c |
| | Cpx | X | ¥ | solvent | r, c | Kuvut, s ' | mol ' s' | mol · s | R_1, ⁴ S . | pKa" | К ₁ ," L mol | conditions | ret |
| N02 | 104a | 0 ↑ N | Н | H_2O^b | 25 | 0.0345 | 146 | 33500 | 2.5×10^{-6} | 3,75 | $1.78 \times 10^{10} d$ | 0.2 M KCI | 46 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 3,77 | | | 243 |
| | | | | | 20^{c} | 0.0245 | 100 | 27400 | 1.35×10^{-6} | 3.73 | $2.75 \times 10^{10} d$ | 0.2 M KCI | 46 |
| 05N VS | | | | | 20 | 0.019 | 80 | 27000 | 1.15×10^{-6} | 3.62 | $2.4 \times 10^{10} d$ | 0.5 M Me _s NCI | 252 |
| н 01 | | | D | D,O^{f} | 20 | 0.0147 | 264 | 30200 | 8×10^{-7} | 4.38 | $1.7 \times 10^{10} d$ | 0.2 M KCI | 46 |
| | | | Η | H,O-Me,SO 70:30 | 20 | 0.056 | 71 | 77000 | 1.12×10^{-6} | 3.1 | $6.9 \times 10^{11} d$ | 0.5 M Me _a NCI | 252 |
| | | | | 50:50 | 20 | 0.191 | 46.6 | 3.3×10^{5} | $6.5 	imes 10^{-9}$ | 2,4 | $4.47 \times 10^{13} d$ | 0.5 M Me _a NCI | 252 |
| | | | | 10:90 | 20 | 1.33 | 37 | | | 1.5 | $7.25 \times 10^{20} d$ | 0.5 M Me NCI | 252 |
| | 104b | O ↑ N | Me | MeOH | 20 | 0.03 | 4.68×10^{4} | 1.87×10^{6} | $8.9 	imes 10^{-5}$ | 6.46 | $2.1 \times 10^{10} e$ | 0.01 M buffer salts | 267 |
| | 106 | z | Me | MeOH | 20 | 0.028 | 2.09×10^{4} | 9.3×10^{5} | $2 	imes 10^{-5}$ | 6.05 | $4.65 \times 10^{10} e$ | 0.01 M buffer salts | 267 |
| N02 | 113b | | | MeOH | 20 | 4.46×10^{-3} | 1780 | $2.52 	imes 10^{\circ}$ | $4.9 	imes 10^{-6}$ | 5.93 | $5.14 \times 10^{10} e$ | 0.02 buffer salts | 47 |

 ΔS^{\ddagger} $\frac{K_{\rm a}/K_{\rm s}}{0.38;}$ <u>.</u> $54.4; \Delta S$ 58; PO₄H²⁻ 48. Calculated from $K_1 = D_2 O = 1.67$; $k^{\text{H}^+}/k^{\text{D}^+}$ (h^{H_2O}) 1 11 đ ^a Rate and equilibrium constants as defined by eq 1 and 4 with R = H, Me^{-b} Activation and thermodynamic parameters (in kJ mol⁻¹ or J mol⁻¹ V.): $\Delta H^{+}(k_{H_{2}}) = 51.5; \Delta S^{+}(k_{H}^{+}) = 51.5; \Delta S^{+}(k_{H}^{+}) = -30.5; \Delta H^{+}_{\circ} = -3.5; \Delta S_{+}^{+}(k_{+}) = -37.6; \Delta S_{+}^{+}(k_{+}) = -32.4; \Delta H_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = 92; \Delta S_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -42; \Delta H_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -42; \Delta H_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -42; \Delta H_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -32.4; \Delta H_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = 92; \Delta S_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -42; \Delta H_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -42; \Delta H_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -42; \Delta H_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -22; \Delta S_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -22; \Delta S_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -42; \Delta H_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -22; \Delta S_{-}^{+}(k_{-}) = -22; \Delta S_{-}^{+}(k$ F kH 20/kD 20 . k'/k $pK_a = 9.98):$ $from K_1 = l$ e Calculated ide $(pK_a = 7.89)$; $k^{B^{-1}} = 19.8$; borate $(pK_a = 9.12)$; $k^{B^{-1}} = 27.5$ water and water-Me₂SO mixtures at the chosen ionic strength. = 0.585..^H /K, ysis constant of 1.69; Kis the autoprotol = 0.905; $k_{-1}^{\rm H}/k_{-1}$ where . 14' (pK)

021

(146 L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹) for the H⁺-catalyzed decomposition is also remarkable.⁴⁶ Another noteworthy result is that formation and decomposition of 104a are subject to general base and general acid catalysis, respectively, with an observed rate constant k_{obsd} fitting the equation

$$k_{\text{obsd}} = k^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + k^{\text{H}^+}[\text{H}^+] + k_1[\text{OH}^-] + k_{\text{BH}}[\text{BH}] + k_{\text{B}^-}[\text{B}^-]$$
(33)

where $k_{\rm BH}$ and $k_{\rm B}$ are the second-order rate constants for catalysis by the acid and basic buffer species, respectively⁴⁶ $(k_{-1}$ is negligible). From the observed buffer catalysis and isotope effects, the reaction of water with DNBF should proceed via a transition state such as 109 which represents general base catalyzed water attack with a second water molecule acting as a base catalyst.⁴⁶ Abnormally high values, as compared with those for other base catalysts, have been obtained for the rate constants k^{B^-} for base catalysis by CO₃H⁻ and CO₃²⁻ ions.⁴⁶ This extra reactivity has been interpreted in terms of nucleophilic catalysis and visualized as shown in eq 34.46,259,260 The fact that DNBF readily displaces

 $\rm CO_2$ from bicarbonate solutions,^{261–264} together with the observation of the complex 110 in benzene containing dicyclohexyl crown-6,²⁶⁵ gives strong support to the proposed mechanism.

The conversion of DNBF into 104a has been also studied in water-Me₂SO mixtures. As expected, Me₂SO

Figure 4. pH dependence of k_{obsd} , k_f , and k_d for the formation and decomposition of the hydroxyl σ complex 104a of DNBF in aqueous solution.⁴⁶ I = 0.2 M, t = 20 °C.

strongly enhances the stability of 104a which is completely formed in an 0.001 M HCl solution in 70% Me₂SO.²⁵² However, the most significant feature is that water attack on DNBF is strongly favored. The firstorder rate constant k^{H_2O} changes from 0.019 s⁻¹ in H₂O to 1.33 s^{-1} in 90% Me₂SO. Considering the decrease in the water content of the solutions and assuming that only one water molecule participates in the reaction. this increase in k^{H_2O} reflects a 10³-fold increase in the ability of a water molecule to act as a nucleophile.²⁵² The reaction probably proceeds via a transition state such as 111 where the Me_2SO molecule is the base catalyst. Interestingly, this result compares well with previous data reported for the solvolysis of 2.4-dinitrofluorobenzene in the same solvent mixtures.²⁶⁶ in accord with the fact that the rate-determining step of this reaction is the formation of the intermediate σ complex 112.

Methanol attack on DNBF, 4,6-dinitrobenzofurazan, and 7-methoxy-4,6-dinitrobenzofurazan is the only pathway leading to the formation of the methoxy and dimethoxy complexes 104b, 106, and 113b at $pH \leq 9$

in MeOH.^{47,267} The phenomenon is more significant than in the case of the tris(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)benzene complex 9,⁴⁸ in agreement with the 10³-fold higher stability of these complexes relative to 9. The pK_a^{MeOH} values for formation of 104b, 106, and 113b are

6.46, 6.05, and 5.93, respectively, at 20 °C.^{47,49,267} The gem-dimethoxy complex 113a has been reported.²⁶⁸ However, its formation occurs competitively, with a facile demethylation of the parent 7-methoxy-4,6-dinitrobenzofuroxan which yields the anion 114. The ease of this demethylation process is accounted for by the high acidity of the corresponding hydroxy compound. The $pK_a^{H_2O}$ for formation of 114 is ~-3.7, i.e., 4 pK units lower than the $pK_a^{H_2O}$ of picric acid.²⁶⁸

4-Nitro- and 4-nitro-7-methoxybenzofuroxans and -benzofurazans 115a-d react with MeO⁻ to initially yield

$$\begin{array}{l} R = (a') R \\ R = H, Y = (a') R \\ X = N, R = Me, Y = (c) H; (d) OMe; (e) F; (f) Cl; (g) Br; (h) \\ Me; (i) SMe; (j) SC_6 H_s; (k) SO_2 C_6 H_s \\ R = H, Y = (c') H; (f') Cl; (l') -NH(CH_2)_2 S-S-2-py, \\ py = pyridyl \end{array}$$

the 5-methoxy complexes 116a-d which then rearrange to the thermodynamically more stable isomers 117a-d.^{245,247,248,250,251} The rates of formation and decomposition, and therefore the stabilities, are similar for complexes 116a-d on the one hand and for 117a-d on

| | Срх | X | Y | R | solvent ^a | t, °C | k_{f} , ^b L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | k_{d} , b_{s-1} | K, b L mol ⁻¹ | activation and thermodynamic parameters, ^c conditions and comments ^d | ref |
|------------------|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|
| | 116 a | N→O | Н | Me | MeOH | 25 | 1950 | 4.57 | 427 | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 43.5$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -38.5$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 49.5$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -66$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -6$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = 27.5$ | 251 |
| \$\`\\ X' | 116b | N→O | OMe | Me | MeOH | 20 | 348 | 5 | 69.6 | isnc | 251 |
| l Y | 116c | N | Н | Ме | МеОН | 25 | 1200 | 8.5 | 141 | isne; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 38.9$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -54.3$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 53.3$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -47.2$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -14.4$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = -7$ | 251 |
| | 116d | Ν | OMe | Me | MeOH | 25 | 350 | 16 | 22 | isnc | 245 |
| | 110 | | | | M. OU | 20 | 347 | 9.2 | 37.7 | isnc | 251 |
| | 1166 | N | F. | Me | MeOH | 25 | 5800 | 2.5 | 2300 | $\operatorname{isnc}; k_{\mathbf{A}} = 3500^{e}$ | 242, |
| | 116f | Ν | Cl | Me | MeOH | 25 | 5100 | 1.8 | 2800 | isnc; $k_{\rm A} = 7.7^e$ | $240 \\ 242, \\ 245$ |
| | 116f' | | | Н | H ₂ O | 25 | 72 | 8.6×10^{-3} | 7900 | in aqueous buffers at I = 0.1 M; pK _a = 10.1 | 269 |
| | | | _ | | H ₂ O | 25 | | | 17300 | spectrophotometric titration; $pK_a = 9.76$ | 270 |
| | 116g | N | Br | Me Me | MeOH | 25 | 5200 | 3.8 | 1300 | isnc; $k_{\rm A} = 2^{e}$ | 242, 245 |
| | 110n 116; | N N | Me SMo | Me Mo | меон Моон | 20 | 280 490 | 30 10 | 10.1 | ISIC | 245 |
| | 116 | N | SMC H | Mo | MeOH | 25 | 520 | 96 | 49 51 | isno | 240 |
| | 116k | N | SO C H | Me | MeOH | 25 | 43000 | ~3 | ~14300 | isne | 240 |
| | 1161 | N | 1 | H | H.O | $\frac{1}{25}$ | 10000 | Ū | 6.9 × 10 ⁴ | $pK_{a} = 9.16$ | 269 |
| NO ₂ | 117a | N→O | Ĥ | Me | MeOH | 25 | 28.5 | $\sim 3.35 \times 10^{-3}$ | ~8500 | isne; $\Delta H_{\mathbf{f}}^{+} = 45.5$; $\Delta S_{\mathbf{f}}^{+} = -63.5$; $\Delta H_{\mathbf{d}}^{+} = 45.5$; $\Delta S_{\mathbf{d}}^{+} = -145$; ΔH° slightly > 0; $\Delta S^{\circ} \sim 80$ | 251 |
| | 117b | N→O | ОМе | Me | MeOH | 20 | 12.02 | 2.29 × 10 ⁻³ | 5250 | isnc; $k^{\text{MeOH}} = 1.45 \times 10^{-8}$; $k^{\text{H}^+} = 7.94 \times 10^{4} f$ | $\begin{array}{c} 250,\\ 251 \end{array}$ |
| | 117c | N | Н | Me | MeOH | 25 | 6 | 2.04×10^{-3} | 2940 | isne; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 56; \Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -46.8;$ $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 52.4; \Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -120;$ $\Delta H^{\circ} = 3.6; \Delta S^{\circ} = 73$ | 251 |
| | 117c' | N | Н | Н | H ₂ O | 25 | | | 2200 | isnc; $pK_a = 10.65$ | 243 |
| | 117d | N | OMe | Me | MeOH | 25 | 14.5 | 7.1×10^{-3} | 2050 | isne | 245 |
| | | | | | | 20 | 7.56 | 3.55×10^{-3} | 2135 | isnc; $k^{\text{MeOH}} = 1.26 \times 10^{-8};$ $k^{\text{H}^{+}} = 1.76 \times 10^{5 f}$ | 250, 251 |
| | 119 | | | | MeOH | 25 | 147 | 0.116 | 1300 | isnc | 244 |
| MeO OMe | 1909 | | | | MeOH | 25 | 20.1 | 5 56 y 10 ⁻³ | 3600 | isne | 944 |
|)2NN | 120a | | | | МеОН | 25 25 | 20.1 | 5.00 × 10 | 5100 | isnc | 244 |
| | 123 a | S | | | MeOH MeOH–Me₂SO | 25 | 3.55 ^g | 400 ^g | 8.87 × 10 ⁻³ | isnc | 274 |
| MeO | | | | | 30:70 | 25 | 640 | 28 | 22.9 | isnc | 274 |
| ✓ ⁻ N | 1001 | | | | 20:80 | 25 | 2830 | 13.8 | 205 | isnc | 274 |
| | 1236 | Se | | | MeOH MeOH-Me _s SO | 25 | 6.31 ^s | 725 | 0.087 | ISNC | 274 |
| | | | | | 50:50 30:70 | 25 25 | 148 900 | 13.2 6 | 11.2 150 | isnc isnc | $\begin{array}{c} 274 \\ 274 \end{array}$ |

TABLE XVII. Rate and Equilibrium Constants for Formation and Decomposition of Hydroxy and Methoxy σ Complexes of Nitrobenzofurazans, Nitrobenzofuroxans, and Related Compounds

Terrier

| NO2 | 124a | S | MeOH MeOH-Me SO | 25 | 0.087 ^g | 0.62 ^g | 0.14 | isnc | 274 |
|----------------------|-------------|---|--|----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| MeO H | 124b | Se | 50:50 30:70 MeOH MoOH | 25 25 25 | 2 24 0.087 ^g | 0.097 0.04 0.0436 ^g | 20.6 600 2 | isnc isnc isnc | $274 \\ 274 \\ 274 \\ 274$ |
| | 122a | R = lysozyme | $ \begin{array}{l} $ | 25 25 25 25 | 2.34 5.84 90 4.95 ^h | 8 × 10 ⁻³ 0.005 0.7 | 292.5 1168 130 | isnc isnc pH >12.7 10.5 < pH < 12.7 | 274 274 249 249 |
| | 122b | CH_2 R = CH ₃ CONH-CH-CONH ₂ | H₂O | 24 | 20.05 ⁱ | 0.018 ⁱ | 1100 ⁱ | $\Delta H_{\mathbf{f}}^{\dagger} = 59; \Delta S_{\mathbf{f}}^{\dagger} = -65.4$ | 249 |
| | 125 | | МеОН | 25 | 15, ^j 1.8 ^k | 8.3, ^j 0.44 ^k | 1.8, ^j 4.1 ^k | isnc | 275 |
| O ₂ N | 12 6 | | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 30:70 20:80 10:90 | 25 25 25 | 30 48 136 | 11 4.1 1.13 | 2.77 11.7 120 | isnc isnc isnc | 277 2 7 7 277 |

^a Sodium or potassium hydroxides or alkoxides. ^b k_f , k_d , and K represent the rate and equilibrium constants as defined by eq 1, 10, or 35. ^c Enthalpies in kJ mol⁻¹, entropies in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. ^d See Table I for abbreviations. ^e k_A for MeO⁻ addition at the 7-carbon. ^f k^{MeOH} in s⁻¹, k^{H^+} in L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ as defined by eq 4 with R = Me. ^g Values estimated from linear plots of log k_f and log k_d vs. N_{Me_2SO} . ^h k_f in s⁻¹; refers to the unimolecular formation of 122a (see text). ⁱ Errors were made in tabulating the data of Table II in ref 249. ^j Assuming complex formation to be the first step of the reaction; see ref 275. ^k Assuming complex formation to be the second step of the reaction. ^l $Y = NH(CH_2)_2SS-2$ -py, py = pyridyl.

| TABLE XVIII. | Kinetic and | Thermody | vnamic | Parameters | for a | Spiro | Comp | lexes |
|--------------|-------------|----------|--------|------------|-------|-------|------|-------|
|--------------|-------------|----------|--------|------------|-------|-------|------|-------|

| | Срх | n | x | Y | solvent ^a | t, °C | $\frac{Kk_{1},^{b}}{L \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}}$ | k_{-1}^{b}, s^{-1} | $\frac{KK_1, b}{L \text{ mol}^{-1}}$ | activation and thermodynamic parameters, ^c conditions and comments ^d | ref |
|---------|----------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------|---|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---|-----|
| ((CH2)) | 134a ^{f, g} | 2 | NO, | NO, | Н,О | 25 | | | 1.8 × 10 ⁷ | isnc | 293 |
| NO2 | | | • | - | • | 25 | 1.6×10^{6} | 0.095 | 1.68×10^{7} | aqueous buffers | 64 |
| | | | | | | 25 | 7.25 × 10⁵ | 0.045 | 1.6 × 107 | 1 M NaC1; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 18; \Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -73; \Delta H_{-1}^{\dagger} = 57, 7; \Delta S_{-1}^{\dagger} = -77; \Delta H_1^{\circ} = -39.7; \Delta S_1^{\circ} = 4$ | 67 |
| l x | | | | | | 25 | | | | $k^{H^{+}} = 2200,^{e} k^{BH} = 0.9 (CH_{3}COOH); 2.3 (HCOOH)$ 12 (CH ₂ CICOOH) ^e | 69 |
| | | | | | D .0 | 25 | | | | $k^{D^{+}} = 3300 e^{k} k^{H^{+}} / k^{D^{+}} = 0.66$ | 69 |
| | | | | | MeOH | 20 | $1.74	imes10^{6}$ | 0.025 | 6.97×10^{7} | 0.01 M buffer salts | 291 |
| | 134b ^h | 3 | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | H ₂ O | 25 | 19.7 | 0.87 | 22.6 | 1 M NaCl; $\Delta H_1^{\dagger} = 40; \Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -85.3; \Delta H_{-1}^{\dagger} = 50.6;$ $\Delta S_1^{\dagger} = -76; \Delta H_2^{\circ} = -10.6; \Delta S_2^{\circ} = -9.3$ | 67 |
| | | | | | D ₂ O | 25 | 26.6 | 0.69 | 38.6 | $\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{Kk_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{Kk_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \sum_{k=1$ | 67 |

| | Срх | n | x | Y | solvent ^a | <i>t</i> , °C | $\frac{Kk_1,^b}{L \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}}$ | k_{-1}, b_{-1} s ⁻¹ | $\frac{KK_1, b}{L \text{ mol}^{-1}}$ | activation and thermodynamic parameters; ^c conditions and comments ^d | ref |
|--|----------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------------|--|---------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------|
| | 134d ^{f.h} | 2 | NO ₂ | Н | H ₂ O H ₂ O-Me ₂ SO 98:2 | 25 25 | >30 59.5 | >620 1450 | 0.05 0.041 | isnc 0.5 M KCl | 64 66 |
| | | | | | 80:20 | 25 | 173 | 719 | 0.24 | 0.5 M KCl | 66 |
| | | | | | 50:50 | 25 | 4010 | 124 | 32.1 | 0.5 M KCl | 66 |
| | | | | | 35:65 | 25 | 35300 | 50 | 705 | 0.5 M KCl | 66 |
| | | | | | MeOH | | > 5.5 | >500 | 0.011 | isnc | 63 |
| | 134e | 3 | NO 2 | Н | $H_{2}O-Me_{2}SO$ 48:52 | 25 | 0.26 | 10.3 | 0.025 | unspecified | 67 |
| | 134f | 4 | NO ₂ | Н | $H_{2}O-Me_{2}SO 48:52$ | 25 | 0.015 | 33 | 4.5×10^{-4} | unspecified | 67 |
| | . . | | | | 40:60 | 25 | 0.094 | 26 | 3.6 × 10 ⁻³ | $\Delta H_{-1}^{\ \ *} = 42.3; \ \Delta S_{-1}^{\ \ *} = -75$ | 67 |
| | 134g ^{f, i} | 2 | н | NO ₂ | H ₂ O | 25 | 160 | 137 | 1.3 | isnc | 64 |
| | | | | | MeOH | 25 | 80 | 360 | 0.22 | isnc; NaOMe and Bu₄NOMe | 63 |
| (^{(CH₂ h}); D ⊂ O,NO; | 136a ^{f, j} | 2 | | | H ₂ O | 2 5 | 9 × 104 | 2.3 | 3×10^4 | aqueous buffers; $k^{H^*} = 1.8 \times 10^{4}$, $k^{BH} = 25$ (CH ₃ COOH); 60 (HCOOH); 300 (CH ₂ CICOOH); e^{0} | 64 69 |
| $\left(\bigcirc \right) \left(\rightarrow \right)$ | | | | | D ₂ O | 25 | | 1.7 | | $k_{-1}H_2O/k_{-1}D_2O = 1.35$ | 69 |
| | | | | | $MeOH^k$ | 25 | $2.5	imes10^4$ | 6.5 | 3800 | isnc | 63 |
| | $136b^m$ | 3 | | | H ₂ O | 25 | 1.7 | 0.85 | 2 | isnc | 289 |
| NO2 | | | | | H ₂ O-Me ₂ SO 80:20 | 25 | 2.9 | 0.28 | 10 | isnc | 289 |
| | | | | | 60:40 | 25 | 10 | 0.07 | 140 | isnc | 289 |
| | | | | | 40:60 | 25 | 90 | | | isnc | 289 |
| | 136c | 4 | | | H ₂ O | 25 | 0.6 | 0.64 | 0.9 | isnc | 289 |
| | | | | | $H_{2}O-Me_{2}SO 80:20$ | 25 | 1.2 | 0.30 | 4 | isnc | 289 |
| | | | | | 60:40 | 25 | 3.5 | 0.09 | 40 | isnc+ | 289 |
| NOS NOS | 143a | | $N \rightarrow O$ | | H ₂ O | 20 | 5500 | 1.34 × 10 ⁻³ | 4.10 × 10° | 0.2 M KCl; aqueous buffers; $k^{H'} = 5.9;^{e'}$ $k^{BH} = 0.011 (CH_{3}COOH); 0.024 (HCOOH);$ 0.11 (CH.ClCOOH) ^e | 68 |
| ° ∼° ° × ° | | | | | D ₂ O | 20 | 7500 | 1.1 × 10 ⁻³ | 6.8 × 10 ⁶ | 0.2 M KCl; $Kk_1^{H_2O}/Kk_1^{D_2O} = 0.73; k_{-1}^{H_2O}/k_{-1}^{D_2O} = 1.22; KK_1^{H_2O}/KK_1^{D_2O} = 0.60; k^{D^+} = 9.3 \cdot k^{H^+}/k^{D^+} = 0.63$ | 68 |
| | | | | | MeOH | 20 | $3.98 	imes 10^3$ | 6.31 × 10 ⁻³ | 6.31 × 10 ⁵ | 0.01 M buffer salts; $k^{H^{+}} = 3 \times 10^{3} e^{-10}$ | 291 |
| | 143b | | Ν | | H ₂ O | 20 | $3.1	imes10^6$ | 0.25 | 1.24 × 10 ⁷ | 0.2 M KCl; aqueous buffers; $k^{H^+} = 2700$; $k^{BH} = 4.75 (CH_3COOH); 11.7 (HCOOH); 41$ (CH.CICOOH) ^e | 68 |
| | | | | | D ₂ O | 20 | $4.35 	imes 10^6$ | 0.20 | 2.17 × 10 ⁷ | $0.2 \text{ M KCl}; Kk_1^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/Kk_1^{\text{D}_2\text{O}} = 0.71; k_{-1}^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/k_{-1}^{\text{D}_2\text{O}} = 1.25; KK_1^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/KK_1^{\text{D}_2\text{O}} = 0.57$ | 68 |
| | | | | | MeOH | 20 | 7.60×10^{5} | 2.10 | $3.62 	imes 10^{\circ}$ | 0.01 M buffer salts; $k^{H^+} = 3.8 \times 10^{60}$ | 291 |

^a Sodium or potassium hydroxides or methoxides unless indicated otherwise. ^b Rate and equilibrium constants as defined by eq 14. ^c Enthalpies in kJ mol⁻¹; entropies in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹; ΔH_1^+ and ΔS_1^+ refer to Kk_1 ; ΔH_1° and ΔS_1° to KK_1 . ^d See Table I for abbreviations. ^e k^{H+} and k^{BH} in L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ as defined by eq 15 and 36. ^f For formation of the 1:2 complexes; k_2 in L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹; k_{-2} in s⁻¹; K_2 in L mol⁻¹, as defined by eq 37. ^g For 139a, $k_2 = 0.09$; $k_2 = 0.9$; $K_2 = 0.1$. ^h For 139b, $k_2 = 0.5$; $k_{-2} = 0.7$; $K_2 = 0.8$. ⁱ For 139c, $k_2 = 0.06$; $k_{-2} = 0.1$; $K_2 = 0.6$. ^j For 140, $k_2 = 0.04$; $k_{-2} = 0.04$; $K_2 = 1$. ^k The data reported for 136a in ref 62 probably correspond to a mixture of this spiro complex and its 1,1 dimethoxy analogue 70a. ^l For the analogous spiro complex of 1-(2,2-dimethyl-3-hydroxypropoxy)-2,4,6-TNB, in water at 25 °C, I = 0.25 M NaCl; $Kk_1 = 4.6$; $k_{-1} = 0.4$; $KK_1 = 11.5$; see ref 491. ^m For the analogous spiro complex of 1-(2,2-dimethyl-3-hydroxypropoxy)-2,4-dinitronaphthalene in water at 25 °C. isnc: $Kk_1 = 7$, $k_{-1} = 3.5$; $K_1 = 2$; see ref 491.

N
the other. This shows quite clearly that the furazan and furoxan moieties have about the same effect on complex stability.²⁵¹ The greater stabilities of the adducts **117a-d** relative to their isomers **116a-d** has been explained in terms of an extensive delocalization of the negative charge through the NO₂ group para to the sp³ carbon.^{244,245,251} The recent finding that complexes of the type **117** have a high tendency to form nitronic acids, such as **118**, in acidic medium in MeOH strongly supports this hypothesis.²⁵⁰ The pK_a^{MeOH} for ionization of **118a** and **118b** are 4.4 and 4.8, respectively, at 20



°C.²⁵⁰ Rate and equilibrium constants have also been reported for 119 and 120a in MeOH.^{241,244} The stability of these complexes which do not have a NO₂ group para to the sp³ carbon is lower than that of the analogue 117d. The hydroxyl complexes 117a',c' and 120b,c are formed in aqueous hydroxide solutions.²⁴³ The stability order is 117c' > 117a' > 120c > 120b, but only the K_1 value for 117c' has been measured: $K_1 \sim 2200$ L mol^{-1.243}

Formation of the adducts 116e-g(f') occurs prior to nucleophilic displacement of the halogen atom (F, Cl, Br) in the reactions of MeO⁻ and OH⁻ with 7halogeno-4-nitrobenzofurazans.^{242,245,269,270} The proposal that the first reversible and rapid reaction occurring between OH⁻ and the chloro compound 115f, commonly known as NBDCl, is associated with deprotonation of the cation 121 is untenable.²⁷¹ Protonation of unsubstituted benzofurazan and benzofuroxan occurs only in very acidic media (p $K_a \sim -8$).^{272,273} NBDCl has been used as a reactivity probe and as a

NBDCl has been used as a reactivity probe and as a fluorescent labeling reagent in the study of a number of proteins and enzyme derivatives. Most of the 7-X- substituted-4-nitrobenzofurazans obtained in the reactions add OH⁻ to the 5-position in a rapid and reversible step in aqueous solution. The kinetics of this process has been investigated in the case of the NBDlysozyme, N-acetyl-(O-NBD)-L-tyrosinamide, and glycyl-(O-NBD)-L-tyrosine systems which yield the complexes 122a-c.²⁴⁹ While the formation of 122b and 122c compares well with that of 116f', the formation of 122a occurs much more rapidly and does not depend on the OH⁻ concentration in the pH range 10-12.7. In this enzyme system, OH⁻ addition to the NBD moiety would occur subsequently to a rate-determining conformational change in the protein molecule.²⁴⁹ This latter will be induced by ionization of a tyrosine hydroxyl group.

4-Nitro-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole and -selenadiazole are the sulfur and selenium analogues of 4-nitrobenzofurazan. The complexes 123a,b are formed under kinetic control but rapidly isomerize to the more stable 124a,b in MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures.²⁷⁴ In each series,



the stability is in the order O > Se > S; i.e., it decreases with decreasing electronegativity of the heteroatom and increasing aromaticity of the system.²⁷⁴ The complex 125 initially forms in the reaction of MeO⁻ with 6chloro-3-methyl-7-nitroanthranil which gives 4-acetyl-7-methoxybenzofuroxan as a final product.²⁷⁵ Both 5and 6-nitro-1,3-benzothiazoles add MeO⁻ at C₂ to give 126 and 127^{276,277} which are unstable due to a subsequent ring opening. The kinetics of formation of 126 have been studied in MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures rich in Me₂SO²⁷⁷ (Table XVII).

6. Nonbenzenoid Aromatics

Certain nonbenzenoid aromatics, i.e., azulenes and tropones, add bases to form stable or detectable 1:1 complexes. No systematic thermodynamic studies of the interactions have been made. However, on the basis of the reported changes occurring in the UV-visible spectra, upon MeO⁻ addition in MeOH, the following estimates of the equilibrium constant K_1 (eq 1) associated with formation of the complexes 128a, 128b, and 129 are obtained: $K_1^{128a} \sim 10^3$, $K_1^{128b} \sim 20$, $K_1^{129} \ge 20$ L mol⁻¹.²⁷⁸⁻²⁸⁰



| | Срх | x | % Me₂SO | <i>t</i> , °C | k_1, L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | k_{-1}, s^{-1} | K_1, L mol ⁻¹ | pK_a^{f} |
|----------------|------|--------------|------------|---------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| ☆× | 132a | H | 0 | 25 | 80 ^b | 1900 ^b | 0.042 ^{b,c} | , |
| | | | 50 | 25 | 425^a | 850 | 0.5^{e} | |
| Date Of NO2 | | | 60 | 25 | 645^d | 645 | 1^{e} | |
| | | | 80 | 25 | 3600 | 320 | 10.9^{e} | |
| | | | 90 | 30 | 50000 | 175 | 285^{c} | 15.42 |
| Ĭ | 132b | p-MeO | 90 | 30 | 60000 | 50 | 1200 ^c | 16.14 |
| 5 1 0 c | 132c | p-Cl | 90 | 30 | 9700^{d} | 2100 | 4.68^{e} | 14.15 |
| | 132d | p-Br | 90 | 30 | 8700^{d} | 2500 | 3.47^{e} | 14.10 |
| | 132e | m-Cl | 90 | 30 | 3130^{d} | 4000 | 0.77 ^e | 13.58 |
| | 132f | <i>m-</i> Br | 90 | 30 | 4 000^d | 5000 | 0.80 ^e | 13.45 |

^a Reference 288; I = 0.25 M Me₄NCl; k_1 , k_{-1} , and K_1 as defined by eq 1. ^b Values estimated from the linear plots of log k_1 , log k_{-1} vs. $N_{\text{Me}_2\text{SO}}$. ^c Calculated as $K_1 = k_1/k_{-1}$. ^d Calculated as $k_1 = K_1k_{-1}$. ^e Determined spectrophotometrically. ^f p K_a of respective phenols extrapolated to zero concentration in 90% Me₂SO.

C. Phenoxy Complexes

In contrast to alkoxide complexes, it has been difficult to detect and characterize aryloxide complexes. Due to their ambident character, phenoxide ions may in fact attack via oxygen or the para carbon atoms.^{283–287} In the case of TNB, **130** is the kinetically controlled com-



 $X = (a) H; (b) p \cdot MeO; (c) p \cdot Cl; (d) p \cdot Br; (e) m - Cl; (f) m \cdot Br$

plex while 131 is the thermodynamically more stable product (see section VID).²⁸³⁻²⁸⁷ The kinetics of the reaction of TNA with phenoxide ion has been studied in H₂O-Me₂SO mixtures with $\geq 50\%$ Me₂SO.²⁸⁸ The 1-methoxy-1-phenoxy complex 132a is formed initially according to eq 1 but is rapidly converted to the 3hydroxy complex 14a. This in turn slowly decomposes to picrate ion. The k_1 , k_{-1} , and K_1 values for formation and decomposition of 132a have been determined,²⁸⁸ with the SFTJ technique. Plots of log k_1 and log k_{-1} vs. $N_{Me_{9}SO}$ are linear, allowing extrapolation of the rate constants to water solution and comparison with similar data for 13a. Phenoxide ion departure from 132a is found to be more than 10⁶ times faster than MeO⁻ departure from 13a whereas the rates of ArO⁻ and MeO⁻ attack on TNA are very similar. The kinetics of complex formation between TNA and various substituted phenoxide ions was also measured in 90% $Me_2SO-10\%$ water.²⁸⁸ Both the rates of phenoxide ion attack and of phenoxide ion departure strongly depend on the pK_a of the respective phenols. Brønsted-type plots of log k_1 and log k_{-1} vs. p K_a have slopes of ~0.60 (β_{nuc}) and -0.70 (β_{1g}), respectively.²⁸⁸ The results are summarized in Table XIX.

D. Spiro Complexes

1. 1-(n-Hydroxya/koxy)nitroarenes

a. Effect of Ring Size on Complex Stability. With the exception of the 1-(4-hydroxybutoxy)benzene derivatives 133c and 133f, the ethers 133 and 135 (GOH) cyclize in basic media as shown in eq 14 to give the respective complexes 134 and 136.62-64,66,67,289-291 Equilibrium (KK_1) and rate (Kk_1, k_{-1}) constants for these reactions have been reported in water, MeOH, and water-Me₂SO mixtures (Table XVIII). As might be expected from the isolation of the OH group from the aromatic system, the results indicate that the Kvalues for its ionization depend little upon n and the aromatic moiety. $^{63,64,66-68,289}$ Therefore, changes in the rates of formation (Kk_1) and the stabilities (KK_1) largely reflect those in the rate and equilibrium constants associated with the cyclization step (k_1, K_1) . K has been estimated to be $\leq 1 \text{ L mol}^{-1}$ and is most probably $\simeq 0.3$ L mol⁻¹ in water.^{64,67,68} This corresponds to a pK_a value $\simeq 14.5$.



The KK_1 values for complex formation in the n = 2series are 1.60×10^7 , 3×10^4 , 1.3 and 0.05 L mol⁻¹ in water at 25 °C for 134a, 136a, 134g, and 134d, respectively.^{64,289} A similar sequence is observed in MeOH.^{62,63,291} The stability order thus parallels the increase in activation of the aromatic system, as found for noncyclic analogues. Increasing the ring size from five to six to seven members causes a dramatic decrease in complex stability, the effect being most pronounced with the trinitrobenzene derivatives, least with the dinitrobenzene derivatives, and intermediate with the dinitronaphthalene derivatives.^{67,289} Thus, 134b, 134e, and 136b (n = 3) are, respectively, 7×10^5 , 2.56×10^3 , and 1.7×10^4 times less stable than their analogues with n = 2. When n = 4, only the naphthyl complex 136c has been characterized.²⁸⁹ In the case of the trinitro derivative 133c, the formation of the 1,3-complex 42a rather than of 134c is favored.⁶⁷ Similarly, the formation of the 1,5-hydroxy complex 137 competes with formation of 134f in aqueous $Me_2SO.^{67}$ The decrease in complex stability on increasing ring size is mainly due to a decrease in the rate of ring formation (k_1) . Differences in loss of rotational freedom of the side chain on ring formation, in ring strain, and in steric bulk at the 1-position in the complexes are each, in part, responsible for the decrease in k_1 on going from n = 2 to n = 4.67

gem-Dimethyl substitution at C-2 of the side chain in the 1-(3-hydroxypropoxy) ethers 133b and 135b does not significantly affect the rate and equilibrium parameters for spiro complex formation.⁴⁹¹ This is unusual since it is well-known that when gem-dimethyl substituents are introduced into a methylene side chain, equilibrium and rate constants for cyclization are generally increased.⁴⁹²

b. Intra- vs. Intermolecular Leaving Group De**parture.** The spiro complexes with n = 2 have a much greater stability but decompose much faster than their 1,1-dimethoxy analogues. 64,67 Ring opening of 134a is about 82 times faster than MeO⁻ departure from the 1,1-complex of TNA, 13a $(k_{-1} = 5.51 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ in})$ water¹³⁸), k_{-1} in the case of **136a** is ~1500 times faster than MeO⁻ departure from the naphthyl complex 70a $(k_{-1}^{H_2O} = 1.76 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}; k_{-1}^{MeOH} = 3.95 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}2^{04})$, and k_{-1} for 134d is about 17 times greater than MeO⁻ departure from 25g $(k_{-1} = 42 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ in MeOH})$.¹⁶⁶ There has been much discussion about the possible reasons for this behavior.⁶⁷ At present, three factors are believed to contribute to these changes: they are (a) relief of steric strain upon complex decomposition, (b) difference in the basicity of the respective leaving groups, and (c) $p-\pi$ overlap of the lone pairs of the nonleaving oxygen with the C-O bond being broken.⁶⁷ The second factor is supported by the observation that the rate of alkoxide ion departure from gem-dialkoxy complexes increases with decreasing pK_a of the respective alcohols¹⁴⁴ (section IIB2d). In view of the estimated Kvalues, the pK_a of the OH group of the parent ethers (n = 2) is lower than that of MeOH by ≥ 0.7 pK unit. This pK_a difference likely accounts for part of the differences in k_{-1} .¹⁴⁴

c. Buffer Catalysis. No evidence has been found for buffer catalysis in the formation of 134 and 136. This indicates that in eq 14 the parent ethers GOH and the oxyanions GO^- are in rapid equilibrium, and the internal cyclization step is rate determining. In contrast, general acid catalysis of the decomposition of the adducts 134a and 136a has been observed in H_2O ,⁶⁹ with a rate constant k_{obsd} fitting the equation

$$k_{\text{obsd}} = k_{-1} + k^{\text{H}^{+}}[\text{H}^{+}] + k^{\text{BH}}[\text{BH}]$$
 (36)

Brønsted plots of log k^{BH} vs. p K_{a} values for the catalyzing acids are linear, with slopes of the order of 0.5. The results are consistent with a concerted mechanism and the transition state 138.^{67,69} The microscopic re-



verse of this acid decomposition path, i.e., the general base catalyzed cyclization of GOH, has not been observed because complex formation is disfavored at pH values where such cyclization would be most effective. The uncatalyzed ring opening of 134a and 136a is shown to be a unimolecular reaction, as described by the k_{-1} step in eq 14, and not a bimolecular reaction occurring through 138 (B = OH).⁶⁹ In accord with this mechanism, the reaction proceeds at similar rates in H₂O and D₂O: k_{-1} ^{H₂O}/ k_{-1} ^{D₂O = 1.3 for 136a.⁶⁹}

mechanism, the reaction proceeds at similar rates in H_2O and D_2O : $k_{-1}H_2O/k_{-1}D_2O = 1.3$ for 136a.⁶⁹ The k^{H^+} values for ring opening of 134a ($k^{H^+} = 2.2 \times 10^3 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$)⁶⁹ and 136a ($k^{H^+} = 1.80 \times 10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1}$ s⁻¹)⁶⁹ are of the same order as those for MeO⁻ departure of the picryl and naphthyl 1,1-dimethoxy complexes 13a $(k^{\rm H^+} = 3.5 \times 10^3 \,\mathrm{L \ mol^{-1} \ s^{-1}})^{144}$ and 70a $(k^{\rm H^+} = 1.48 \times 10^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1})^{144}$ $10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.²⁰⁴ This suggests that those factors responsible for the much faster noncatalyzed spiro complex ring opening must be ineffective in the acidcatalyzed reactions, or that a new, compensating factor plays a role in the acid-catalyzed leaving group departure, or both. According to Bernasconi,⁶⁷ relief of steric strain and $p-\pi$ overlap of the lone pairs of the nonleaving oxygen with the C-O bond being broken would be less effective in the acid-catalyzed reaction. In addition, the lower acidity of MeOH compared to that of the OH group in GOH now favors MeO⁻ departure. Further work in this area is needed to more fully understand this behavior.

d. 1:2 Complexes. At NaOH concentrations >0.1 M in aqueous solution, the spiro complexes 134 and 136 (n = 2) add OH⁻ at unsubstituted carbon to give the diadducts 139 and 140 according to eq 37.⁶⁴ Rate and



equilibrium parameters for these complexes are given in Table XIX. 139a can add another OH^- to give the colorless triadduct 141⁶⁴ which has an absorption similar to that of the trimethoxide complex 22 of TNA.

2. 2,4-Dinitrophenyl Glucosyl Ether and Related Derivatives

Addition of glucose has been shown to accelerate the decomposition of 2,4-dinitrofluoro- and -chlorobenzenes in aqueous NaOH and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB).²⁹² There is kinetic and spectroscopic evidence that the reactions involve the intermediate formation of 2,4-dinitrophenyl glucosyl ether. At high pH, this ether cyclizes to give a detectable spiro complex which has a visible absorption similar to that of 134d. Analogous results are obtained with sorbose and sorbitol. The sorbitol complex is long-lived under some experimental conditions (0.1 M sorbitol, 0.01 M NaOH, 0.025 M CTAB).²⁹²

3. 7-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)-4-nitrobenzofurazan and -benzofuroxan

Cyclization of 142a and 142b occurs in basic media in H₂O and MeOH to give 143a and 143b, which have



a stability of the order of that of the picryl complex 134a.^{68,291} The pK, values are 7.46 and 6.93 for 143a and 143b, respectively, in water, as compared with a pK_a of 6.70 for 134a (at 20 °C). Despite their similar stability, 143a and 143b have drastically different rates of formation and decomposition.^{68,291} Both the Kk_1 and k_{-1} values are more than 10^2 times greater for 143b than for 143a (Table XIX). Comparison with the less stable dimethoxy analogues 117d and 117b shows that 143b decomposes much more rapidly than 117d while 143a and 117b decompose at rather similar rates $(k_{-1}^{143b}/k_{-1}^{117d} \simeq 600; k_{-1}^{143a}/k_{-1}^{117b} = 2.7$ in MeOH).^{68,291} On the basis of the results obtained in the benzene and naphthalene series, 143b is a "normal" and 143a is an "abnormal" compound. This behavior has been attributed to a destabilizing electrostatic effect of the N-oxide group on the transition state for formation and decomposition of 143a.68

Just as for 134a and 136a, the decomposition of 143a and 143b is subject to general acid catalysis with Brønsted α values of 0.43 and 0.44 in water and 0.48 and 0.49 in MeOH, respectively.^{68,291} A noteworthy result is that protonation of 143a and 143b occurs in the most acidic media in MeOH to give the nitronic acids 144a and 144b.²⁹¹ The pK_a^{MeOH} for ionization of these acids are both equal to 4.28; i.e., they are close to those found for the gem-dimethoxy analogues 118a and 118b. The nitronic acid of 134a is not observed under similar conditions.²⁹¹

4. Catechol 2,4,6-Trinitrophenyl Ether

The spiro complex 146 is very stable and forms partially from the parent catechol ether 145 in the absence of any added base in water, water-Me₂SO, and water-EtOH mixtures^{294,295} (eq 38). The interconversion of



145 and 146 is too fast in aqueous solution, even for TJ measurements. However, when this technique and buffer solutions are used, a kinetic study was possible over a pH range 4-6 in 50% $H_2O-50\%$ $Me_2SO.^{294}$ The system is unique in that it is the first example of oxygen-bonded spiro complex formation not obeying eq 14. The reasons for this are the following: (1) There is a very strong buffer dependence of the observed reciprocal relaxation time $1/\tau$ associated with formation of 146. This is typical for proton transfer reactions at pH values not too far from neutrality.^{20,296} (2) The dependence of $1/\tau$ on the total buffer concentration is not linear but curvilinear (Figure 5). Such curvature is usually indicative of a mechanism where there is a change in the rate-limiting step. In the present case, the reaction is described by eq 38, and there is a change from rate-limiting proton transfer in the GOH \Rightarrow GO⁻ step (k_p, k_{-p}) to a rate-limiting C-O bond formation/ breaking (k_1, k_{-1}) . k_p and k_{-p} are defined by eq 39 and 40, respectively. k_p^{S}, k_p^{OH} , and k_p^{B} refer to the depro-

$$k_{\rm p} = k_{\rm p}^{\rm S} + k_{\rm p}^{\rm OH}[\rm OH^{-}] + k_{\rm p}^{\rm B}[\rm B]$$
 (39)

$$k_{-p} = k_{-p}^{SH^{+}}[H^{+}] + k_{-p}^{S} + k_{-p}^{BH}[BH]$$
 (40)

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{k_{\rm p}k_1}{k_{\rm -p} + k_1} + \frac{k_{\rm -p}k_{\rm -1}}{k_{\rm -p} + k_1} \tag{41}$$

tonation of 145 by the solvent, the hydroxide ion, and the buffer base, respectively, whereas $k_{-p}^{\rm SH^+}$, $k_{-p}^{\rm S}$, and $k_{-p}^{\rm BH}$ refer to the protonation of GO⁻ by the solvated proton, the solvent, and the buffer acid, respectively. Assuming GO⁻ to be a "steady-state" intermediate, the expression for $1/\tau$ is given by eq 41. Although the kinetic analysis is not simple, all the rate and equilibrium parameters of eq 38 were determined.²⁹⁴ Calculations were based, in particular, on the characteristic values of $1/\tau$ at high or low buffer concentrations and the fact that $k_{-p}^{\rm BH}$ for reprotonation of GO⁻ (p $K_a^{\rm GOH}$ $\simeq 10.3$) by a buffer acid such as chloracetic acid (p K_a = 3.7 in the mixture) is certainly close to the diffusion-controlled limit of $\simeq 10^{10}$ L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹.²⁹⁶ The data are summarized in Table XX.



Figure 5. Representative plots of $1/\tau$ vs. total chloroacetate buffer concentration for the cyclization of catechol 2,4,6-trinitrophenyl ether 145 into the spiro complex 146²⁹⁴ in 50% H₂SO-50% Me₂SO: (O) pH 4.06; (\bullet) pH 4.36; I = 0.5 M, t = 25 °C.

TABLE XX. Equilibrium and Rate Constants for Formation and Decomposition of the Picryl Spiro Complexes of Catechol (146), Adenosine (148), and Glycol (134a)

| | 146 ^{<i>a</i>,<i>n</i>} | 148 ^b | 134a |
|---|--|---|---|
| KK_1 , L mol ⁻¹ e | 6.90 × 10° | $1.23 	imes 10^{\circ}$ | 1.68×10^{7c} 1.6 × 10 ^{7d} |
| pK_a Kk_1 , L mol ⁻¹ e | 5.25^{f} $6.90 	imes 10^{13}$ | 4.83 >3 × 10° | 6.78 1.6×10^{6} 7.25×10^{5} |
| $K, L mol^{-1} e pK_a GOH g k_1, s^{-1} e$ | 5.75×10^4 10.34 1.2 × 10 ⁹ | $\simeq 0.3$ $\simeq 14.5$ $> 10^{10}$ | |
| $k_{-1}, s^{-1} e$ | 104 | >2.5 | 2.4×10^{-4} 0.095^{c} 0.045^{d} |
| $k_{p}S, s^{-1}h$ $k_{-p}SH^{+}, L mol^{-1} s^{-1}h$ $k_{p}OH, L mol^{-1} s^{-1}h$ $k_{-p}S, s^{-1}h$ $k^{H^{+}}, L mol^{-1} s^{-1}i$ | $3.98 \times 10^{10}10^{10 j}\simeq 1.7 \times 10^{5}$ | 21.5 × 10-4 k 25 × 1010 j 3 × 108 l 3 109 j 310 | $\approx 1.5 \times 10^{-4} k$ $\approx 5 \times 10^{10 j}$ $> 3 \times 10^{8 l}$ $\ge 10^{9 j}$ 2200^{m} |

^a Reference 294 at 25 °C in 50% H₂O-50% Me₂SO; I = 0.5 M KCl. ^b Reference 301 at 20 °C in water; I = 0.2 M KCl. ^c Reference 64 at 25 °C in water; sinc. ^d Reference 67 at 25 °C in water; I = 1 M NaCl. ^e K, K₁, k_1 , and k_2 , as defined by eq 14 and 38. ^f K_a = KK₁K_s with K_s = 8 × 10⁻¹⁶; ref 294. ^g K_aGOH = KK_s. ^h k_pS, k_pSH^* , k_pOH , and k_pS as defined by eq 39 and 40. ⁱ k^H as defined by eq 15. ^j Estimated (ref 296). ^k Estimated from $k_pS = KK_sk_2SH^*$. ^l Estimated from $k_pOH = Kk_2S$. ^m Reference 69. ⁿ Rate constants k_pB and k_2B^{BH} as defined by eq 39 and 40, in L mol⁻¹s⁻¹; acetate: $k_pB = 6.8 \times 10^4$, $k_{-p}BH = 3 \times 10^9$; formate: $k_pB = 5.9 \times 10^3$; $k_{-p}BH = 5.45 \times 10^9$; chloroacetate: $k_pB = 1.2 \times 10^3$; $k_{-p}BH = 10^{10}$.

Comparison with the results for the picryl complex 134a^{64,67} provides an explanation for rate limiting proton transfer in the formation of 146.²⁹⁴ The requirement for such behavior is $k_1 > k_{-p}$. In the case of 134a, $Kk_1 = 1.6 \times 10^6 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1.64}$ Since a reasonable estimate of K is $\simeq 0.3 \text{ L mol}^{-1.297}$ (pK_a^{GOH} $\simeq 14.5$), this leads to an estimated $k_1 \simeq 5 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Due to the low acidity of GOH, protonation of GO⁻ even by the weakest acid in the system, i.e., the solvent, is very fast, with $k_{-p}^{\text{ s}} \simeq 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1.296}$ Thus k_{-p} can never be lower than $\simeq 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and k_1 is << k_{-p} under all experimental conditions. A similar situation prevails in the formation of complexes 134, 136, and 143. In contrast, $k_{-p}^{\text{ s}}$ is relatively small in reaction 38, as a consequence of the relatively high

acidity of GOH.²⁹⁴ As a result, protonation of GOoccurs mainly through the $k_{-p}^{SH^+}$ and k_{-p}^{BH} steps where the experimental conditions are suitable. Even though these latter steps are diffusion controlled or nearly so, proton transfer is then rate limiting because k_1 is very high $(1.2 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1})$ and the $k_{-p}^{SH^+}$ and k_{-p}^{BH} steps are bimolecular reactions and thus become very fast only at high H⁺ or high buffer concentrations.²⁹⁴ The rateenhancing effect of Me₂SO and the greater conformational rigidity of the catecholate ion GO⁻ relative to its alkoxide analogue are responsible for the much higher k_1 value for formation of 146 in 50% Me₂SO compared to that for 134a in water. The different k_{-1} values for these two complexes essentially reflect the difference

| the grant have been a set of the | - | | t, | $k_{f_1}^a L mol^{-1}$ | | | activation and thermodynamic parameters; ^b conditions and | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------|
| | Срх | Х | °Ć | s ⁻¹ | k_{d} , s ⁻¹ | $K, a L mol^{-1}$ | comments ^c | ref |
| | 150a | Н | 25 | | | 512 | zero ionic strength | 307, 309 |
| C H | | | 25 | | | 267 | $I = 0.144 \text{ M}; \Delta H^{\circ} = -16.7;$ $\Delta S^{\circ} = -9.5$ | 309 |
| SO3 | | | 20 | | | 250^{d} | I = 0.3 M | 305 |
| - | | | 25 | 3.54×10^{4} | 125 | 286 | no added salt | 312 |
| | | | 25 | $3.58 \times 10^{\circ}$ | 130 | 272 | I = 0.6 M | 312 |
| | | | 20 | 5.7 × 10 | 115 | 522 | $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 47.6; \Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -47.7; \Delta H^{\circ} = -16.3; \Delta S^{\circ} = -5$ | 010 |
| | 150b | OMe | 20 | | | 210 | I = 0.3 M | 305 |
| | | | 25 | 4800 | 35 | 140 | I = 0.3 M | 316 |
| | 150c | NH ₂ | 20 | 5.7×10^{4} | 7 | 1.01 X 10* 8600 | I = 0.3 M $I = 0.14 \text{ M} \cdot 4 H_{2}^{+} = 28 A_{2}$ | 305 |
| | | | 20 | 0.7 × 10 | | | $\Delta S_{f}^{*} = -58.5; \Delta H_{d}^{*} = 46.4; \Delta S_{d}^{*} = -73; \Delta H^{\circ} = -18; \Delta S^{\circ} = 14.5$ | 011 |
| | 150d | NHMe | 20 | | | $5.4 	imes 10^4$ | I = 0.3 M | 305 |
| | | | 25 | 1.4 × 10⁴ | 0.2 | 6.8 × 10⁴ | $I = 0.14 \text{ M}; \Delta H_{f}^{*} = 30.5; \Delta S_{f}^{*} = -61.5; \Delta H_{d}^{*} = 56; \Delta S_{d}^{*} = -67.7; \Delta H^{\circ} = -25.5; \Delta S^{\circ} = 6.2$ | 311 |
| | 150e | NMe ₂ | 20 | | | 5.4×10^4 | I = 0.3 M | 305 |
| | | | 25 | 4100 | 0.14 | 3 × 10⁴ | $I = 0.14 \text{ M}; \Delta H_{f}^{+} = 26.7; \Delta S_{f}^{+} = -85.3; \Delta H_{d}^{+} = 57.7; \Delta S_{d}^{+} = -68.5; \Delta H^{\circ} = -31; \Delta S^{\circ} = -16.8$ | 311 |
| | 150f | Me | 25 | | | 5.6 | I = 0.14 M | 309 |
| | 150- | | 25 | 800 ^e | 300^{e} | 2.6 ^e | I = 0.3 M | 319 |
| | 150g 150h | O^{-} | 20 20 | 4000 | 11 | 1.2^{f} | zero jonic strength | 196 |
| | 1001 | Ŭ | $\frac{1}{25}$ | 280 | 110 | 2.5 | I = 0.3 M | 316 |
| | | | 25 | 600 | 110 | 5.5 | I = 2.1 M | 316 |
| | 150i | SO_3^- | 25 | 60 140 | 42 | 1.4 | I = 0.3 M | 191 |
| | 150i | NO | 25 | 140 | 42 | ა,ა ≥10⁰ | I = 2.1 M | 191 |
| | 152 | CHO | 25^{-2} | | | 2150 ^g | I = 0.14 M | 309 |
| | | | 24 | | | $1.84 \times 10^{4 g}$ | $I = 0.14 \text{ M}; \Delta H^{\circ} = -10;$ $\Delta S^{\circ} = 47.5$ | 310 |
| | 157 | \mathbf{NMeR}^h | 25 | 4040 | 0.15 | $2.69 	imes 10^4$ | I = 1.8 M | 317 |
| | 151a ¹ | Н | 20 | | | 9.2 | I = 0.3 M | 305 |
| | 151a.t | | 20 | 195 | 91 | 0.5 | I = 0.3 M | 305 |
| | 1014-0 | | $\frac{1}{25}$ | 311 | 20 | 15.6 | I = 0.9 M | 312 |
| -035 \$03" NOF | | | 25 | 1390 | 16 | 86.8 | I = 4.5 M | 312 |
| ۴. | 151а-с | | 25 | 1.2 | 0.13 | 9.2 | I = 0.3 M | 312 |
| | | | 25 | 1.6 | 0.12 | 13.3 | I = 0.9 M I = 4.5 M | 312 |
| | 151b | OMe | 20 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 900 | I = 0.3 M | 305 |
| | ~ | | 20^{-1} | | | 58 | zero ionic strength | 305 |
| | | | 25 | 170 | 0.12 | 1400 | I = 0.3 M | 316 |
| | 151c | NH ₂ | 20 | | | 18.4 | I = 0.3 M | 305 |
| | | | $\frac{20}{25}$ | | | $\simeq 6^j$ | $I = 0.14 \text{ M}; \Delta H^{\circ} = -37.6;$ $\Delta S^{\circ} = -50$ | 305 |
| | 1 | NITTING. | 25 | 140 | 7 | 20 | I = 0.3 M | 316 |
| | 1510 | NHMe | 20 | | | 1800 | I = 0.3 M | 305 |
| | | | $\frac{20}{25}$ | | | 1300 ^j | $I = 0.14 \text{ M}; \Delta H^{\circ} = -46;$ | 311 |
| | | | 25 | 330 | 0.16 | 2000 | $\Delta S = -92$ I = 0.3 M | 316 |
| | 151e | NMe ₂ | 20 | | | 6.2 × 10⁴ | I = 0.3 M | 305 |
| | | | 20 | | | 2300 | zero ionic strength | 305 |
| | | | 25 | | | 2.6 × 10 ^{4 y} | $I = 0.14 \text{ M}; \Delta H^{\circ} = 58.8;$ $\Delta S^{\circ} = -117$ | 311 |
| | 1515 | Мо | 25 25 | 310 49 | 6 X 10 ⁻³ 1 16 | 5 × 10° | I = 0.3 M I = 0.3 M | 316 |
| | 1511 151g | CH.Cl | $\frac{40}{25}$ | *4 55 | 1.10 | 32 | I = 0.3 M I = 0.3 M | 319 |
| | 151ĥ | 0 ⁻ | $\overline{20}$ | ~ ~ | | 0.016 | zero ionic strength | 196 |
| | | 20 | 25_{-} | 250 | 4 | 60 | I = 2.1 M | 316 |
| | 151i 154^{k} | SU ¹ | 25 24 | 18 | 0.14 | 130 | l = 2.1 M $l = 0.14 \text{ M} \cdot \sqrt{H^{\circ}} = -25.6 \cdot$ | 191 |
| | 104 | 0110 | 4 4 | 4 8 9 - | | 01.7 | $\Delta S^{\circ} = -91$ | 010 |
| | 158 | NMeR ^h | 25 | 1560 | 4.8×10^{-3} | 3.25 × 10⁵ | I = 1.8 M | 317 |

TABLE XXI (Continued)



^a $k_{\rm f}$, $k_{\rm d}$, and K represent the rate and equilibrium constants associated with formation and decomposition of the various complexes. ^b Enthalpies in kJ mol⁻¹; entropies in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. ^c Ionic strength maintained with Na₂SO₄ or KNO₃. ^d In 70% Me₂SO-30% H₂O, $K \ge 5 \times 10^4$ L mol⁻¹. ^e Extrapolated from linear plots of log $k_{\rm f}$, log $k_{\rm d}$, and log K vs. $N_{\rm Me_2SO}$. ^f In 50% Me₂SO-50% H₂O; K = 10 L mol⁻¹. ^g The 1:1 complex may be 152 or 153. ^h R = CH₂CH₂OH. ⁱ This adduct is probably 151a-t (see text). ^j Values calculated at 25 °C from the temperature dependence of K (ref 311). ^k The 1:2 complex may be 154 or 155. ^l $k_{\rm f}$, $k_{\rm d}$, and K correspond to the formation and decomposition of 159 from the spiro complex 156.

TABLE XXII. Equilibrium Constants for Formation of 1:1 and 1:2 Complexes of TNB and Thiolate or Thiophenoxide Ions

| RS⁻ | solvent | t, °C | Срх | K_1 , L mol ⁻¹ | Срх | $K_2,^a L \text{ mol}^{-1}$ | ref |
|---|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|---------|
| EtS ⁻ | H,O | 20 | 1 6 0 | 170 | 161 | 12000 | 320 |
| | Н,О-МеОН 70:30 | 20 | | 1100 | | 2200 | 320 |
| | 20:80 | 20 | | 4800 | | 35 | 320 |
| | MeOH | 20 | | 3500 | | 10 | 95, 320 |
| | H,O-EtOH 70:30 | 20 | | 3000 | | 1500 | 320 |
| | 20:80 | 20 | | 45000 | | 23 | 320 |
| | EtOH | 20 | | 25000 | | 7 | 320 |
| GS⁻ | H,O | 25 | 1 6 2 ^b | 28 | | | 322 |
| $C_6 H_5 S^-$ | MeOH | 20 | 16 6a | 1.95 | | | 95 |
| | EtOH | 20 | | 35 | | | 320 |
| | H ₂ O-EtOH 50:50 | 20 | | 40 | | | 320 |
| | 5:95 | 22 | | 43.2 | | | 321 |
| | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 50:50 | 20 | | 190 | | | 39 |
| | 20:80 ^c | 20 | | 4700 | | | 39 |
| | Me ₂ SO | 20 | | 9 × 10⁴ | | | 39 |
| <i>p</i> -OMeC ₆ H ₄ S [−] | H ₂ O-EtOH 5:95 | 22 | 1 66 b | 450 | | | 321 |
| p-Me- | | 22 | 1 66 c | 143 | | | 321 |
| m-Me- | | 22 | 1 66 d | 69 | | | 321 |
| <i>p</i> - F - | | 22 | 1 66 e | 34 | | | 321 |
| m-OMe- | | 2 2 | 1 66 f | 29.5 | | | 321 |
| p-Cl- | | 22 | 166g | 6 | | | 321 |
| m-COCH ₃ - | | 22 | 1 66h | 4.9 | | | 321 |
| p-Br- | | 22 | 1 66 i | 4.8 | | | 321 |
| m-Cl- | | 22 | 1 6 6j | 2.2 | | | 321 |
| m-Br- | | 22 | 1 66 k | 2 | | | 321 |
| p-COCH ₃ - | | 22 | 1 66 1 | 0.5 | | | 321 |
| o-Me- | | 22 | 1 66 m | 70 | | | 321 |
| o-NH ₂ - | | 22 | 1 66 n | 59 | | | 321 |

^a Extrapolated at zero ionic strength. ^b $k_1 = 2900 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; $k_{-1} = 102 \text{ s}^{-1}$; unspecified ionic strength. ^c Estimated values in 85% Me₂SO-15% MeOH; $k_1 > 5 \times 10^6 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; $k_{-1} > 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at t = 20 °C (ref 95).

TABLE XXIII. Equilibrium and Kinetic Data for Dithiolane Complexes

| | 168 | 1 6 9a | | 16 | 1 6 9b | |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | $H_2O^{a,b}$ | H ₂ O ^{c,g} | MeOH ^{d,g} | H ₂ O ^{c,g} | MeOH ^{d,g} | |
| pK _a | 5 | 1.16 | 5.89 | 2.03 | 6.42 | |
| KK_1 , L mol ⁻¹ e | 10° | $5.79 	imes 10^{12}$ | $4.04 	imes 10^{10}$ | $8.50 	imes 10^{11}$ | $1.09	imes10^{10}$ | |
| $K_1^{e,f}$ | 105 | $5.79 	imes 10^{8}$ | | $8.50 	imes 10^{7}$ | | |
| $K\dot{k}_{1}$, L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | $4 	imes 10^{10}$ | $1.9	imes10^{10}$ | 1.51×10^{9} | $1.02	imes10^{10}$ | $5.25	imes10^{s}$ | |
| $k_{1}, s^{-1} f$ | $4 	imes 10^6$ | $1.9	imes10^6$ | | $1.02	imes10^6$ | | |
| k s ^{-1 e} | 38 | 3.28×10^{-3} | 0.0374 | 0.012 | 0.048 | |

^a t = 25 °C; ref 329. ^b Drodz et al. report $KK_1 = 2500$ L mol⁻¹ in 50% H₂O-50% EtOH; ref 295. ^c t = 20 °C; I = 0.2 M KCl; ref 330. ^d t = 20 °C; I = 0.01 M buffer salts; ref 330. ^e Not statistically corrected. ^f Calculated assuming $K = 10^4$ L mol⁻¹ in water. ^g pK_a for ionization of the nitronic acids; for 170a: $pK_a^{H_2O} = 0.85$; $pK_a^{MeOH} = 5.05$; for 170b: $pK_a^{H_2O} = 0.83$; $pK_a^{MeOH} = 5.02$.

in basicity of the two oxygens $(k_{-1} = 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ for } 146, k_{-1} = 0.095 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ for } 134a).^{294}$

5. 3'-O-(2,4,6-Trinitropheny/)adenosine

The picryl complex of adenosine 148 has a very high thermodynamic stability in aqueous solution, as reflected by a pK_a value of 4.83 at 20 °C.³⁰¹ Due to the stereoselectivity of the ring opening of 148 which occurs

exclusively at the 2'-oxygen to give 147 as the only one ether,³⁰⁰ a kinetic study of the conversion of 147 into 148 is possible in the pH range 3-7.5.³⁰¹ Instead of obeying eq 14, as expected for a system involving deprotonation of an alcoholic OH group, the rates depend curvilinearly on the buffer concentration. As for 146, the results are consistent with a mechanism where the proton transfer of the GOH \Rightarrow GO⁻ step is, at least



partially, rate limiting at low buffer concentrations. Since the OH group of 147 has a weak acidity,³⁰² protonation of GO⁻, even by the solvent, is very fast $(k_{-p}^{\rm s} \simeq 10^9 \, {\rm s}^{-1})$, and the proton transfer is rate limiting because of a remarkably high rate of cyclization of GO⁻. Analysis of the results yields $3 \times 10^9 \, {\rm L} \, {\rm mol}^{-1} \, {\rm s}^{-1}$ as a *lower* limit for Kk_1 . Assuming $K \simeq 0.3 \, {\rm L} \, {\rm mol}^{-1}$,³⁰² this leads to $k_1 \ge 10^{10} \, {\rm s}^{-1}$. This k_1 value is consistent with the cyclization step being faster than the protontransfer step at low buffer concentrations. It also corresponds to the highest rate of nucleophilic attack on an aromatic carbon measured to date. The results are given in Table XX.

III. Sulfur-Bonded σ Complexes

It is well-known that in nucleophilic substitution reactions sulfur bases are considerably more reactive than oxygen bases. This is not expected on the basis of their Brønsted basicities $(pK_a \text{ values})$.^{7,9,11,304} Thus, a number of such bases easily react with activated aromatics to form sulfur-bonded σ complexes as stable or transient species.^{95,191,196,305-332} Kinetic and thermodynamic data have been reported for reactions of TNB derivatives with sulfite, ^{191,196,305-319} thiolate, ^{95,320,322,325} and thiophenoxide ions.^{39,321,331} Spiro complex formation has also been the subject of some investigation.^{329,330,332} The results are summarized in Tables XXI-XXIII.

A. Sulfite Complexes

In aqueous solution, sulfite ions react with the TNB derivatives 149 to give the 1:1 complexes 150 and the



(a) X = H (149 = TNB); (b) X = OMe (149 = TNA); (c) $X = NH_2$; (d) X = NHMe; (e) $X = NMe_2$; (f) X = Me (149 = TNT); (g) $X = CH_2Cl (149 = TNBCl)$; (h) $X = O^-$; (i) $X = SO_3^-$; (j) $X = NO_2$

1:2 complexes 151.^{191,196,305-319} Except in the case of TNB, examination by SF spectrophotometry of the interactions shows the presence of two well-separated time-dependent processes: a fast reaction producing the 1:1 complex 150 and a slower reaction giving the 1:2 complex 151.^{191,315,316} In agreement with eq 42 and 43,

$$149 + SO_3^{2-} \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}} 150$$
 (42)

$$K_1 = [150] / ([149] [SO_3^{2-}])$$

the measured relaxation times $1/\tau_1$ and $1/\tau_2$ for these processes obey eq 44 and 45, respectively. In contrast,

$$150 + SO_3^{2-} \xrightarrow[k_{-2}]{k_{-2}} 151 \tag{43}$$

$$K_2 = [151]/([150][SO_3^{2-}])$$

when aqueous solutions of TNB and Na₂SO₃ $\geq 3 \times 10^{-3}$ M are mixed, three, instead of two, separated kinetic processes are observed.³¹² The results have been interpreted in terms of formation of the two isomeric cis and trans complexes 151a-c and 151a-t, according to eq 46.³¹² These complexes, which have very similar

$$1/\tau_1 = k_{-1} + k_1[\mathrm{SO}_3^{2-}] \tag{44}$$

though not identical UV-visible spectra, were *later* unambiguously characterized by proton NMR.^{313,314} Rate and equilibrium parameters for the three steps were determined from the $[SO_3^{2-}]$ dependence, according to eq 44, 45, and 47, of the three relaxation times.

$$\frac{1}{\tau_2} = k_{-2} + \frac{k_2 K_1 [\mathrm{SO}_3^{2^-}]^2}{1 + K_1 [\mathrm{SO}_3^{2^-}]}$$
(45)

TNB +
$$2SO_3^{2-} \xrightarrow{k_1}{k_{-1}} 150a + SO_3^{2-} \xrightarrow{k_2}{k_{-2}} 151a c$$
 (46)

$$\frac{1}{\tau_3} = k_{-3} + \frac{k_3 K_1 [\mathrm{SO}_3^{2-}]^2}{1 + K_1 [\mathrm{SO}_3^{2-}](1 + K_2 [\mathrm{SO}_3^{2-}])}$$
(47)

Data for all complexes 150 and 151 are given in Table XXI.

It is noteworthy that the isomeric 1:2 complexes 151a-c and 151a-t are of approximately the same stability but have quite different rates of formation and decomposition. For instance, at I = 0.3 M, $K_2/K_3 =$ 1.01, $k_2/k_3 = 163$, and $k_{-2}/k_{-3} = 161$. This clearly indicates that there is an effect on the respective transition states which is not present (or present to a smaller extent) in either the 1:1 complex 150a or in the two diadducts.³³³ It has been proposed that the trans isomer 151a-t is first formed.³¹² That 151a-c has similar stability to 151a-t but is formed less rapidly was rationalized in terms of electrostatic repulsion between the sulfite groups present in the transition state for its formation but reduced by ring distortion in the complex itself. The failure to observe cis-trans isomerism in other diadducts 151b-j might result from similar rates of dissociation of the cis and trans complexes.²⁰ However, the absence of such isomerism in NMR experiments suggests that one of the isomers is, in fact, thermodynamically preferred. Significantly, the k_2 values for formation of 151 with X = OMe, NH_2 , NHMe, NMe₂, and O⁻ are all very similar to the k_2 value

found for formation of $151a \cdot t$.³¹⁶ On this basis, it has been suggested that the corresponding 1:2 complexes are the trans isomers. This is probably also the case with X = Me, CH₂Cl, and SO₃⁻.

Changing the ionic strength I of the medium does not appreciably affect the equilibrium constant K_1 when X is an uncharged substituent.^{311,312,315,316} In contrast, the K_1 values for X = O⁻ and SO₃^{-191,196,316} and all the K_2 values strongly increase with increasing I, as expected for formation of multicharged adducts.^{311,312,315,316} The kinetic data show that, except in the case of picrate and sulfonate systems where k_1 is lowered due to the initial negative charge, changes in K_1 with substituent X derive largely from changes in k_{-1} . Similarly, changes in the k_{-2} values govern those in the K_2 values. This suggests that the respective transition states for 1:1 and 1:2 complex formation are "reactant-like" rather than "product-like". Solvation differences, steric factors, and, in the case of picramide and N-methylpicramide, hydrogen bonding of amino protons to the adjacent NO₂ groups will play a major role in determining the relative stabilities of the complexes and the observed trends in the enthalpies and entropies of reaction.^{311,316}

The 1:1 complexes 150 have much higher stabilities than the analogous hydroxide adducts. For instance, the ratio $K_1^{SO_3^{2r}}/K_1^{OH^-}$ is of about 75 for X = H, SO₃⁻, 100 for X = OMe, and 200 for X = NH₂, O⁻. Clearly, the carbon basicity of SO₃²⁻ ion is greater than that of OH⁻ for attack on the parent aromatics. However, comparison of the k_{-1} values indicates that SO₃²⁻ is a better leaving group than OH⁻. The stability of 1:1 complexes is enhanced in water-Me₂SO mixtures.^{305,319} Thus, K_1 for formation of 150a is \approx 100 times greater in 70% Me₂SO than in water. In contrast, there is little tendency to form 1:2 complexes in media rich in Me₂SO.³⁰⁵ These latter, which bear four negative charges, may be compared to inorganic salts and are poorly solvated by the aprotic solvent.

2,4,6-Trinitrobenzaldehyde has an unusual behavior in that SO_3^{2-} addition occurs at both the unsubstituted ring positions and at C-1 to give the 1:1 complexes 152 and 153 and the 1:2 complexes 154 and 155.³¹⁰ Both 154



and 155 should exist as cis and trans isomers.³¹⁰ Search for new examples of cis-trans isomerism in diadducts has led Bernasconi to investigate the attack of SO_3^{2-} on *N*-methyl-*N*-(β -hydroxyethyl)picramide.³¹⁷ The three complexes 157, 158, and 159 are formed in addition to the spiro complex 156. Although this study does not provide evidence for cis-trans isomerism in the diadducts 158 and 159, it does include features of interest in a complex equilibrating system. Rate and equilib-



rium parameters for the three sulfite complexes are in Table XXI while those for the spiro complex 156, which have been determined in the absence of SO_3^{2-} , are given in Table XXIX (see section V).

B. Thiolate Complexes

The equilibrium constants K_1 [=[160]/([TNB]-[EtS⁻])] and K_2 [=[161]/([160][EtS⁻])] for the reversible formation of the 1:1 and 1:2 thioethoxide complexes of TNB have been spectrophotometrically measured in water, MeOH, EtOH, and the corresponding solvent mixtures.^{95,320} The formation of 160 is largely favored



relative to 161 in both alcohols. The K_1 and K_2 values are equal to 25000 and 7 L mol⁻¹ in EtOH and 3500 and 10 L mol⁻¹ in MeOH, respectively. In contrast, 1:2 complex formation is the major interaction in water: K_2 = 12000, K_1 = 170 L mol⁻¹. The enhanced stability of 161 in water reflects the better solvation of its localized negative charge by this solvent than by alcohols. As found for the sulfite complexes, the K_2 values are strongly dependent on the ionic strength. Comparison of the K_1 values for 160 with those for the hydroxide, methoxide, and ethoxide analogues (5a-c) results in the following carbon basicity order: EtS⁻ > EtO⁻ > MeO⁻ > OH^{-.320} No evidence for cis-trans isomerism in 161 has been obtained so far.

There has been no kinetic study of the TNB-SEtsystem. In this regard, it is of interest that rate and equilibrium parameters have been reported for the reaction of TNB with glutathione (GSH) to give the 1:1 complex 162 in aqueous solution.³²² The forward and reverse rate constants are $k_1 = 2900 \text{ Lmol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and k_{-1} = 102 s⁻¹, leading to a K_1 value of 28 L mol⁻¹. Thus, 162 is 8 times more stable than the hydroxide complex 5a. Nevertheless, GS^- is a better leaving group than OH: $k_{-1}^{162}/k_{-1}^{5a} \simeq 10$. Also to be noted is the high equilibrium constant $K_1 = 1.8 \times 10^6$ L mol⁻¹ reported for formation of the complex 163 of NBDCl and CH₂-OH-CH₂S⁻³²³

Evidence has been obtained for formation of the 1,1and 1,3-diethylthio complexes 164 and 165.^{324,325} In



contrast with what was found for the dialkoxy analogues, 165 is appreciably more stable than 164. However, no quantitative data are available because these adducts are formed too rapidly and are transient species due to a subsequent substitution of the p-NO₂ group by EtS⁻. EtS⁻ attacks 2,4,6-trinitrophenetole to give a mixture of 1,1 and 1,3 complexes of about the same stability.³²⁸

C. Thiophenoxide Complexes

TNB reacts with a number of substituted thiophenoxide ions to give the 1:1 complexes 166a-n (see Table XXII). The carbon basicities of the ArS⁻ ions, as measured by the values of the equilibrium constant K_1 = [166]/([TNB][ArS⁻]) determined in 95% EtOH-5% water, show a greater susceptibility to change in the X substituent than do the proton basicities, although the general behavior pattern is similar.³²¹ A plot of log K_1 for meta and para substituents vs. pK_a of the corresponding thiophenols is linear with a slope 1.24. The steric effects have more importance on the stability of the adducts than on the acidity of ArSH. The carbon basicity of *o*-methylthiophenoxide ion is thus reduced relative to its proton basicity, due to an unfavorable steric compression between the methyl group and the adjacent NO₂ group in 166m.^{321,334}

There have been measurements of K_1 for the TNBthiophenoxide and -4-aminothiophenoxide complexes in various EtOH-water and MeOH-water mixtures, respectively.³²⁰ Evidence for the formation of some 1:2 complex was obtained at high [ArS⁻] in mixtures of high water content. Of great importance is a calorimetric study of the formation of **166a** which provides the heats of reaction and the heats of transfer of the starting materials and the complex in the whole range of MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures.³⁹ These data are analyzed in section VIII.

The complex 167 of TNA and $C_6H_5S^-$ has been reported in MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures rich in Me₂SO. From NMR experiments, its rate of decomposition was

estimated to be $\simeq 9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at -70 °C and 800 s⁻¹ at -40 °C in 25% Me₂SO-75% MeOH.³³¹

D. Spiro Complexes

1-[(2-Mercaptoethyl)thio]-2,4,6-TNB cyclizes in water according to eq 48 to give the spiro complex 168 which



is half-formed at pH 5.329 169a and 169b similarly form from the parent nitrobenzofuroxan and -benzofurazan in water and MeOH.³³⁰ These complexes have a remarkably high stability: $pK_a^{169a} = 1.16$; $pK_a^{169b} = 2.03$ in water. The pH-rate profiles for the interconversion of the thiols and the complexes are shown in Figure 6. They are remarkable in that they reveal no catalysis of the decomposition of 168, 169a, and 169b by H^+ . In the picryl system, the observed rates strictly obey eq 49 over the entire investigated pH range with $k_{obsd} = k_{-1}$ at low pH (K[OH⁻] <<1, $K \simeq 10^4$ L mol⁻¹). In the annelated systems, where protonation occurs in the most acidic media to give 170a and 170b, decreasing pH causes a decrease in the rates of reversion of the complexes to the parents. The concomitant resulting increase in the lifetime of the transient nitronic acids has allowed NMR characterization of 170a in Me₂SO-CF₃COOD mixtures.³³⁰ The resistance to acids of the dithiolane complexes is explicable in terms of the low basicity of sulfur, a "soft" base, relative to oxygen.³³⁵ Significantly, a dramatic increase in the rate of decomposition occurs when adding Hg²⁺, a "soft" acid, to the solutions.³²⁹

The dithiolane complexes are much more stable than their oxygen analogues ($\Delta p K \simeq 5$). This is due to the greater acidity of thiols relative to alcohols rather than to higher K_1 values for internal cyclization of the GS⁻ ions; in fact, K_1 is lower than, or of the same order as, those for internal cyclization of the GO⁻ ions. This result contrasts with the higher carbon basicities found for SO₃²⁻ and EtS⁻ ions relative to oxygen bases in the

Jackson-Meisenheimer Complexes

reaction with TNB. Possible factors accounting for this behavior are (1) differences in the stabilizing effects of multiple alkoxy and thioalkoxy substitution at the sp³ carbon and (2) destabilization of the sulfur complexes due to steric compression between the two sulfur atoms at C-1 and between the dithiolane ring and the ortho substituents.³²⁹ This latter factor could be essentially responsible for the greater ease of C-S bond breaking in 168 relatively to 169a and 169b.³³⁰ The formation of the complex 171 from the parent dithiocatechol picryl ether has been detected at -60 °C in MeOH prior to formation of 1,3-dinitrothianthrene.³³²

IV. Nitrogen- and Phosphorus-Bonded σ Complexes

Activated aromatics have long been known to form anionic σ complexes with primary and secondary aliphatic and alicyclic amines.^{5,6,9,11,17,336} In contrast, it is just recently that Buncel et al. have observed the formation of similar complexes from aromatic amines^{337,338} and Onys'ko et al. have characterized the first complexes from phosphorus bases.³⁷⁸⁻³⁸⁵ Extensive kinetic and thermodynamic studies of the amine systems have been made.^{17–19,339–347} They are of considerable interest because they have led to a reconsideration of long-accepted ideas regarding the mechanism of base catalysis in S_NAr reactions with amine nucleophiles.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Other characterized nitrogen-bonded σ complexes include those formed from azide ions,^{348,349} indolide, pyrrolide, and imidazolide ions,^{350,351} liquid ammonia,³⁵² and hydroxylamine.³⁵³ Formation of such complexes is also postulated in the meta bridging reactions of amidines with TNB and polynitronaphthalenes (see section VIC).³⁵⁴ However, there are very little kinetic and thermodynamic data on these systems.

A. Complexes from Allphatic, Allcyclic, and Aromatic Amines

1. Rate Equations and Mechanisms: Rate-Limiting Proton Transfer

a. TNB Complexes of Aliphatic and Alicyclic Amines. Addition of primary or secondary amines to TNB initially yields a zwitterion $172H^+$ (ZH) which then loses an alkylammonium proton to give the anionic σ -complex 172 (Z⁻) according to eq 50. Earlier studies



(a) methylamine; (b) butylamine; (c) dimethylamine; (d) pyrrolidine; (e) piperidine; (f) isopropylamine; (g) benzylamine; (h) 2,2,2-trifluoroethylamine; (i) diethylamine

carried out in Me_2SO and acetonitrile have provided the equilibrium constants K_c (=[Z⁻][RR'NH₂⁺]/([TNB]· $[RR'NH]^2)$ for the overall interaction of TNB with 2 equiv of amine to give 172 as its alkylammonium salt.^{336,355} Using the TJ technique, Bernasconi has investigated in detail the mechanism of eq 50 in aqueous solvents.^{339,341,347} Data are available in 90% water-10% dioxane for the TNB-methylamine, -dimethylamine, -n-butylamine, -pyrrolidine, and -piperidine complexes 172a-e and in 70% water-30% Me₂SO for the three latter systems. Figures 7 and 8 are typical for the dependence of the reciprocal relaxation time $1/\tau$ which characterizes reaction 50 upon pH and amine concentration.³⁴⁷ The results are consistent only with a mechanism where proton transfer between ZH and Z^{-} is rate limiting under certain conditions. Assuming ZH to be a "steady-state" intermediate, $1/\tau$ is given by eq. 51 under pseudo-first-order conditions. Here, k_p and k_{-p} are defined by eq 52 and 53 where k_{p}^{OH} and k_{p}^{Am}

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{k_1 k_p [\text{RR'NH}]}{k_{-1} + k_p} + \frac{k_{-1} k_{-p}}{k_{-1} + k_p}$$
(51)

$$k_{\rm p} = k_{\rm p}^{\rm OH}[\rm OH^-] + k_{\rm p}^{\rm Am}[\rm RR'\rm NH]$$
 (52)

$$k_{-p} = k_{-p}^{S} + k_{-p}^{AmH^{+}}[RR'NH_{2}^{+}]$$
 (53)

are the rate constants for deprotonation of ZH by OHand by the amine, respectively, and k_{-p}^{S} and $k_{-p}^{AmH^+}$ are the rate constants for protonation of Z⁻ by the solvent and the conjugate acid of the amine, respectively. Deprotonation of ZH by the solvent (k_{p}^{S}) and protonation of Z⁻ by the solvated proton $(k_{-p}^{SH^+})$ are negligible pathways under the conditions of the various studies. The plots of Figures 7 and 8 are accounted for by two limiting situations: (1) $k_{p} >> k_{-1}$. In this case, proton transfer is rapid, and eq 51 reduces to

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = k_1 [\text{RR'NH}] + \frac{k_{-1} [\text{H}^+]}{K_o^{2\text{H}}}$$
(54)

where K_a^{ZH} (=[Z⁻][H⁺]/[ZH]) is the acid dissociation constant of ZH. Plotting $1/\tau$ vs. [RR'NH] yields straight lines with equal slopes (k_1) and pH-dependent intercepts. The condition for eq 54 is met at high pH where $k_p^{OH}[OH^-] >> k_{-1}$ and/or at high amine concentration where $k_p^{Am}[RR'NH] >> k_{-1}$. For example, in Figure 8, parallel straight lines of slope k_1 are seen at pH ≥ 12.23 for all amine concentrations and at pH ≤ 11.84 only for amine concentrations ≥ 0.1 M. The observation, at low pH and high piperidine concentrations, of straight lines whose slope is somewhat smaller than k_1 was attributed to a rate-retarding salt or medium effect by the amine hydrochloride.³⁴⁷ The concentration of this salt is quite high in these experiments. In the butylamine reaction, this effect is so important that it results in negative slopes for the linear plots of $1/\tau$ vs. [RR'NH].

(2) $k_p << k_{-1}$. In this case, deprotonation of ZH is rate-limiting in the forward direction and protonation of Z⁻ rate determining in the reverse direction. Equation 51 becomes

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}} (k_p^{\text{OH}}[\text{OH}^-] + k_p^{\text{Am}}[\text{RR'NH}])[\text{RR'NH}] + k_{-p}^{\text{S}} + k_{-p}^{\text{AmH}^+}[\text{RR'NH}_2^+]$$
(55)



Figure 6. pH-rate profiles for the formation and decomposition of the dithiolan spiro complexes 168 (t = 25 °C) and 169a and 169b (t = 20 °C) in water and methanol.^{329,330}



Figure 7. Representative plot of $1/\tau$ vs. the pyrrolidine concentration for the formation of 172d in 70% H₂O-30% Me₂SO at 20 °C.³⁴⁷

The $k_{\rm p}^{\rm AmH^+}$ [RR'NH₂⁺] term is responsible for the observed increase in the initial slopes with decreasing pH in Figures 7 and 8. This is because for a given free amine concentration, the proportion of RR'NH₂⁺ increases with decreasing pH. When [RR'NH] is increased, the relationship $k_{\rm p} << k_{-1}$ progressively changes to $k_{\rm p} \simeq k_{-1}$ and finally to $k_{\rm p} >> k_{-1}$ with $1/\tau$ given by eq 54. This explains the curvature of the plots of Figures 7 and 8 until the straight lines with slopes k_1 are reached. Table XXIV summarizes the kinetic and thermodynamic parameters associated with reaction 50 in the two solvent mixtures.

Just recently, two reports have appeared describing a kinetic analysis of the formation of the adducts 172b and 172e– g^{356} in Me₂SO and 172h in Me₂SO and acetonitrile.³⁵⁷ Depending upon the system under study,



Figure 8. Representative plot of $1/\tau$ vs. the piperidine concentration for the formation of 172e in 70% H₂O-30% Me₂SO at 20 °C.³⁴⁷

the proton-transfer step is found to be rapid (trifluoroethylamine),³⁵⁷ rate determining over the entire range of amine concentrations (piperidine)³⁵⁶ or only at low amine concentrations (benzylamine, *n*-butylamine, isopropylamine)³⁵⁶ in Me₂SO. In marked contrast with what occurs in Me₂SO, proton transfer is rate determining in the case of 172h in acetonitrile.³⁵⁷ This result has been explained in terms of the much lower ability of CH₃CN, relative to Me₂SO, to solvate cations.³⁵⁷ The zwitterion 172h,H⁺ would thus decompose very rapidly in CH₃CN ($k_{-1}^{CH_3CN} >> k_{-1}^{Me_2SO}$), accounting for a situation where $k_{-1} >> k_p$.

b. The Aniline-TNB Complex. While TNB itself does not undergo reaction with aniline in Me₂SO-

| | | | | | an | nne/co | ompiex | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | n | -butyl am i | ne/172b | | | | piperidine/172e | • | pyrro | lidine/172d |
| solvent t , °C; ref | 10% dioxane ⁱ 25; 339, 347 | 30% Me 20; 3 | e₂SO ^j 47 | Me ₂ SO ^k 25; 356 | 10% diox 25; 339, | ane ⁱ 347 | 30% Me ₂ SO 20; 347 | <i>i</i> Me ₂ SO ^k 25; 356 | 10% dioxane 25; 339, 347 | ⁱ 30% Me ₂ SO ⁱ 20; 347 |
| k_1 , L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ k_{-1} , s ⁻¹ K_1 , L mol ⁻¹ K_c , L mol ⁻¹ $K_1 K_R^{ZH}$ | $123 \\ 1.5 \times 10^{5} \\ 8.2 \times 10^{-4} \\ 0.19^{d} \\ 3.94 \times 10^{-12} \\ 10^{-12} $ | 250 1.4×10 1.78×1 0.66^{d} 1×10^{-1} | $ \begin{array}{c} 4.\\ 5 c & 2.\\ 0^{-3 c} & 2^{-3 c} \\ 1 c & 1^{-1} c \\ 0 c & 0^{-1} \\ \end{array} $ | $.5 \times 10^{4}$ $.3 \times 10^{4} h$ h 0^{3} | $3 \times 10^{3} \\ 2.1 \times 10 \\ 1.43 \times 1 \\ 0.37^{d} \\ 2.87 \times 1$ | 6 0 ⁻³ 0 ⁻¹² | $\begin{array}{c} 4.1 \times 10^{3} \\ 1 \times 10^{6} \\ 4 \times 10^{-3} \\ 1.6^{d} \\ 9.85 \times 10^{-12} \end{array}$ | $>6 \times 10^{4} >7 \times 10^{3} h 9^{h} 4.5 \times 10^{3} m$ | $8.1 \times 10^{3} \\ 1.5 \times 10^{6} \\ 5.80 \times 10^{-3} \\ 1.45^{d} \\ 7.25 \times 10^{-12} \\ 7.25 \times$ | $9 \times 10^{3} \\ 6.2 \times 10^{5} \\ 1.45 \times 10^{-2} \\ 4.93^{d} \\ 1.88 \times 10^{-11} \\ 0.86 \times 1$ |
| $K_{a}^{a}CH K_{a}^{A}MH^{\dagger}b K_{a}^{A}MH^{\dagger}b K_{a}^{A}MH^{\dagger}b K_{b}^{A}DH^{A}K_{a}^{A}MH^{\dagger}b K_{b}^{A}DH^{A}K_{b}^{A$ | $4.8 \times 10^{-9} \\ 2.1 \times 10^{-11} e \\ 230 \\ 5 \times 10^{9} e \\ 5.2 \times 10^{3}$ | 5.6 × 10 1.51 × 1 370 ^c 5 × 10 ⁸⁴ 143 ^c | $0^{-11} f$ 7. 50 | .95 × 10 ⁻¹² v 00 ^h | $2 \times 10^{-9} \\ 7.6 \times 10 \\ 260 \\ 5 \times 10^{98} \\ 1.25 \times 1$ | - 12 e | $2.4 \times 10^{-9} \\ 6.03 \times 10^{-12} \\ 400 \\ 5 \times 10^{8} \\ 330$ | f 500 ^h | $ \begin{array}{c} 1.25 \times 10^{-3} \\ 5 \times 10^{-12} \\ 250 \\ 5 \times 10^{9} \\ 2 \times 10^{4} \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 1.3 \times 10^{-12} f \\ 3.80 \times 10^{-12} f \\ 340 \\ 5 \times 10^{8} g \\ 620 \end{array} $ |
| $k_{p}^{Am,b} L \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ $k_{-p}^{AmH^{\dagger},b} L \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ $k_{p}^{Dabco}, L \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ | | | 3 6 1 | × 10 ⁷ × 10 ⁴ × 10 ⁷ | | | 1.6 × 10 ⁷ 3.9 × 10 ⁴ | 5×10^4 100 1.2×10^4 | | 1.7 × 10 ⁷ 5.1 × 10 ⁴ |
| | | | | | а | mine/o | complex | | | |
| solvent <i>t</i> , °C; ref | methylam 172a 10% dia 25; 347 | ine/ oxane ⁱ 1 | dimethylan 1 72c 10% dia 25; 347 | nine/ be oxane ⁱ 17 | enzylamine/ 2g Me ₂ SO ^k 25; 356 | isopr 172 | opylamine/ If Me ₂ SO 25; 356 | diethylamine/ 17 2 i Me ₂ SO 20; 336 | trifluoro- ethylamine/ 172h Me ₂ SO ⁿ 25; 357 | aniline/ 1 73 M e ₂ SO ⁿ 25; 346 |
| $k_1, L \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ | 160 | | 6.25×10^{3} | 3 | 1.3×10^{4} | 8 | × 10 ³ | | | >1 |
| k_{-1}, s^{-1} $K_{1}, L \text{ mol}^{-1}$ $K_{c}, L \text{ mol}^{-1}$ $K_{c}, K Z^{H}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \times 10^{s} \\ 1.07 \times 10 \\ 0.25^{d,l} \\ 5.25 \times 10 \end{array}$ | - 3 | 7.5×10^{57} 8×10^{-3} 0.96^{d} | - 11 | 6 × 10* ⁿ 0.2 ^h 105 | 2 0. 20 | × 10 ⁴ ⁿ .4 ^f 00 | 13 | 0.80 | >10 ⁷ ; ^s > 10 ⁵ t $\simeq 10^{-7};^{s} \simeq 10^{-5} t$ 1.7 ^{p,q} |
| $K_{a} ZH$ $K_{a} ZH$ $K_{a} AmH^{+} b$ $K_{a} ZH/K_{a} AmH^{+} b$ | 5.35×10^{-9} 5×10^{-9} 2.2×10^{-2} 230 | 11 e | 1.84 × 10 2.3 × 10 ⁻⁹ 1.85 × 10 ⁻ 120 | -11 e | 500 | 50 | 00 ^h | $3.16 \times 10^{-11} v$ | | $\simeq 0.2, s \simeq 0.002^{t}$ 2.5 × 10 ^{-5 u} $\simeq 10^{4}, s \simeq 10^{2 t}$ |
| k_{p}^{OH} , $\perp mol^{-1} s^{-1}$ $k_{-p}^{-}S$, s^{-1} k_{p}^{Am} , $b \perp mol^{-1} s^{-1}$ | $5 \times 10^{9 p}$ 5×10^{3} | | $5 \times 10^{9} \text{g}$ 1.1×10^{4} 1.2×10^{7} 1×10^{5} | | 7.5×10^{6} | 7. | .5 × 10 ⁶ | | | |
| $k_{\rm p}$ Dabco, $\lfloor \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ | | | 1 X 10, | | 1.5 X 10° 4 X 10° | 1. 2 | $\times 10^{\circ}$ × 10° | | | $\simeq 10^{9};^{r} \simeq 10^{7}$ |

TABLE XXIV. Rate and Equilibrium Constants for the Reaction of Various Amines with TNB in Aqueous Dioxane, Aqueous Me₂SO, or Me₂SO^a

^a Rate and equilibrium constants are defined by eq 50, 52, 53, and 65. ^b AmH⁺ = RR NH₂⁺ or PhNH₃⁺; Am = RR'NH or PhNH₂. ^c Values estimated by assuming $K_a^{ZH}/K_a^{AmH^+}$ = 370; see discussion in ref 347. ^d Calculated from $K_c = K_1 K_a^{ZH}/K_a^{AmH^+}$. ^e Literature data in water. ^f From pH measurements. ^g Assumed values; see discussion in ref 347. ^h Values estimated by assuming $K_a^{ZH}/K_a^{AmH^+}$ = 500; see discussion in ref 356. ⁱ I = 0.5 M NaCl. ^j I = 0.5 M KCl. ^k I = 0.1 M Et₄NClO₄. ^l $K_c = 2000$ at 20 °C in Me₃SO; ref 336. ^m $K_c = 0.05$ at 20 °C in acetonitrile; ref 355. ⁿ I = 0.1 M Et₄NCl. ^o $K_c \approx 6 \times 10^{-3}$ at 30.6 °C in acetonitrile. ^p K as defined by eq 64. ^q K ≈ 0.8 L mol⁻¹ at 30 °C in Me₃SO; K = 0.08 L mol⁻¹ at 30.6 °C in acetonitrile; ref 357. ^r Assumed values; see discussion. ^s Values calculated by $k_p^{Dabco} \approx 10^{\circ}$ L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹. ^t Values calculated by assuming $k_p^{Dabco} \approx 10^{\circ}$ L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹. ^t A value of $K_a^{PhNH_3^+} = 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ has been reported from electrode measurements; Kolthoff, I. M.; Chantooni, M. K.; Bhownik, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 23. Courtot-Coupez, J.; Le Demezet, M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1969, 1033. ^v See ref in u.

MeOH solutions rich in Me_2SO , Buncel et al. have shown that in the presence of MeO^- ion a rapid reaction occurs to give the TNB-MeO⁻ complex **5b** which then undergoes a slow reversible conversion to the anilide complex 173.^{343,345} A similar conversion of **5b** into 173



occurs when the reaction is performed by using the potassium salt of **56** and aniline as the reactants. Equation 56 describes the reversible formation of **173**. The apparent equilibrium constant K for this overall reaction was measured from equilibrium absorbance data in 90:10 mol % Me₂SO-MeOH ($\simeq 95\%$ Me₂SO by volume): K = 23.2 L mol⁻¹ at 25 °C.³⁴⁵ Kinetic measurements in this medium showed that reaction 56 is first order in aniline but of complex order in **5b**. In addition, the rate of conversion of **5b** into **173** decreases on the addition of MeO⁻. The results are consistent with the dissociative mechanism of eq 57 in which the



interconversion of free TNB and the zwitterionic anilide complex $173H^+$ (ZH) constitutes the rate-determing step.³⁴⁵ They ruled out a displacement mechanism or a dissociative mechanism involving anilide ion as the nucleophile.^{343,345} Although the intermediacy of the protonated complex **5bH**⁺ in the reaction scheme (dashed arrows in eq 57) cannot be rigorously excluded on a kinetic basis, several arguments make it extremely unlikely.³⁴⁵ On the basis of eq 57, the conversion of **5b** into 173 is governed by eq 58 where [**5b**]_t and [**5b**]_e are the concentrations of **56** at time t and at equilibrium and [MeO⁻]_t is the free MeO⁻ concentration at time t.

$$-\frac{d[\mathbf{5b}]_{t}}{dt} = \frac{K_{23}k_{1}[PhNH_{2}] + K_{-4}k_{-1}}{[MeO^{-}]_{t}} ([\mathbf{5b}]_{t} - [\mathbf{5b}]_{e})$$
(58)

Substituting $[MeO^-]_t$ for its value $K_{23}^{1/2}[5b]_t^{1/2}$, eq 58 may be written as eq 59 with the rate constant k

$$\frac{d[\mathbf{5b}]_t}{dt} = \frac{k}{[\mathbf{5b}]_t^{1/2}} ([\mathbf{5b}]_t - [\mathbf{5b}]_e)$$
(59)

$$k = \frac{K_{23}k_1[\text{PhNH}_2] + K_{-4}k_{-1}}{K_{23}^{1/2}}$$
(60)

being given by eq 60 where the various rate and equilibrium coefficients are formally defined by eq 57. While eq 58 clearly shows that addition of MeO^- must result in an inhibition of the rate of conversion, eq 59 leads to the approximate law of eq 61 in the early stages

$$\frac{d[\mathbf{5b}]_t}{dt} \simeq \frac{k}{[\mathbf{5b}]_0^{1/2}} ([\mathbf{5b}]_t - [\mathbf{5b}]_e)$$
(61)

$$k_{\text{obsd}} \simeq \frac{k}{[\mathbf{5b}]_0^{1/2}} \tag{62}$$

of the reaction where $[\mathbf{5b}]_t^{1/2} \simeq [\mathbf{5b}]_0^{1/2}$. Under such conditions, the observed first-order rate constant k_{obsd} derived in experiments carried out at a given PhNH₂ concentration but different initial concentrations of $\mathbf{5b}$ should obey eq 62. In agreement with this equation, a plot of log k_{obsd} vs. log $[\mathbf{5b}]_0$ was found to be linear with a slope -0.51. Equation 59 also predicts an increase in k_{obsd} , i.e., in $k/[\mathbf{5b}]_t^{1/2}$, and hence an increase in the slope of a first-order plot as the reaction proceeds to equilibrium and $[\mathbf{5b}]_t$ decreases. The observed kinetic behavior is in complete accord with this expectation.³⁴⁵

173 also forms from TNB and aniline in the presence of a tertiary amine (Et_3N or Dabco).^{346,362} A kinetic and equilibrium study of reaction 63 has been carried out

$$TNB + PhNH_2 + Dabco \approx 173 + Dabco, H^+ \quad (63)$$

$$K = \frac{[173][\text{Dabco},\text{H}^+]}{[\text{TNB}][\text{Dabco}][\text{PhNH}_2]}$$
(64)

in Me_2SO solution. The equilibrium constant K, defined by eq 64, is $\simeq 1.7$ L mol⁻¹ at I = 0.1 M Et₄NCl and $\simeq 8 \text{ L mol}^{-1}$ at I = 0.5 M (25 °C). This dependence of K on the ionic strength will be discussed later (section IX). Kinetic experiments show that the forward reaction is first order in aniline, TNB, and Dabco while the reverse reaction is first order in 173 and Dabco,H⁺. The results are in accord with the mechanism of eq 65 where the deprotonation of the zwitterion $173H^+$ occurs by the sole action of Dabco and is the rate-determining step.³⁴⁶ The various parameters of eq 65 have been derived (see Table XXIV) by assuming that the deprotonation of ZH by Dabco is essentially diffusion controlled with $k_p^{\text{Dabco}} \simeq 10^9 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This assumption was based on the fact that the proton transfer from ZH to Dabco should be largely thermodynamically favored: $K_a^{\text{ZH}} > K_a^{\text{PhNH}_3^+} > K_a^{\text{Dabco},\text{H}^+}$. Not surprisingly, a high k_{-1} value (> 10⁷ s⁻¹) is found, accounting for the situation $k_{-1} > k_{-1}^{\text{Dabco},\text{H}^+}$. $k_{\rm p}^{\rm Dabco}$ [Dabco] and the proton transfer $ZH \rightleftharpoons Z^{-}$ being rate limiting under the experimental conditions. The





 k_1 and K_1 values are respectively $\simeq 1 \ {\rm L \ mol^{-1} \ s^{-1}}$ and $\simeq 10^{-7} \ {\rm L \ mol^{-1} \ (Table \ XXIV).^{346}}$

c. Naphthalene Complexes. Orvik and Bunnett³⁴⁰ were able to determine rate and equilibrium parameters for the formation of 175a and 175b in Me₂SO (see Table XXV). These complexes rapidly form as transient species in the substitution reactions of 2,4-dinitro-1-ethoxynaphthalene 174 with *n*-butylamine and *tert*-butylamine to give the corresponding naphthylamines (eq 66). Recent flow-NMR studies have unambigu-



ously confirmed the structure of 175a as well as that of similar complexes.^{180,358-360} The constancy of the equilibrium constant K_c (eq 67) at various concentra-

$$K_{\rm c} = \frac{[175][{\rm RNH_3}^+]}{[174][{\rm RNH_2}]^2} = K_1 \frac{K_{\rm a}^{\rm ZH}}{K_{\rm a}^{\rm RNH_3^+}}$$
(67)

tions of amine and alkylammonium ion shows that the intermediate complex exists predominantly as the anionic complex 175, in accord with findings for the TNB complexes. The formation of 175 is not base catalyzed, indicating that formation of the zwitterion ZH and not the proton transfer step $ZH \rightleftharpoons Z^-$ is rate-limiting in this process $(k_{-1} << k_p)$.⁴⁹⁵ Conversion of 175 into the products is the rate-determining step of the overall reaction. It is first order in butylammonium ion but independent of amine concentration. This substantiates the mechanism of base catalysis of the substitution reaction as general acid catalysis of ethoxide departure

TABLE XXV. Kinetic and Thermodynamic Parameters for the Formation and Decomposition of the Naphthalene Complexes 175 in Me_2SO

| | 175 a- n- butylamine ^{a,b} | 175b- <i>tert</i> - butylamine ^{a,c} | |
|--|---|--|--|
| k_1 , L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | 31.8 ^f | 0.51 | |
| $k_{-1}, s^{-1} d$ | 5.9 | 490 | |
| K_1 , L mol ⁻¹ e | 5.4 | $1.02 	imes 10^{-3}$ | |
| $K_{\mathbf{c}}, \operatorname{L} \operatorname{mol}^{-1}$ | 540^{g} | 0.074 | |

^a Rate and equilibrium constants as defined by eq 66 and 67. ^b t = 25.4 °C. ^c t = 25 °C. ^d Calculated from data of ref 340 by assuming $K_a ZH/K_a RNH_3^+ \simeq 10^2$; see discussion. ^e $K_1 = k_1/k_{-1}$. ^f $\Delta H_1^+ = 24.2$ kJ mol⁻¹; $\Delta S_1^+ =$ -134 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. ^g $\Delta H^\circ = -80$ kJ mol⁻¹; $\Delta S^\circ = -213$ J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹.

from the conjugate base Z⁻ of the σ -complex intermediate. This study has provided the first direct evidence for the correctness of the two-step mechanism proposed by Bunnett for S_NAr reactions.^{3,4}

d. Spiro Complexes. Equation 68 is representative of the reversible formation of the spiro complexes 177.^{342,344} Although the reaction is intramolecular, eq



68 resembles eq 50. The situation therefore differs from the one prevailing in comparing intra- and intermolecular additions of oxygen or sulfur bases. The conversion of N,N'-dimethyl-N-picrylethylenediamine 176a into 177a represents the first reaction where rate-limiting proton transfer was observed in the formation of an amine complex.³⁴² Formation of 177a is quantitative at pH \geq 12 in aqueous solution. Kinetics of the interconversion of 176a and 177a are characterized by one single relaxation time under all experimental conditions in the pH range 7.6–10.5. $1/\tau$ not only depends strongly on pH, but at pH \leq 9.5 on the chemical nature and the concentration of the buffer as well as on the substrate concentration. A typical feature is the curvilinear dependence of $1/\tau$ on buffer concentration, indicating a change in rate-determining step as the buffer concentration is increased. This change was shown to be from rate-limiting proton transfer between ZH and Z⁻ at low concentrations to rate-determining nucleophilic attack at high concentrations.³⁴² The kinetic data have been analyzed in terms of eq 69–71, where $k_p^{\rm S}$, $k_p^{\rm OH}$, $k_{-p}^{\rm S}$, and $k_{-p}^{\rm SH^+}$ are defined as before (see eq 39 and 40). The $k_p^{\rm B_i}$'s are the rate constants for deprotonation by any general base present in the solution, including the buffer base as well as Z⁻ and AH, whereas the $k_{-p}^{B_iH}$'s refer to the protonation by general acids such as the buffer acid,

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{k_1 k_p}{k_{-1} + k_p} \frac{K_a^{AH_2^+}}{K_a^{AH_2^+} + [H^+]} + \frac{k_{-1} k_{-p}}{k_{-1} + k_p} \quad (69)$$

$$k_{\rm p} = k_{\rm p}^{\rm S} + k_{\rm p}^{\rm OH}[\rm OH^{-}] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{\rm p}^{\rm B_{i}}[\rm B_{i}]$$
 (70)

$$k_{-p} = k_{-p}^{S} + k_{-p}^{SH^{+}}[H^{+}] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{-p}^{B_{i}H}[B_{i}H]$$
 (71)

 AH_2^+ , and ZH. $K_a^{AH_2^+}$ is the acid dissociation constant of the protonated amine.

On the basis in particular of the limiting situations encountered at low and high buffer concentrations, the different rate and equilibrium constants of eq 69-71 were determined, including those for deprotonation of ZH and protonation of Z^- by the basic and acid forms of the buffers, respectively (B_i = phosphate, Tris, borate, and carbonate).³⁴² The results are listed in Table XXVI together with those for the formation of the related complexes 177b and 177c which also occurs through eq $68.^{344}$ However, owing to the lower stability of these complexes, the kinetic experiments have been carried out in 70% Me₂SO-30% water and 80% Me₂SO-20% H₂O.

2. Effect of Structures on Rates and Equilibria

a. Complexes of Aliphatic and Alicyclic Amines. Spiro Complexes. Amine expulsion from the TNB zwitterions $172H^+$ occurs at somewhat higher rates with secondary amines than with primary amines. This is consistent with a greater steric strain in ZH with secondary amines.³⁴⁷ The k_{-1} values are all very high (≥ 1.5 $\times 10^5$ s⁻¹ in 10% dioxane and 30% Me₂SO, $\ge 2 \times 10^4$ s^{-1} in Me₂SO) and responsible for the observation of rate-limiting deprotonation of ZH under certain conditions.^{347,356} The rate constants k_1 for nucleophilic attack on TNB follow the familiar pattern for S_NAr reactions, with the secondary aliphatic or alicyclic amines being better nucleophiles than primary amines.^{347,356} Combination of the effects on k_1 and k_{-1} leads to somewhat higher stabilities for the ZH complexes 172H⁺ formed from secondary amines, but due to a compensating effect by $K_a^{\rm ZH}$, the stabilities of the anionic complexes 172 $(K_1K_a^{\rm ZH})$ are all of the same order of magnitude in the aqueous solvents.³⁴⁷ The result is that for a given amine concentration and pH approximately the same fraction of TNB is converted into Z⁻ regardless of the nature of the amine. Going from 30% Me₂SO-70% H₂O to Me₂SO causes a 10³-fold increase in K_1 for ZH formation in the *n*-butylamine and piperidine systems.³⁵⁶ As generally found for Meisenheimer 1:1 complexes, this increase reflects increases in values of k_1 and decreases in values of k_{-1} .

A comparison of the rate constants k_{-1} for expulsion of amines with those of expulsion of alkoxide RO⁻ ions shows that for a given basicity amines and RO^- ions have comparable leaving-group abilities from TNB complexes. However, in marked contrast with the situation for RO⁻ nucleophiles where, e.g., MeO⁻ ion departure from the TNB complex 5b is $\simeq 5 \times 10^3$ times faster than dioxolane ring opening of the corresponding spiro complex 134a, the k_{-1} value for ring opening of $177a, H^+$ is of the same order as those for amine expulsion from 172H⁺.

| complex | 177a | 177b | 177c | 177c | 186a | 189 | 186c | 186 d | 186e | 186f |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| % Me ₂ SO | <i>q</i> 0 | 70° | 70° | 80° | 90 | | <i>p</i> 0 | p^0 | 0^{q} | 0q |
| t, °C; ref | 25;342 | 20; 344 | 20; 344 | 20; 344 | 25; 364 | 25; 364 | 60;406 | 60; 406 | 60;406 | 60;406 |
| 21, S ⁻¹ | 1.20×10^3 | $1.7	imes10^3$ | 4 | 6.25 | 9.8×10^4 | 4.6×10^4 | $8.2 	imes 10^4$ | $5.15 \times 10^{\circ}$ | $4.85 \times 10^{\circ}$ | 10 |
| 2 · 1 · S · | $1.93 \times 10^{\circ}$ | $1.18 \times 10^{\circ}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{\circ}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{\circ}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{\circ}$ | $9.3 \times 10^{\circ}$ | 2.1×10^{7} | $2.35 \times 10^{8.0}$ | $3.16 \times 10^{8.0}$ | $7 \times 10^{8} n$ |
| K, | 6.21×10^{-3} | 1.44×10^{-3} | 1.7×10^{-6} | 1.47×10^{-5} | 0.82 | 4.9×10^{-2} | $3.9 \times 10^{-3.1}$ | 2.18×10^{-21} | 1.53×10^{-2} | 1.43×10^{-31} |
| K _a ZH | 2.29×10^{-7} | $3.25	imes 10^{-8}$ | 1.33×10^{-9} | 5×10^{-10} | $2.2	imes10^{-6}$ | 7.5×10^{-7} | | | | |
| | $4.6 \times 10^{-7} e$ | | | | | | | | | |
| $\chi_{a}^{}$ AH ₂ ⁺ (EH ₂ ⁺) | $2.2	imes10^{-9}$ | 6.30×10^{-9} | | | 3.2×10^{-9} | | | | | |
| $_{2n}^{OH}$, L mol ⁻⁺ s ⁻⁺ | $5.2	imes10^{9}$ | $4.2	imes10^7$ | $4.2	imes 10^{7f}$ | $4.2	imes10^6$ | $5.2	imes10^{9\mu}$ | $2.7	imes 10^{9}$ | $5 	imes 10^{9}$ j | 5×10^{9} J | 5×10^{9j} | 5×10^{9} J |
| 2. S, S-1 | 445 | 1×10^{-3} | $2.5	imes10^{-2}$ | 1.04×10^{-4} | 48 | 70 | | | | |
| 2, S, S ⁻¹ | $1.35 	imes 10^4$ | 930 | 38 | 14.3 | $4.3	imes10^4$ | 9×10^3 | | | | |
| 2 SH ⁺ L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | 5.90×10^{10} | $2.86 	imes 10^{10}$ | $2.86 \times 10^{10} f$ | $2.86 	imes 10^{10}$ f | 2.10×10^{10} | $1.20 	imes 10^{10}$ | | | | |

see: Achassi-Sorkhabi, H.; Halle J. C.; Terrier, F. J. Chem. Res. 1978, (S), 108; (M) 1371. ^a I = 1 M NaCl. ^a Statistically corrected. ^b Assuming $k_p^{\text{OM}} = 4.2 \times 10^{\circ}$ and $k_{-p}^{\text{OM}} = 2.86 \times 10^{\circ}$ as for 177b. ^a Assumed to be the same as for 177a. ^b Calculated from the ratios k_p^{OH}/k_{-1} of ref 406 by assuming $k_p^{\text{OH}} = 5 \times 10^{\circ}$. ⁱ $K_1 = k_1/k_1$. ^j Assumed value.

Regardless of the amine, the complexes $172H^+$ are about 100 to 400 times more acidic than the parent RR'NH₂⁺. Similarly the statistically corrected K_a^{ZH} value for the spiro complex $177a, H^+$ is 200 times greater than the corresponding $K_a^{AH_2^+}$ value. This is in accord with the strong electron-withdrawing character of the trinitrocyclohexadienylide moiety, despite the negative charge.^{26,321,361} The increase in the acidity of ZH relative to AH₂⁺ is still of a factor of 10 in the case of the trifluoromethyl derivative 177b, H⁺.

More significantly, a negatively charged dinitronaphthyl moiety has been found to exert almost the same acidifying effect as a picryl moiety on an alkylammonium proton.³⁶⁴ This result is of interest in that it allows good estimates of the k_{-1} values for amine It allows good estimates of the κ_{-1} values for annue expulsion from the naphthyl complexes 175H⁺. By use of the values of the ratios $k_{-1}K_a^{\text{RNH}_3^+}/K_a^{\text{ZH}}$ determined by Orvik and Bunnett³⁴⁰ and on assumption of $K_a^{\text{ZH}}/K_a^{\text{RNH}_3^+} \simeq 10^2$, k_{-1} values of 5.9 and 490 s⁻¹ are calculated for the n-butylamine and tert-butylamine systems in Me₂SO, respectively. Even though these values are underestimated by a factor of 2 or 3, they are remarkably low compared to the k_{-1} values for the TNB complexes in the same solvent $(k_{-1} = 2.3 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the butylamine complex 172b,H⁺)³⁵⁶ and in accord with the absence of rate-limiting proton transfer in the formation of 175a and 175b.³⁴⁰ Interestingly the low k_{-1} values for 175H⁺ are coupled with low k_1 values ($k_1 = 31.8$ L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ for 175a, H⁺ as compared with $k_1 = 4.5 \times 10^4$ L mol⁻¹ s^{-1} for 172b,H⁺ at 25 °C) and an appreciable thermodynamic stability (Table XXV). In fact, $175a, H^+$, a naphthyl complex, is 2.7 times more stable than $172b, H^+$, a picryl complex. This points out a situation which is somewhat reminiscent of the contrasting behavior between oxygen-bonded 1,1 and 1,3 complexes (see section IIB2). Adducts like 175H⁺ which result from amine addition at a substituted alkoxy bearing carbon would form and decompose more slowly, but would have a higher stability, than analogues arising from amine addition at an unsubstituted carbon. Steric factors associated with the bulky *tert*-butyl group are responsible for both the lower k_1 and K_1 values and the greater k_{-1} value found for formation and decomposition of 175b,H⁺ relative to those for 175a,H⁺.³⁴⁰

b. Aniline vs. Aliphatic and Alicyclic Amine Complex Formation The rate and equilibrium constants k_1 and K_1 for formation of the aniline complex 173H⁺ are about 10⁴ and 10⁷ times smaller, respectively, than the corresponding parameters for formation of the complexes 172H⁺. While this result is consistent with the much lower basicity of aniline compared to that of aliphatic and alicyclic amines, it provides a clear explanation of why TNB and aniline do not react to yield a σ complex in the absence of a strong base like MeO⁻ or Dabco.^{345,346} The failure to obtain reaction 72 cannot

TNB + 2PhNH₂
$$\xrightarrow{K_1}$$
 173H⁺ + PhNH₂ $\xrightarrow{K_p}$
173 + PhNH₃⁺ (72)

be attributed to the second step. 173H^+ is a stronger acid than anilinium ion: $K_a^{\text{ZH}}/K_a^{\text{PhNH}_3^+}$ is found to be $\simeq 10^{4.346}$ Under such conditions deprotonation of ZH by aniline should be a thermodynamically favored process. Also, the proton transfer must be fast.^{296,365} It follows from this observation that the absence of a reaction according to eq 72 has essentially its origin in the unfavorable thermodynamic factor associated with formation of $173H^+$. In fact, K_1 is so small in reaction 72 that in spite of the relatively large equilibrium constant K_p associated with the second step, the overall equilibrium is disfavored, and complex formation does not occur. The effect of adding a stronger base, like Dabco, is then to increase the equilibrium constant for deprotonation of $173H^+$ to the extent that the overall reaction becomes feasible. This effect may be easily understood by reference to eq 73 in which B

$$173H^+ + B \stackrel{K_p}{\longleftrightarrow} 173 + BH^+$$
(73)

is either PhNH₂ or Dabco. The equilibrium constant for this deprotonation process is given by $K_p = K_a^{ZH}/K_a^{BH^+}$. Hence, the ratio of the K_p values for the aniline and Dabco systems is $K_a^{Dabco,H^+}/K_a^{PhNH_3^+} \sim 10^{4}.^{346}$ Thus, the equilibrium transformation of 173H⁺ to the anionic complex 173, being directly related to the basicity of the abstracting amine, is favored in the Dabco system by a factor of $\simeq 10^{4}.^{346}$

When the formation of 173 through eq 57 and 65 are compared, it is found unexpectedly that the deprotonation of $173H^+$ is rate limiting in the Dabco system in Me_2SO and not in the MeO^- system in 95% $Me_2SO-5\%$ MeOH (v/v). In this latter case, typical kinetic experiments have been conducted with free MeO⁻ concentrations in the range 2×10^{-4} to 2.54×10^{-3} M. Assuming an upper limit for $k_{\rm p}^{\rm MeO}$, i.e., $\simeq 10^{10}$ L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹, this leads to $k_{\rm p}^{\rm MeO}$ [MeO⁻] values in the range 2×10^{6} to 2.6×10^{7} s⁻¹ and, since the k_{-1} value in 95% $Me_2SO-5\%$ MeOH is at least equal to that in pure Me_2SO , i.e., $\simeq 10^7 L mol^{-1} s^{-1}$, to a situation where the requirement for rapid proton transfer $(k_{-1} \ll k_p)$ is not met. Deprotonation of $173H^+$ should be therefore at least partially rate limiting, in disagreement with the experimental observation. This anomaly probably ar-ises from an overestimate of k_p^{Dabco} which was assumed to be $\simeq 10^9 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1346}$ since the proton transfer of ZH to Dabco is largely thermodynamically favored. However, as pointed out below, the rate constants for the deprotonation of typical ZH-type Meisenheimer complexes by amines are usually depressed by a factor of 100 or more due to steric hindrance^{347,356} (vide infra). Thus a more realistic estimate for $k_{\rm p}^{\rm Dabco}$ in the aniline reaction would be $\simeq 10^7 \,\mathrm{L} \,\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$,^{347,356} which would make $k_{-1} \simeq 10^5 \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and be consistent both with a rapid proton transfer step in the MeO⁻ system and a ratelimiting proton transfer in the Dabco system. Support for this estimate of k_p^{Dabco} is that it would also make the ratio $K_a^{173\text{H}^+}/K_a^{\text{PhNH}_3^+} \simeq 10^2$ (instead of 10⁴), bringing it close to the ratios $K_a^{172\text{H}^+}/K_a^{\text{RR'NH}_2^+}$ found for the other amine TNB complexes.³⁴⁷ General conclusions regarding the relative reactivities of aniline and aliphatic or alicyclic amines towards TNB are not affected by changes in evaluations of the parameters of eq 65 brought about by this new k_p^{Dabco} value (see Table XXIV). However, the fact that k_{-1} for 173H⁺ about equals k_{-1} for $172 H^+$ is puzzling in view of the large difference in basicity between aniline and the aliphatic or alicyclic amines.

Reaction 65 has been studied by using deuterated aniline PhND₂ instead of PhNH₂.³⁶⁶ The isotope effect on the equilibrium constant K (eq 64) as well as on the observed rates for the forward and reverse processes are small: $K^{\rm H}/K^{\rm D} = 0.90$; $k_{\rm f}^{\rm H}/k_{\rm f}^{\rm D} = 1.12$; $k_{\rm r}^{\rm H}/k_{\rm r}^{\rm D} = 1.25$ TABLE XXVII. Typical Proton Transfer Rates for the Reactions of Eq 68 and 78

| ZH (solvent) | BH | pK _a BH | pK _a ZH | $10^{-6} \times k_{p}^{B}, L mol^{-1} s^{-1}$ | k_{-p}^{BH} , L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| $177a, H^{+a,b}$ (H,O) | phosphate | 6.28 | 6.64 | 20 | 4.6×10^{7} |
| | tris | 8.06 | | 10 | $3.8 	imes 10^{5}$ |
| | 176 (AH) | 8.65 | | 100 | 106 |
| | borate | 8.71 | | 6 | $5.1 	imes 10^4$ |
| $183a, H^{+a,c}$ (H,O) | phosphate | 6.28 | 5.7 | 8 | $1.9 	imes 10^6$ |
| · · · · | tris | 8.06 | | 16 | $6.4	imes10^4$ |
| $186H^{+a,c}(H,O)$ | phosphate | 6.28 | 6.1 | 9.8 | $7	imes 10^{6}$ |
| | tris | 8.06 | | 8 | $9.4 	imes 10^4$ |
| 177b,H ⁺ ^d (70% | <i>p</i> -cyanophenol | 9.24 | 7.49 | 5.7 | 1 × 10 ⁵ |
| Me,SO) | o-bromophenol | 10.58 | | 10.2 | $8.3	imes10^3$ |
| 2 , | p-chlorophenol | 11.54 | | 6.9 | 6.1×10^{2} |
| | phenol | 12.48 | | 7.3 | 74 |
| | benzimidazole | 13.01 | | 7.2 | 22 |
| | indazole | 14.52 | | 7.5 | 0.7 |

 $ZH + B^{-} \frac{k_{p}B}{k_{p}BH} Z^{-} + BH$

^a $t = 25 \degree C$; I = 0.5 M NaCl. ^b Reference 342. ^c Reference 364. ^d $t = 20 \degree C$; I = 0.5 M Me₄NCl; ref 344.

(f = forward; r = reverse). It has been concluded that such small isotope effects are typical for any S_NAr reaction where deprotonation of a zwitterion like ZH is rate-limiting.³⁶⁶

3. Proton Transfer Rates

The study of reactions 50 and 68 has yielded especially important information in regard to solvent and steric effects on proton transfer rates.^{342,344,347} The rate constant $k_{-p}^{\rm SH^+}$ for protonation of Z⁻ by H⁺ has been measured in the case of the spiro complexes 177.^{342,344} It is 5.9×10^{10} L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ in aqueous solution (t = 25 °C)³⁴² and 2.86×10^{10} L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ in 70–80% Me₂SO (t= 20 °C).³⁴⁴ It is thus evident that Me₂SO does not affect the diffusion-controlled character of the reaction.³⁴⁴ In contrast, the rate of deprotonation of ZH by OH⁻ is essentially close to the diffusion-controlled limit in aqueous solution and in 10% dioxane $(k_p^{OH} = 5 \times 10^9 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 25 \text{ °C})$,³⁴⁷ but it is reduced about 10-fold in 30% Me₂SO and 100- to 1000-fold in 70-80% $Me_2SO.^{344}$ This decrease in k_p^{OH} in the presence of Me₂SO as cosolvent reflects either intramolecular hydrogen bonding of the acidic ammonia proton to an o-NO₂ group in ZH or intermolecular hydrogen bonding of this proton to the oxygen of Me₂SO.^{347,367} Inasmuch as this hydrogen bond would have to be broken prior to the ZH...OH- encounter complex formation, this would have in both cases a rate-retarding effect on the proton transfer which otherwise would be diffusion controlled.^{347,367}

Some rates of deprotonation of ZH by bases other than OH⁻, particularly amines, are abnormally low compared to that by OH⁻ in aqueous solution.³⁴⁷ k_p^{Am} for the TNB-dimethylamine complex 172c,H⁺ is thus about 500 times smaller than k_p^{OH} , i.e., about 100-fold lower than expected for a reaction between an NH acid and a N base which is thermodynamically favored by 2 pK units. Such rate reductions have been interpreted in terms of a steric effect.³⁴⁷ In contrast to k_p^{OH} , the k_p^B and k_p^{Am} rate constants are not significantly dependent upon the Me₂SO content in aqueous solution.^{344,347} Typical values of k_p^B and k_p^{Am} are around $10^7 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (see Tables XXIV and XXVII) for bases whose pK_a is such as to make the proton transfer thermodynamically favored by at least two pK units. In accord with other reports, 365,368,369 proton transfers involving some amines, like piperidine, are appreciably slower in Me₂SO than in aqueous solution. 356

4. Relevance of the Results to the Mechanism of S_N Ar Reactions Involving Amine Nucleophiles

Considerable interest has been focused on the observation of base catalysis in S_NAr reactions with amine nucleophiles and its significance.^{4,17–19,22} Until recently, the most familiar mechanism for these reactions has been the one shown in eq 74 and known as the SB-GA



(specific base-general acid) mechanism.^{340,370,371} It involves a rapid equilibrium deprotonation of the zwitterionic σ -complex ZH followed by rate-limiting concerted general acid (BH) catalyzed leaving group departure from the anionic σ -complex Z⁻. Formulation of this mechanism was based on the assumption that proton transfers between normal acids and bases are always very fast.²⁹⁶ It became generally accepted after Orvik and Bunnett³⁴⁰ obtained direct evidence that ethoxide departure is in fact rate limiting and general acid catalyzed in the reaction of 174 with *n*-butylamine and *tert*-butylamine in Me₂SO (supra vide).

The results just discussed clearly point out that the observation of general base catalysis in S_NAr reactions with amines may be associated with rate-limiting deprotonation of the zwitterion ZH rather than with a SB-GA mechanism. For a comprehensive discussion of the conditions where each of these situations may be expected to prevail, the reader is referred to two recent and excellent reviews by Bernasconi.^{18,19} One should note, however, that a number of other reactions are now known to involve a rate-limiting diffusion-controlled

proton transfer step.^{150,372–375} Also noteworthy is that base catalysis in reactions 74 was originally assumed by Bunnett to be a consequence of rate-limiting deprotonation of ZH.³⁷⁶ However, this interpretation was later rejected on grounds that deprotonation of ZH should be very rapid.²⁹⁶

B. Complexes from Heterocyclic Amines

Pyrrolide, imidazolide, pyrazolide, and indolide ions react with TNB in Me₂SO or acetonitrile to give the nitrogen-bonded σ -complexes 178 according to eq 75.^{350,351} In most cases, subsequent conversion of 178



into carbon-bonded σ complexes occurs^{350,351} (see section VID). However, the adducts **179** and **180** could be isolated as their crystalline potassium salts in the TNB-pyrrole and 3-methylindole systems.^{350,351} The high stability of **179** and **180** is reflected in the low values of the second-order rate constant k^{H^+} for their H⁺-catalyzed decomposition in aqueous solution: $k^{\text{H}^+}_{179} = 1 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; $k^{\text{H}^+}_{180} = 91 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 25 °C.³⁵¹ The exact mechanism of the reactions is currently under investigation.

C. Complexes from Phosphorus Bases

A number of phosphorus compounds (alkyl phosphites, phosphoramidous esters, dialkyl phosphorofluoridites) form σ complexes with activated aromatics or heteroaromatics (5-nitropyrimidines).³⁷⁸⁻³⁸⁵ The reactions with alkyl phosphites have been the most studied. While dialkyl phosphites behave like secondary amines and give anionic σ complexes like 181, trialkyl phosphites yield relatively stable zwitterionic adducts. TNB and its tris(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) analogue 8 thus add $P(OEt)_3$ to form 183a and 183b, respectively, in Me₂SO.^{381,383} Kinetic and thermodynamic parameters for these reactions (eq 76) are given in Table XXVIII.^{381,383} Both 183a and 183b have a higher stability than amine zwitterions $(K_1 = 9 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ for the})$ TNB-piperidine complex). In accord with the results obtained for the methoxide analogues, 183b is more stable than 183a. However, it decomposes appreciably faster than 183a. This would result from a greater weakening of the P⁺-C bond by the more electron-

TABLE XXVIII. Kinetic and Thermodynamic Parameters for Triethyl Phosphite Complexes 183a and 183b in Me, SO (t = 25 °C)

| | 183b ^a | 183a ^b |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|
| k_{1} , L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | 0.43 | 1.81×10^{-3} |
| k_{-1}, s^{-1} | 6.2×10^{-4} | 2.6×10^{-5} |
| K_1 , L mol ⁻¹ | 693 | 70 |
| ΔH_1^{\ddagger} , kJ mol ⁻¹ | 29.7 | 70.2 |
| ΔS_1^{\pm} , J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ | -150 | -63 |
| $\Delta \hat{H_{-1}}^{\ddagger}$, kJ mol ⁻¹ | 27.2 | 46.8 |
| $\Delta S_{-}^{+}, J \operatorname{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$ | -213 | -175.5 |
| ΔH° , kJ mol ⁻¹ | 2.5 | 23.4 |
| ΔS° , J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ | 63 | 112.5 |

^a Reference 383. ^b Reference 381.



R = Me, Et, Pr



withdrawing SO_2CF_3 group.³⁸³ Addition of alkyl phosphites to 1-X-2,4,6-TNB like TNA, TNT, or 1-(dimethoxyphosphinyl)-2,4,6-TNB occurs exclusively at C-3 to give 182. Steric hindrance to the approach of the bulky phosphorus nucleophile is regarded to be responsible for the absence of reaction at C-1.³⁸⁴

V. Smiles Rearrangements—Unsymmetrical Spiro Complexes

Smiles rearrangements (eq 77) of activated aromatic substrates are typical intramolecular S_NAr reactions.³⁸⁶⁻³⁹³ Most often, the displacement is by Y⁻



rather than by YH, and thus the presence of a strong base is usually required. However, when YH is an amino group (NH_2 or NHR), a base may or may not be necessary for the reaction to proceed. The carbon chain joining X and Y may be saturated or part of an aro-

TABLE XXIX. Rate and Equilibrium Constants for Formation and Decomposition of Spiro Complexes Resulting from N-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-N-methylanilines or Naphthylamines in Water-Me₂SO Mixtures^a

| | | | | 186 | 3b | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| $complex \% Me_2SO$ | 186a 0 ^b | $189 \ 0^{b}$ | $2^{c,d}$ | 50 ^{c,e} | 80 ^{c,f,g} | $85^{c,f,h}$ | |
| Kk_{-4}^{OH} , L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | 1300 ^{<i>i</i>} | 415 ⁱ | 4.56 | 19.9 | $1.42 	imes 10^{4}$ ^j | 8.6 × 10 ⁴ | |
| $k_4 \text{OH}, \mathbf{s}^{-1}$ | 0.035 | 0.034 | 929 | 332 | 26^{j} | 9 | |
| $KK_{-1}OH$, L mol ⁻¹ | 3.7×10^{41} | $\mathbf{1.2 	imes 10^{+}}$ i | 4.92×10^{-3} | $5.98	imes10^{-2}$ | 545^{j} | 9550 | |
| $k_{\pm\pm} s^{\pm\pm}$ | $2.8 	imes 10^{-3}$ | 1×10^{-3} | | | | | |
| k_{12}^{-1}, s^{-1} | $9.5	imes10^{-7}$ | $3.2 	imes 10^{-7}$ | | | | | |
| $K_{\perp} = k_{\perp}/k_{\perp}$ | $\mathbf{3.4 	imes 10^{-4}}$ | 3.2 × 10⊸ | | | | | |
| k_{a}^{AcOH} , L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | 0.294 | 0.146 | | | | | |
| $k_{\perp} AcO$, L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | $7.7	imes 10^{-6}$ | $2.92 	imes 10^{-6}$ | | | | | |

^a Rate and equilibrium constants as defined by eq 79 with $K = K_a^{AH}/K_s$; t = 25 °C. ^b I = 0.5 M KCl; ref 364; 186a = 156 (see Section IIIA). ^c Reference 65. ^d I = 1 M KOH/KCl. ^e I = 0.5 M KOH/KCl. ^f I = 0.1 M Me₄NOH/Me₄NCl. ^g K = 13.5 L mol⁻¹. ^h K = 43 L mol⁻¹. ⁱ Calculated from data of ref 364 with $K_s = 1.96 \times 10^{-14}$. ^j At I = 0.1 M KOH/KCl: $Kk_{-2}^{OH} = 3020$; $k_4^{OH} = 53$; $KK_{-4}^{OH} = 57$.

matic system. In general, the rearrangement goes through the formation of the intermediate spiro complex 184.^{181,364,377,386-410} This section is concerned with reactions in which the formation of such unsymmetrical complexes can be investigated by kinetic methods.

A. Complexes with an Oxazolidine Ring

1. N-A/ky/-β-aminoethy/ Nitroary/ and Naphthy/ Ethers

a. Picryl and 2,4-dinitronaphthyl ethers. Equation 78 is representative of an intramolecular S_NAr displacement of an alkoxide ion by an amino group. A



(a) $X = Y = NO_3$; R = Me (186a = 156); (b) $X = NO_3$, Y = H, R = Me; (c) X = Y = R = H; (d) X = Y = H, R = Me; (e) X = Y = H, R = E; (f) X = Y = H, R = i·Pr

complete kinetic analysis of the picryl and naphthyl systems has been made by Bernasconi in aqueous solution.³⁶⁴ Conversion of the ethers (EH) 185a and 188 into the picramide and naphthylamine derivatives (AH) 187a and 190 occurs in two distinct stages, characterized by two relaxation times. The first is very rapid; it involves equilibrium deprotonation of EH_2^+ (the hydrochloride salt of EH) followed by intramolecular nucleophilic attack by the NHMe group to form the zwitterion (ZH) which is then deprotonated to form the



more stable anionic complex Z^- . The second stage is relatively slow and involves the conversion of Z^- into the final product AH by three concurrent routes as depicted in the complete reaction scheme of eq 79.

Most of the rate and equilibrium parameters of the different steps in eq 79 were evaluated by combining the SF and TJ techniques (Tables XXVI and XXIX). The system $\text{EH}_2^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{EH} \rightleftharpoons \text{ZH} \rightrightarrows \text{Z}^-$ associated with the first relaxation time shows features similar to those described for the ethylenediamine derivatives 176; k_p and k_{-p} are defined by eq 70 and 71, respectively. Despite a virtually diffusion-controlled deprotonation of ZH by $\text{OH}^-(k_p^{\text{OH}} = (3-5) \times 10^9 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 25 \text{ °C})$, the proton transfer between ZH and Z⁻ is partially rate limiting. This is again a consequence of high k_{-1} values for 186aH⁺ and 189H⁺ which make $k_{-1} >> k_p$ at low pH and low buffer concentrations. The zwitterions ZH are also substantially more acidic than their parents EH_2^+ ($\Delta pK > 2$) due to the electron-withdrawing character of the picryl and 2,4-dinitronaphthyl cyclohexadienylide moieties.³⁶⁴

The conversion of Z^- into AH occurs via the routes $Z^- \rightleftharpoons A^- \rightleftharpoons AH, Z^- + BH \rightleftharpoons AH + B$ and $Z^- \rightleftharpoons ZH \rightleftharpoons$ AH. The first involves C-O bond breaking in Z⁻ fol-

lowed by rapid protonation of the negative oxygen of A⁻; it is simply the reverse of the most general scheme described for spiro complex formation from the ethylene glycols analogues 133a and 135a (eq 14) with $k_4^{OH} = k_{-1}, k_{-4}^{OH} = k_1, K_a^{AH} = K_a^{GOH} = KK_s$. As expected, the stability of Z⁻ relative to AH, as measured by the $K_a^{AH}K_{-4}^{OH}$ values, is higher for the picryl than for the mathematical stability of Z⁻ relative to AH. naphthyl system.³⁶⁴ The second route, $Z^- + BH \rightleftharpoons AH$ + B, is only general-acid-catalyzed C-O bond-breaking in Z⁻ which leads directly to AH, presumably via a concerted process. This is again a reaction discussed in section IID1c for the dioxolane analogues. As observed for the latter, the catalysis is relatively weak and has been detected only for the acetic acid-acetate buffer. The third route (k_2, k_{-2}) is typical of the systems at hand. It is the direct conversion of ZH into AH which may occur via a concerted intramolecularly acid catalyzed leaving group departure with a transition state such as 191 or 192.364



b. 2.4-Dinitro- and 4-Nitrophenvl Ethers. Deprotonation of ZH was also found to be rate limiting in the conversion of the 4-nitrophenyl ethers 185c-f into the 4-nitroanilines 187c-f in aqueous solution.^{397,406} In agreement with the diminished activation of the phenyl ring in these systems, the k_{-1} values for decomposition of the zwitterions $186H^+$ are > $10^8 s^{-1}$, i.e., 10^3 times greater than the k_{-1} values for the picryl and naphthyl analogues. The overall conversion of the 2,4-dinitrophenyl ether 185b into 187b has not been studied.65 However, the formation of the spiro complex 186b has been investigated according to the AH \rightleftharpoons A⁻ \rightleftharpoons Z⁻ pathway. The stability of 186b is very low in aqueous solution but strongly increases on transfer to Me₂SO (Table XXIX). Kinetic experiments in 80 and 85% Me₂SO have provided not only the rate constants Kk_{-4}^{OH} ($K = K_{a}^{AH}/K_{s}$) and k_{4}^{OH} for formation and decomposition of 186b but also the K values for ionization of the OH group of 187b (AH).⁶⁵ The stability of 186b, as measured by the KK_4^{OH} value, is considerably less than that of its dioxolane analogue 134d. This is the result of an important ground-state resonance stabilization of the parent aniline 187b.

2. Activated *β*-(Acetylamino)ethyl Phenyl and Pyridyl Ethers

In aqueous Me_2SO , the ethers 193a-h undergo a base-catalyzed Smiles rearrangement with simultaneous migration of the acetyl group to give the anilines 195a-h as the major products (eq 80).^{395,400,404} With the exception of the 2-methyl-4-nitro- and 4-nitrophenyl ethers 193d and 193e, all compounds 193 yield the spiro complexes 194 in an initial and rapid step.^{400,404} Equilibrium and kinetic data have been determined for formation of 194b and 194h, by using the SF technique

TABLE XXX. Rate and Equilibrium Constants for the Spiro Complexes 194h, 194b, and 197a^a

| | 96% N F | $\frac{\overline{\text{Ie}_2\text{SO}-4\%}}{\text{I}_2\text{O}^b}$ | H ₂ O ^c |
|--|------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | 194h | 194b | 197a |
| KK_1 , L mol ⁻¹ | | | 8×10^{3} |
| <i>K</i> , Ĺ mol ⁻¹ | 93 | 131 | $\simeq 0.1^d$ |
| K_1 | 46 | 10.7 | 8 × 10⁴ |
| Kk_{1} , L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | | | 1.3 × 10⁴ |
| k_{1}, s^{-1} | 599 | 118 | 1.3 × 10 ⁵ |
| k_{-1}^{-1}, s^{-1} | 12 | 11 | 2.2 |

^a Rate and equilibrium constants as defined by eq 80 or 81. ^b Reference 400; I = 0.1 M KClO₄; t = 25 °C. ^c Reference 329 at 25 °C. ^d Estimated value; see ref 329.





(a) $X = Y = NO_2$, Z = H; (b) X = Br, $Y = NO_2$, Z = H; (a) $X = 1 + 10^{\circ}_{2}, Z = 10, (Z) = 10^{\circ}_{2}, Z = 10^{\circ}$

in 96% Me₂SO-4% H₂O (Table XXX).⁴⁰⁰ Formation of other complexes was too fast to be measured. The rates of rearrangement are markedly dependent upon steric factors in the ortho positions.^{400,404} 4-Nitropyridyl and 4-nitrophenyl ethers 193h and 193e rearrange most rapidly. The 2,6-disubstituted ethers 193f and 193g rearrange most slowly.⁴⁰⁴

B. Complexes with an Oxathiolane Ring

In the presence of aqueous base cyclization of 1-[(2hydroxyethyl)thio]-2,4,6-TNB to the spiro complex 197a (eq 81) is followed by irreversible decomposition to ethylene sulfide and picrate ion.³²⁹ The KK_1 value for formation of 197a is 4.5×10^3 times lower than that for formation of its dioxolane analogue 134a. Possible factors accounting for the reduced stability of 197a are (a) lower polarity of the C-S bond relative to the C-Obond which will disfavor nucleophilic attack at C-1. (b) lower stabilization of an alkoxy-thioalkoxy substitution relative to a dialkoxy substitution at the sp³ carbon, and (c) increasing steric compression in the complex on replacement of oxygen by sulfur.³²⁹ Only indirect evidence has been obtained for the spiro complex 197b in



the Smiles rearrangement of 1-[(3-hydroxypropyl)-thio]-2,4,6-TNB.⁴⁰²

VI. Carbon-Bonded σ Complexes

Numerous carbon-bonded σ complexes have been characterized. They result from a large variety of carbon nucleophiles which range from carbanions of carbonyl derivatives^{12,13} and other carbon acids^{12,13} to simple ions like cyanide ions⁴¹¹⁻⁴¹⁵ or ambident ions like phenoxide,^{284–288,416,417} indolide, pyrrolide, or imidazolide ions.^{350,351} Amidines,^{354,418} enamines,⁴¹⁹ and a number of organometallic compounds^{420–425} are also efficient carbon nucleophiles. While the structural aspects of carbon complexes are well documented and have been recently reviewed,^{12,13} there are relatively few comprehensive kinetic and thermodynamic studies of their formation and decomposition. The difficulty of generating most of the nucleophiles under conditions suitable for such studies is a major factor responsible for this situation. The ambident or tautomeric character of numerous carbon nucleophiles also makes such studies more difficult because it greatly enhances the complexity of the addition process.

A. Cyanide Complexes

Cyanide ions easily add to TNB in a number of solvents to give the complex 198 (eq 82).^{34,90,411-415} For the most part, equilibrium and kinetic studies have been carried out in alcohols (Table XXXI). With lower alcohols, reaction 82 is complicated by the alcoholysis



of CN⁻ which yields the corresponding lyate RO⁻ ion. In fact, formation of the 1:1 alkoxide-TNB complex 5 competes with that of 198 in EtOH and PrOH.⁹⁰ Changing the solvent from MeOH to *t*-BuOH greatly enhances k_1 and K_1 and results in significantly more negative ΔH_1° and ΔS_1° values.³⁴ This has been rationalized by the proposal that desolvation of the small CN⁻ ion is much greater in MeOH or EtOH than in t-BuOH. Interestingly, ΔG_1° and log k_1 values correlate well with Dimroth's solvent polarity parameter E_T^{426} and the K_1 value in t-BuOH is comparable to those measured in dipolar aprotic solvents like acetone or CHCl₃.^{34,90,411} The reactions of TNA and TNT with CN⁻ ion in *i*-PrOH yield exclusively the 1,3-complexes **199a** and **199b** which have stabilities of the same order as that of **198**.⁴¹² In contrast, both **199a** and **200a** form



in $CDCl_3$ where **200c** is the only observable trinitrobenzaldehyde complex. Isomeric addition of CN⁻ to 3,5-dinitrobenzonitrile and 1-methoxycarbonyl-3,5-DNB occurs to give both 201 and 202.414 The reactions exhibit the same features as those encountered in the MeO⁻ and OH⁻ systems (section IIB1c), both complexes forming with similar rates but the 2-complexes 202 being thermodynamically favored. Rate and equilibrium constants have been recently reported for the 4-nitrobenzofuroxan complex 203 in *i*-PrOH.⁴¹⁵ All cyanide complexes have a much higher stability than expected on the basis on the hydrogen basicity of CN⁻. For instance, in EtOH, where EtO^{-} is about 10^{6} times more basic than is CN⁻ ion.⁹⁰ the stability of 198 is one order of magnitude greater than that of the TNB-ethoxide complex 5c.

B. Enclate Complexes

Activated aromatics and heteroaromatics react with enolate carbanions of ketones,^{11-13,103,212,427-439} aldehydes,⁴⁴⁰ keto esters,^{429,435} esters,^{135,429,435,441-443} amides,^{13,444} or compounds like creatinine⁴⁴⁶⁻⁴⁴⁸ to form complexes of general structure **204**, also called Janowsky complexes.¹¹⁻¹³ Many of such complexes have, for many years, been important in a variety of pharmaceutical color tests.⁴⁴⁵ The reaction is usually characterized by a two-step process, as described by eq 83 and 84, in which the carbanion is generated in a fast equilibrium prior to the rate-determining addition step (B = NR₃, OH⁻ or RO⁻). When complexes **204** have a potential nucleophilic site γ to the tetrahedral ring carbon, as those of type **205** (R' = CH₂R''), intramolecular cycli-

| TABLE XXXI. | Kinetic and | Thermodynamic P | arameters for | Formation and | Decomposition (| of |
|----------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|----|
| Cyanide σ Comp | lexes at 25°0 | ja, b | | | | |

| | | | | | | | activation and thermodynamic | |
|-----------------|------|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|----------|
| | Cnv | x | solvent | $k_{\rm f}$, L mol ⁻¹ | $b \cdot s^{-1}$ | $K \ L \ mol^{-1}$ | parameters; ^c conditions and | rof |
| | | | aug | | ⁿ d, ³ | | | |
| | 198 | Н | CHCI, | 225 | 6.7×10^{-4} | $3.35 \times 10^{\circ}$ | isnc | 411 |
| Ť(-)Ť | | | CH ₃ COCH ₃ | | | 1.44×10^{3} | ISNC | 411 |
| ×× | | | MeOH F+OU | | | 39 1965 | $ISRC; \Delta H \simeq 0; \Delta S = 30$ | 34 94 |
| NCa | | | EIOH | 449 | 0.049 | 1 05 1 104 | $ISHC; \Delta H = -32.0; \Delta S = -30; Cd$ | 04 00 |
| | | | ኤብዛ | 442 | 0.042 | 1.05 × 10 | $\frac{1}{1000} = \frac{100}{1000} = \frac{100}$ | 30 |
| | | | non | 030 | <0.01 | 1470 | $\sin c$; $\Delta H = -29.7$, $\Delta S = -42$ | 04 |
| | | | <i>i</i> -PrOH | 902 9450 | $\sqrt{0.01}$ 0.945 ^e | 29 × 10 104 | isno: $AH^{\circ} = -29.7 \cdot AS^{\circ} = -23 \cdot cd$ | 30 |
| | | | FIION | 2400 | 0.240 | 10 | $\sin e, \Delta m = -25.7, \Delta 5 = -25, eu$ | 94, |
| | | | | 2450 | 0.048 | $5.1 	imes 10^4$ | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 49$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -5$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 78.7$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = 11.7$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -29.7$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = -16.7$ | 412 |
| | | | BuOH | | | 2020 | $AH^{\circ} = -32.6$; $AS^{\circ} = -44$; cd | 34 |
| | | | t-BuOH | | | 5 x 10 ⁵ | $snc; AH^{\circ} = -65; AS^{\circ} = -105; cd$ | 34 |
| | | | | 1.06 × 10 ⁵ | 2.5 | 4.24×10^4 | isne | 90 |
| | 199a | ОМе | <i>i</i> -PrOH | 344 | 0.031 ^e | 1.12 × 104 | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 38.9; \Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -47.6;$ $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 42.2; \Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -113.6;$ $\Delta H^{\circ} = -3.3; \Delta S^{\circ} = 66$ | 412 |
| | 199b | Ме | <i>i</i> -PrOH | 32.6 | 0.002 | 2.01 × 10 ⁴ | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 49.3$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -39.3$; $\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger} = 69.8$; $\Delta S_{d}^{\dagger} = -53.5$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -20.5$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = 14.2$ | 412 |
| | 201a | CN | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 28:72 | 721 | 4.28×10^{-3} | $1.68 	imes 10^{5}$ | isnc | 414 |
| × | 201b | COOMe | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 28:72 | 142.5 | 7.2 × 10 ⁻³ | 1.98 × 10⁴ | isne | 414 |
| | 202a | CN | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 28:72 | 25 9 | $5.4 	imes 10^{-4}$ | 4.8 × 10 ⁵ | isnc | 414 |
| X CN | 202b | COOMe | MeOH-Me ₂ SO 28:72 | 57.5 | $4.5 	imes 10^{-4}$ | 1.28 × 10 ⁵ | isnc | 414 |
| NO ₂ | 203 | | <i>i</i> -PrOH | 274 | < 0.01 | $> 2.74 \times 10^4$ | isnc; $\Delta H_{f}^{\dagger} = 36.8$; $\Delta S_{f}^{\dagger} = -75.7$ | 415 |
| NC H | | | | | | | | |

^a Rate and equilibrium constants as defined by eq 82 or similarly to eq 10. ^b Ph₄AsCN, Et₄AsCN, or NaCN. ^c Enthalpies in kJ mol⁻¹, entropies in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. ^d See Table I for abbreviations. ^e Calculated as $k_d = k_f/K$.



zation may occur to give the bicyclic analogues 208. Relatively nonacidic ketones (acetone, 3-pentanone, cyclohexanone . . ., but not cyclopentanone) as well as more acidic ketones or ketoesters (acetylacetone, dibenzyl ketone, methyl acetoacetate...) readily form such bicyclic adducts, but the conditions required for their formation in basic solutions differ.^{12,13,419,429-431,449-451} If R and/or R' are electron withdrawing or delocalizing (i.e., C₆H₅, COOMe, COMe), H_{γ} in 205 has an appreciable acidity and cyclization to 208 occurs even with only weak base (i.e., NEt₃).^{12,429,452,453} In contrast, if R and R' are hydrogen or alkyl, H_{γ} is much less acidic and strong base is required to effect the cyclization. In most



$$\operatorname{RCH}_{2}\operatorname{COR}' + \operatorname{B} \underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{k_{1}}{\longleftarrow}} \operatorname{R-CH-CO-R'} + \operatorname{BH}^{+} \quad (83)$$

$$R - CH - CO - R' + TNB \xrightarrow{k_2} 204 (205)$$
 (84)

cases, the reaction may be formulated in terms of eq 85-87. A noteworthy exception is when the base is a secondary amine. In this case, the cyclization mechanism involves the formation of enamine intermediates.^{12,419} In all systems studied, enolate oxygen attack to give oxygen-bonded complexes or intramolecular

TABLE XXXII. Kinetic and Thermodynamic Parameters for Formation and Decomposition of Ketone Complexes

| | | | | | | K, k_{f}, a | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| | Срх | X | Y | solvent | °C | L ² mol ⁻² s ⁻¹ | k_{d} , a_{s-1} | $K_1K, a L^2$ mol ⁻² | ref |
| | 205 b | NO ₂ | NO ₂ | MeOH | 25 | 2.52 ^{b,c} | 4×10^{-4} b,c | 6300 ^{b,c} | 87 |
| γ_{i} | | | | | 25 | 3.304 | 8.2×10^{-64} | 4 × 10° " | 435 |
| \odot | 210a | $CON(CH_2)_5$ | NO ₂ | MeOH-acetone | | | | _ | |
| | | | | 8.3:91.7 | 20 | 0.82^{e} | 2.86×10^{-6} | $2.87 \times 10^{5} e$ | 433 |
| × | | | | 18.8:81.2 | 20 | 0.077 ^e | $5.23	imes10^{-6}e$ | $1.47 \times 10^{4} e$ | 433 |
| | | | | 26:74 | 20 | 0.012^{e} | 8.69 × 10 ^{-6 e} | 1.38 × 10 ³ ^e | 433 |
| | 209a | NO_2 | $CON(CH_2)_5$ | MeOH-acetone | | | | | |
| | | - | | 8.3:91.7 | 20 | 1.57 | 1.66 × 10⁻⁴ | 9460 | 433 |
| | | | | 18.8:81.2 | 20 | 0.145 | 3 × 10⁻⁴ | 480 | 433 |
| | | | | 26:74 | 20 | 0.023 | 5×10^{-4} | 46 | 433 |
| \sim | 205f | NO, | NO, | MeOH | 25 | $15.2^{c,f}$ | 6.88 × 10 ⁻⁴ c,f | 2.2 × 10⁴ °,″ | 458 |
| н]] | | - | - | | 25 | 16.2^{d} | 1.76 × 10⁻⁴ ^d | $9.2 	imes 10^{4} d$ | 435 |
| O2N X | 210c | CON(CH,), | NO, | MeOH-cyclohexanone | | | | | |
| | | | - | 25:75 | 25 | 0.16 | 1.30×10^{-4} | 1230 | 434 |
| \sim | | | | 50:50 | 25 | 0.045 | 1.70 × 10⁻⁴ | 265 | 434 |
| l Y | 210d | COOMe | NO, | MeOH-cyclohexanone | | | | | |
| | | | - | 25:75 | 25 | | $9.5 	imes 10^{-4}$ | | 434 |
| | | | | 50:50 | 25 | 0.39 | 2.07×10^{-3} | 188.4 | 434 |
| | 210e | CN | NO. | MeOH-cyclohexanone | | | | | |
| | | | - | 50:50 | 25 | 13.6 | 1.8 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 7.55 × 10 ^s | 434 |
| | 209c | NO. | CON(CH_). | MeOH-cyclohexanone | | | | | |
| | | 2 | 2/3 | 25:75 | 25 | 0.12 | 0.025 | 4.8 | 434 |
| | 209d | NO. | COOMe | MeOH-cvclohexanone | | | | | |
| | | 2 | | 25:75 | 25 | | 3.38×10^{-3} | | 434 |
| | | | | 50:50 | 25 | 0.34 | 5.75×10^{-3} | 59 | 434 |
| | 209e | NO. | CN | MeOH-cyclohexanone | | •••• | 01101120 | | |
| | | 2 | | 50:50 | 25 | 5.2 | 2×10^{-3} | 2600 | 434 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Terrier

^a $k_{\rm f}$, $k_{\rm d}$, and K represent the rate and equilibrium constants associated with eq 84 or eq 89; K_{\perp} is defined by eq 83. ^b Calculated at 25 °C from data of ref 87; $\Delta H^{\ddagger}(K_{\perp}k_{\rm f}) = 50$; $\Delta S^{\ddagger}(K_{\perp}k_{\rm f}) = -37$; $\Delta H^{\ddagger}(k_{\rm d}) = 153$; $\Delta S^{\ddagger}(k_{\rm d}) = 230$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -103$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = -267$. ^c At 0.1 M acetone or cyclohexanone in MeOH. ^d At 0.75 M acetone or cyclohexanone in MeOH. ^e Data of ref 433 kindly recalculated by the authors. ^f $\Delta H^{\ddagger}(K_{\perp}k_{\rm f}) = 55$; $\Delta S^{\ddagger}(K_{\perp}k_{\rm f}) = -4$; $\Delta H^{\ddagger}(k_{\rm d}) = 89.5$; $\Delta S^{\ddagger}(k_{\rm d}) = 29$; $\Delta H^{\circ} = -34.5$; $\Delta S^{\circ} = -33$. ^g Enthalpies in kJ mol⁻¹; entropies in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹.

oxygen attack by the enolate side chain have not been observed.



1. Formation of Complexes 204 and 205. 1:2 Complexes

The kinetics of formation of the TNB-acetone and -cyclohexanone complexes 205b and 205f and the TNB-ester complexes 204a, 204b, and 205a have been investigated in MeOH and/or MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures using NaOMe as the base.^{87,435,458} The data are summarized in Tables XXXII and XXXIII. In all cases, enolate addition is rate determining. In contrast, in aqueous hydroxide solution where there is a rapid conversion of acetonate ions into diacetone alcohol (eq 88), it is the k_{-5} step which is rate determining in the

$$CH_{3}COCH_{2}^{-} + CH_{3}CO CH_{3} \xleftarrow{k_{5}}_{k_{-5}}$$

 $CH_{3}COCH_{2}C(CH_{3})_{2}O^{-}$ (88)

formation of 205b.456 Enolate attack occurs concurrently at the 2- and 4-carbons of 1-X-3,5-DNB and 3,5-dinitropyridine. 103,433,434,438,457 The benzene systems with $X = CONC_5H_{10}$, COOMe, CN have been studied in MeOH-acetone and MeOH-cyclohexanone mixtures (ea 89).^{433,434} As found for oxygen and cyanide ana-



 $\begin{array}{l} R=R''=H, (a) \ X=CONC_{5}H_{10}, (b) \ X=H\\ R=R''=cyclohexanone, (c) \ X=CONC_{5}H_{10};\\ (d) \ X=COOMe; (e) \ X=CN \end{array}$

logues, 209 and 210 form with relatively similar rates, but the latter complexes which have a NO₂ group para to the sp^3 carbon are thermodynamically favored. Not only the 1,3-complexes 211 but also the diadducts 212 may form prior to the substitution products in the S_NAr

TABLE XXXIII. Rate and Equilibrium Constants for Formation and Decomposition of 1:1 and 1:2 Ester and Keto Ester Complexes at 25 °C

| | | | | | | | k_{f} , ^a L | | $K,^a$ L | |
|--|--------------|-----|-----------------|--------|-----|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----|
| | Срх | х | Y | R | R' | solvent | mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | k_{d} , s | mol ⁻¹ | ref |
| × | 205a | Н | | COOMe | Me | MeOH | 5500 | 470 | 11.7 ^{b,c} | 435 |
| O2N NO2 | 204b | | | CN | OMe | MeOH | 1.3×10^{5} | 62 | $1660^{b,c}$ | 435 |
| Ĭ(-) μ | 204a | | | COOMe | OMe | MeOH | $2.5 	imes 10^{5}$ | 20.5 | 12200 ^b | 435 |
| $\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{$ | 211b | OMe | | CN | OMe | MeOH | 7600 | 72 | 106 ^b | 441 |
| L ĊH-R | 211c | | | COOMe | OMe | MeOH | 2.95×10^{4} | 14.2 | 2090 ^b | 135 |
| 1002 CO-R' | | | | | | MeOH-Me.SO | | | | |
| | | | | | | 80:20 | $8.4 	imes 10^{4}$ | 7.6 | 11000 | 135 |
| | 211d | Cl | | CN | OMe | MeOH | 5200 | 37 | 140 ^b | 441 |
| × | 212e | Ĥ | | ĊN | OMe | MeOH | 50 | 0.69 | 73 | 435 |
| | 212f | | | COOMe | OMe | MeOH | 110 | 0.40 | 275 | 435 |
| н. Т – Т н | 212b | OMe | | CN | OMe | MeOH | 195 | 0.25 | 780 | 441 |
| | 212c | 0 | | COOMe | OMe | MeOH | 1000 | 0.11 | 9000 | 135 |
| R-HC II CH-R | 2-20 | | | 000111 | | MeOH-Me SO | 330 | 0.37 | 900 | 135 |
| R'-0C 00-R' | | | | | | 80:20 | 000 | 0.07 | 000 | 100 |
| COOEt | 213a | F | н | | | Me SO | 6200 ^{d,e} | 21 8d,e | 285d,e | 449 |
| | 210a 913h | Ċ | NO | | | C H - Me SO | 5 8 × 105 d,f | 21.0 | 200 | 112 |
| | 2100 | 0 | | | | 87.5:12.5 | 0.0 × 10 | | | 110 |
| Ter a | 213c | Br | NO ₂ | | | C ₆ H ₆ -Me ₂ SO 87.5:12.5 | $2.5	imes10^{sd,g}$ | | | 461 |
| I NDo | | | | | | | | | | |

^a Rate and equilibrium constants as defined by eq 84 or analogous equations for complexes 212 and 213. ^b K_1 values in L mol⁻¹, for deprotonation of the parent esters in MeOH (eq 83 with $B = MeO^-$ and $BH^* = MeOH$): methyl acetoacetate, 418; methyl cyanoacetate, 54; dimethyl malonate, 0.5. ^c K_3 values, in L mol⁻¹, for deprotonation of the complexes in MeOH (eq 85 with $B = MeO^-$, $BH^* = MeOH$): 205a = 110; 204b = 670. ^d Enthalpies in kJ mol⁻¹; entropies in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. ^e $\Delta H_f^+ = 29$; $\Delta S_f^+ = -76$; $\Delta H_d^+ = 45$; $\Delta S_d^+ = -69$; $\Delta H^\circ = -16$; $\Delta S^\circ = -7$. ^f $\Delta H_f^+ = 9.2$; $\Delta S_f^+ = -100$. ^g $\Delta H_f^+ = 8$; $\Delta S_f^+ = -115$.



reactions of TNA and picryl chloride with acetone, methyl cyanoacetate, and dimethyl malonate carbanions in acetone or $MeOH-Me_2SO.^{135,441,459,460}$ In contrast, the transient species observed in the substitution reactions of picryl chloride, picryl bromide, and 2,4dinitrofluorobenzene with diethyl sodiomalonate in benzene-Me₂SO mixtures were assumed to be the 1,1complexes 213.442,443,461 Confirmation of these species as the corresponding 1,3- (or 1,5-) complexes might be, however, more consistent with general observations (section IIB3c). 2,4-Dinitrophenyl and 2,4-dinitronaphthyl phenyl ethers also add acetonate ions to the unsubstituted 3- (or 5-) carbons.⁴⁶⁷⁻⁴⁶⁹ The reaction of creatinine with an alkaline solution of sodium picrate to form the complex 214 (the Jaffe reaction) is noteworthy in that its mechanism is reported to be temperature dependent. At 25 °C, the rate-determining step is attack of the creatinine anion on picrate, but at 35 °C, it is deprotonation of creatinine, at least at high picrate concentrations.455

In formation of all ketone complexes of Table XXXII, the enolate anions are generated by an unfavorable thermodynamic equilibrium in solvents where the equilibrium constant K_1 , which refers to the mixture of the keto and enol forms of the parent ketones and is therefore an apparent equilibrium constant, is not known. On the basis of estimates of 10^{-6} and 10^{-4} L mol⁻¹ for the K_1 values of acetone and cyclohexanone, respectively, in MeOH,⁴³⁵ values of the order of 6×10^9 and 2×10^8 L mol⁻¹ are derived for the equilibrium constants K_2 associated with formation of 205b and 205f in this solvent. Such values are considerably higher than those expected from the difference in the hydrogen basicity between MeO⁻ and ketonate ions (K_2 = 23 L mol⁻¹ for the TNB-MeO⁻ complex 5b).⁷⁸ More significantly, the ester complexes in Table XXXIII all derive from carbanions less basic than MeO⁻. However, they are more stable or of the same stability as their methoxide 1:1 or 1:2 analogues. These results emphasize the remarkable stability of enolate complexes, especially those of ketone complexes. Many such adducts

TABLE XXXIV. Rates of Decomposition of the Acetone Complex 210b of 1,3-DNB in Various Solvents at $25 \degree C^a$

| solvent | $10^6 k_{-3}^{-3}, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| acetone | 2.6 |
| HMPT | 3.3 |
| H,O | 15.3 |
| t- B uOH | 27.2 |
| ethylene glycol | 94 |
| EtOH | 158 |
| MeOH | 19 5 |

^{*a*} Ref. 462.

TABLE XXXV. Rate Constants for the Uncatalyzed (k_{-2}) and H⁺-Catalyzed **Decom**positions of Various TNB-Ketone Complexes in Water^a

| ketone | Срх | k^{H^+} , L mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | $10^{4}k_{-2},$ s ⁻¹ |
|---------------------|------|---|------------------------------------|
| acetophenone, 4-OMe | 204d | 0.43 | 1.08 |
| 4-H | 204c | 0.25 | 2.27 |
| 4-CN | 204f | 0.17 | 19.50 |
| 4-NO, | 204e | 0.17 | 26.60 |
| cyclopentanone | 205e | 0.0057 | |
| diethyl ketone | 205c | 0.025 | |
| acetone | 205b | 0.026 | |
| cycloheptanone | 205g | 0.093 | |
| cyclohexanone | 205f | 0.160 | |

^{*a*} Reference 464; $t = 30 \degree C$; I = 1 M KCl.

are, in fact, isolable as very stable crystalline salts, including those in the dinitrobenzene series.¹³

Another striking illustration of the high stability of ketone complexes is the observation of very low rates for their uncatalyzed (k_{-2}) decomposition (Tables XXXIV and XXXV).^{87,433-435,458,462-464} For example, the k_{-2} values for the TNB- and 1,3-DNB-acetone complexes 205b and 210b are equal to 8.2×10^{-6} and $1.9 \times$ 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹, respectively, at 25 °C in MeOH as compared with a k_{-2} value of 305 s⁻¹ for **5b**.^{435,462} In aqueous solution, the p-nitroacetophenone complex 204e, with the highest k_{-2} value of all the TNB complexes studied, decomposes spontaneously (4×10^3) -fold more slowly than the hydroxide complex 5a.464 This is because the formation of departing enolate requires both carboncarbon bond cleavage and concomitant rehybridization from sp³ to sp², as well as substantial solvent reorganization. These are the same phenomena responsible for the well-known very low rates of carbon acid deprotonation and enolate protonation.464-466

Similarly, the H⁺-catalyzed decomposition of the adducts is very slow. The k^{H^+} values for the acetophenone complexes 204c-f are in the range of 0.17–0.43 L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ in water whereas that for 5a is close to the diffusion-controlled limit (section IIB1a). Clearly, the protonated hydroxyl group in the acid-catalyzed decomposition of 5a is a much better leaving group than the protonated ketone moiety in 204 or 205, since the positive charge promoting bond cleavage is directly on the departing atom in 5a but two atoms removed in 204 or 205.⁴⁶⁴ The aforementioned hybridizational changes and solvent reorganization occurring during the uncatalyzed decomposition of 204 and 205 also play a major role in the acid-catalyzed decomposition.

The relative thermodynamic stabilities of some ketone complexes of TNB, 1,3-dinitronaphthalene (DNN), and 1,3,6,8-tetranitronaphthalene (TTNN) have been accurately estimated from calorimetric measurements of their heats of formation $\Delta H_{\rm R}$ in Me₂SO, using NEt₃ as the base reagent.^{35,36} The $\Delta H_{\rm R}$ values thus determined are the sum of the enthalpy changes ΔH_1 and ΔH_2 associated with reactions 83 and 84. In Table XXXVI, the data in a given column refer to the reactions of the same ketone (same ΔH_1) with the three aromatics, so that the differences in ΔH_R reflect those in ΔH_2 . For the acetone, diethyl ketone, and cyclohexanone complexes, the increase in stability in going from DNN to TNB to TTNN is roughly similar and in general accord with what has been found for hydroxy and methoxy complexes (see section IIB). In contrast, the ΔH_R values reveal a much greater stability of the cyclopentanone complexes 215c, 205e, and 216c relative



to the other ketone complexes. This is especially evident in the cyclopentanone–TNB complex **205e** which is much more stable in the cyclopentanone series than expected. Combining the $\Delta H_{\rm R}$ values for acetone and cyclopentanone complexes thus leads to a value of -92.4 kJ mol⁻¹ for the enthalpy $\Delta H_{\rm exch}$ associated with the acetone–cyclopentanone exchange reaction of the TNB complexes (eq 90) as compared with $\Delta H_{\rm exch}$ values of

 $205b + cyclopentanone \rightarrow 205e + acetone$ (90)

-16.3 and -16.7 kJ mol⁻¹ for the DNN and TTNN complexes. The abnormally high stability of **205**e has been accounted for by a conformation of the complex in which the carbonyl oxygen is favorably located for a stabilizing interaction with the positively polarized nitrogen of an adjacent NO₂ group, as shown in structure **217**. Such an interaction, which would explain the



particularly low k^{H^+} value found for 205e,⁴⁶⁴ is supported by ¹³C and ¹H NMR data.^{36,464}

2. Formation of Blcyclic Complexes 208

The kinetics of conversion of complexes 205 into bicyclic nitropropene nitronates 208 has been thoroughly studied only for the case of the TNB-dibenzyl ketone system ($R = R'' = C_6H_5$) in Me₂SO, in the presence of excess NEt₃.^{452,453} While the formation of 205d is complete within a few seconds, that of 208d is half-complete in about 50 min. The cyclization rate is first order in NEt₃, negative nonintegral order (between -1 and 0) in NHEt₃⁺, increased by increasing ionic strength, and zero order in dibenzyl ketone. These results fully support the mechanism outlined in eq

TABLE XXXVI. Enthalpies of Formation of Some Ketone Complexes in Me₂SO^a

| | a | acetone | | diethyl ketone | | cyclopentanone | | cyclohexanone | |
|-------------|---------------------|--|--------------|--|-----------------------|--|--------------|--|--|
| aromatics | Срх | $\Delta H_{\mathbf{R}},$ kJ mol ⁻¹ | Срх | $\Delta H_{\mathbf{R}},$ kJ mol ⁻¹ | Срх | $\Delta H_{\mathbf{R}},$ kJ mol ⁻¹ | Срх | $\Delta H_{\mathbf{R}},$ kJ mol ⁻¹ | |
| DNN | 215a | -9.6 | 215b | -11 | 215c | -26 | 215d | -5.9 | |
| TNB TTNN | 205b 216a | -21.3 -101 | 205c 216b | -19 -71.5 | 205e 21 6 c | -114 -118 | 205f 216d | -39.3 -78.6 | |

 a References 35 and 36 at 25 °C.

85-87 with the deprotonation of **205d** not occurring in a rapid preequilibrium step.⁴⁵³ This excludes in particular the "least contrived" mechanism of eq 91 in



which proton transfer from the exocyclic ketonic moiety to the ring in 205d is followed by intramolecular attack on the resultant dinitrodiene function of 218. Such a mechanism was initially proposed because it circumvents the necessity of proton abstraction followed by intramolecular nucleophilic attack on a negatively charged species,¹¹ as described in eq 86. The formation of the TNB-bicyclic adduct 208a of methyl acetoacetate proceeds similarly to that of 208d through eq 85-87. However, its precursor is reported to be 205h, and not the initially formed isomer 205a in MeOH. In this particular case, 205h would form as a steady-state intermediate in the overall cyclization process leading from 205a to 208a.⁴³⁵

The reaction sequence of eq 85-87 exemplifies the second step of a general process which has been termed "meta bridging".¹² It is typical for a number of condensation-cyclization reactions of electron-deficient aromatics with carbanions, even though strong base must be used to achieve the conversion of **205** into **208** when R and R" are H or electron-donating groups.

C. Amidine Complexes

The reactions of amidines with electron-deficient aromatics such as TNB and polynitronaphthalenes vield different types of products depending on the structure of the parent amidine and the solvent.^{354,418,470-473} For α -substituted N,N-dimethylacetamidines where the α substituent is alkyl or hydrogen, only the zwitterionic carbon-bonded complexes 219 are obtained in EtOH or Me₂SO.⁴¹⁸ In contrast, when the α substituent is aryl (i.e., C₆H₅), only the bridged complexes 221 can be isolated and these result from cyclization of the initially formed, though undetected, ni-trogen-bonded complexes 220.^{354,418} When $R = C_6H_5O$, both 221d and the C-bonded complex 219d form in Me₂SO.³⁵⁴ Interestingly, while complexes 219 could not be induced to cyclize under a variety of conditions in which the amidine:aromatic ratio was varied in Me₂SO or EtOH solution, in strong base such as OH^- or MeO^- they readily cyclize to 221.472,473 A kinetic study of this meta-bridging reaction has been carried out in aqueous solution with the TNB-acetamidine and -propion-



(a) R = H; (b) R = Me; (c) $R = C_6 H_5$; (d) $R = C_6 H_5 O$

amidine systems. The results are in accord with a preequilibrium deprotonation of **219a** and **219b** followed by slow cyclization to **221a** and **221b** according to eq 92.^{472,473} This mechanism is quite distinct from





TABLE XXXVII. Kinetic and Thermodynamic Parameters for the Ionization of the Zwitterions 219a and 219b and Their Cyclization into the Adducts 221a and 221b at 25 °C, in Aqueous Solution^a

| | 219a | 219b |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| k_1, s^{-1} | 1.51 | 3.33 |
| \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{L} mol = $\mathbf{p}K_{\mathbf{a}}$ | 8.90 12.82 | 12.62 |
| $\Delta H_{I^{\pm}}^{\mp}$, kJ mol ⁻¹ $\Delta S_{I^{\pm}}$, J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ | 60.5 37.6 | 64.7 -17.6 |
| ΔH° , kJ mol ⁻¹ | -9.3 | ≃0 20 |
| ΔS° , J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ | 13 | 22 |

^a Reference 473; I = 1 M KCl.

base (NEt₃). A very interesting aspect of the results in Table XXXVII is that the amidine moieties in **219a** and **219b** are more basic than those of the parent amidines.⁴⁷³ This explains why these adducts fail to cyclize in the presence of excess amidine. One should also note that the meta-bridging reaction of amidines leads to a useful synthesis of 6,7-benzomorphans.⁴⁷⁴

D. Other Carbanionic Complexes

Phenoxide ions act as ambident nucleophiles, forming both oxygen- and carbon-bonded complexes with activated aromatics.^{283-288,416,417} While the former are most often detected as short-lived species (section IIC), the latter have the high stability typical of C-bonded adducts. The TNB-phenoxide complex 131 is stable in



acidic media where it is readily converted into the nitronic acid 222. The pK_a of 222 is of the order of -1in aqueous H_2SO_4 .²⁸⁷ Deprotonation of the enolate-type 223 intermediate is rate limiting in the formation of the 1-naphthoxide-TNB adduct in MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures.⁴¹⁷ Formation of the C-bonded complexes 224 follows that of the N-bonded complexes (see section IVB) in reactions of TNB with indolide ions having a free 3-position. Adducts 224 decompose very slowly in aqueous acidic solution: k^{H^+} is equal to 1.8×10^{-4} and 4×10^{-2} mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ for the 5-nitroindole– and indole–TNB complexes, respectively, at 25 °C.351 Addition of 2,4,6-trinitrobenzylanion to the 3-position of TNT results in the formation of the C-bonded complex 52 (see section IIB3b). Rate and equilibrium parameters for this reaction are given in Table XII.

VII. Effect of Structure on Complex Stability

Preceding sections have emphasized several correlations between structure and stability. It is clear that the nature of the aromatic or heteroaromatic nucleus. the number and kind of nitro and/or other electronwithdrawing groups, the substituted or unsubstituted character of the site of nucleophilic attack, as well as steric effects adjacent to this position, and the nature of the entering nucleophile are important factors affecting thermodynamic stabilities of σ complexes. The influence of these various factors has been pointed out in specific instances in sections II–VI. An attempt is now made to draw general quantitative conclusions. In the benzene and naphthalene series, there has been some effort to estimate the influence of some structural changes on complex stability in terms of free energy values.^{11,35,133} These estimates are based on the ΔG values obtained from equilibrium constant determinations and on the assumption that, when the formation of two closely similar complexes C and C' is considered, the difference $\delta \Delta G$ in the free energies of complex formation is essentially a reflection of the difference in the free energies of C and C'. In other words, the difference in the free energies of the reactants forming C and C' would have a negligible effect on the relative stabilities of these complexes. Although such an approximation may not be warranted, eq 93,

$$\delta \Delta G = RT \ln \left(K_{\rm C} / K_{\rm C'} \right) \tag{93}$$

where $K_{\rm C}$ and $K_{\rm C}$ are equilibrium constants for the formation of C and C', has been useful in providing free energy contributions $\delta\Delta G$ associated with a benzo fusion and the presence of various substituents in different ring positions.^{11,35,133} The information accumulated on the formation of methoxy and gem-dimethoxy complexes has been a primary source for such calculations. The data are summarized in Table XXXVIII. The aim of this section is to focus on these calculations, to critically review the limits of their validity, and to show the necessity of reevaluating data previously derived.

A. Effect of Benzo Fusion and Methoxy Substitution

Comparison of 225 and 226 has been made to assess the free energy contribution of the added aromatic ring (benzo fusion) to the stabilization of complexes.^{11,133} When the equilibrium constants for formation of 225a and 226a in MeOH, 225b and 226b in MeOH, and 225c and 226c in H₂O and MeOH (references and data in Tables I, VI, XIII, and XIX) are compared, rather consistent $\delta\Delta G$ values of 29.3, 30.1, and 30.9 kJ mol⁻¹ are obtained.¹³³ By use of the recently reported $K_{\rm C}$ (= $KK_{-4}^{\rm OH}$)^{65,364} values for 225d and 226d in H₂O (see Table XXIX), a $\delta\Delta G$ value of \simeq 35 kJ mol⁻¹ is derived.



TABLE XXXVIII. Relative Stabilizing Powers of Benzo Fusion and Substituents in Benzene and Naphthalene Series

| | $\delta \Delta G$, kJ mol ⁻¹ | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|----------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | previous estimations | | | | |
| effect of | this work | ref 133 | ref 11 | | | |
| benzo fusion | -33 | -30 | -29.3 | | | |
| 1-OMe | -17.5 | -17.5 | | | | |
| 2-NO, | -42^{a} | -43 | -37.6 | | | |
| 3-NO, | -21.7 | -21.7 | | | | |
| 4-NO, | -48 ^c | -88 | -125 | | | |
| 5•NO, | -12.1 | -12.1 | -11.7 | | | |
| 6-NO ² | -12.1 | -12.1 | | | | |
| 7-NO, | -15 | -15 | -15.9 | | | |
| 8-NO, | -10 | -10 | | | | |
| 2-SO,CF, | -46.7^{b} | | | | | |
| $4 \cdot SO_{2}CF_{3}$ | -58^{c} | | | | | |
| 2-aza | -45^{a} | | | | | |
| 4-aza | -43 ^c | | | | | |
| 2-CN | -34^{a} | -35 | | | | |
| 4-CN | -37.5 ^c | -77.3 | | | | |
| 2-SO ₂ Me | -32.5^{a} | | | | | |
| 4-SO ₂ Me | -35^{c} | | | | | |
| 4-CHO | -36.5^{c} | | | | | |
| 2-COOMe | -21.5^{a} | -25 | | | | |
| 4-COOMe | -27.8^{c} | -67.7 | | | | |
| 2-CF ₃ | -23.2^{a} | -26 | | | | |
| 4-CF ₃ | -25^{c} | -66.5 | | | | |
| 2-Cl | - 2 2 ^b | -23.4 | | | | |
| 4-C1 | -0.50 | -50.6 | | | | |

^a Estimated by comparing 229 to 225a. ^b Estimated by comparing 230 to 225b. ^c Estimated by comparing 232 to 231.

Dewar has calculated that the difference in resonance energy between benzene and a cyclohexadienylide ring is 41.8 kJ mol⁻¹ whereas that between naphthalene and an analogous C-1 complex is 8.36 kJ mol⁻¹.⁴⁷⁵ The difference in these two values (i.e., 33.5 kJ mol⁻¹) is remarkably close to the experimentally measured $\delta\Delta G$ values due to benzo fusion. It thus appears that a stabilizing contribution of \simeq 33 kJ mol⁻¹ may be safely assigned to this latter.¹³³ Comparison of the stabilities of the benzene complexes 227 and 228 in MeOH or



MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures (see Tables I, III, and VI) yields $\delta\Delta G$ values in the range 16.7-18.8 kJ mol⁻¹ for the additional stabilization by a methoxy group covalently attached to the 1-position. The methoxy group in the precursors of **228a-e** is sterically crowded. The free energy contribution thus measured reflects both release of steric compression which occurs upon formation of **228a-e** and greater stabilization of double methoxy substitution relative to a monomethoxy substitution on the sp³ carbon of a complex.^{9,11,52,78} Interestingly, comparison of **225a** and **225b** provides a $\delta\Delta G$ value of only 10 kJ mol⁻¹. This is because formation of **228b** involves much less relief of steric strain than that of its substituted analogues **228a-e**.³¹ In contrast, comparing **226a** and **226b** in MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures provides a $\delta \Delta G$ value of 17.5 kJ mol⁻¹.



B. Effect of Electron-Withdrawing Substituents

The free-energy contribution of ortho substituents is best calculated by comparing the monomethoxy complexes 229 and 225a (see Table I) rather than the 1,1-



dimethoxy complexes 230 and 225b (see Table VI). Due to the differences in the steric factors associated with the formation of 230 and 225b, the $\delta\Delta G$ values obtained in the second comparison are all higher by $\simeq 8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ than those obtained in the first comparison. The effect assigned to the o-NO₂ group (42 kJ mol⁻¹)¹³³ is consistent with the difference in stabilization energy predicted by composite molecule calculations, or Miller's empirical method, between a 2,4-dinitro and a 2,4,6trinitrocyclohexadienylide ring.^{7,11}

Two earlier estimates of the stabilizing effect of a p-NO₂ group have yielded $\delta\Delta G$ values of 125^{11} and 88 kJ mol⁻¹.¹³³ Both of these values were based on comparisons involving the complex 231. However, the



reported equilibrium constant of 10^{-19} assigned to $231^{11,133}$ is not suitable for eq 93 because it was a K_a value,¹⁴¹ as defined by eq 5, and not a K_1 value, as defined by eq 2 (see section IIA). Using the correct K_1 value for 231 in MeOH (7.5×10^{-5} L mol⁻¹)⁵⁶ and comparing with that for 228a (=13a; $K_1 = 17000$ L mol⁻¹)¹¹³ yield a $\delta\Delta G^{4-NO_2}$ of 48 kJ mol⁻¹. This is considerably lower than those previously calculated. However, in agreement with theoretical predictions,^{11,26,27} it is greater than that for an o-NO₂ group: $\delta\Delta G^{4-NO_2} - \delta\Delta G^{2-NO_2} \simeq 6$ kJ mol⁻¹. In terms of the effect on the equilibrium constant, this means that a p-NO₂ group is about 12

times as effective as an o-NO₂ group in stabilizing a complex. This result looks quite reasonable when compared with the experimental observation.

The effect of other para substituents has been estimated by comparing complexes 232 and 231. As can be seen in Table XXXVIII, all the $\delta\Delta G$ values thus obtained are lower by $\simeq 40$ kJ mol⁻¹ than those previously derived from various comparisons, necessitating the use of $\delta\Delta G^{4\text{-NO}_2} = 88$ kJ mol⁻¹ as a reference.¹³³ In the naphthalene series, the effect of adding a NO₂ group at the 5-, 6-, 7- and 8-positions has been evaluated by comparing appropriate pairs of complexes in Table XIII.¹³³ The additional stabilization effect of these groups is considerably less than for NO₂ groups directly bonded to the ring which undergoes nucleophilic attack.

C. Reliability of the Results

It has been pointed out throughout the review that, other factors remaining constant, the order of Meisenheimer complex stabilities parallels the electron-withdrawing power of the substituents attached to the ring(s). Another general observation is that complex stability is more sensitive to changes in substituents para to the site of nucleophilic attack than ortho to it, the stability being particularly affected when a p-NO₂ group is removed or replaced by another substituent. The $\delta \Delta G$ values in Table XXXVIII reflect these observations. However, the assumption that differences in reactant free energies do not appreciably govern relative stabilities of C and C' must be kept in mind. For example, kinetic experiments have provided evidence that conjugation between the 1-OMe and 4-NO₂ groups is important in TNA (see structures 17a, 17b, in section IIB2a) and result in greater ground-state stabilization of this compound relative to other 4-X-2,6-DNA (most especially 2,6-DNA).^{52,56,78} On this basis. one might expect the free energy contribution of the 4-NO₂ group to be somewhat underestimated by comparing 231 and 228a through eq 93. Surprisingly, when $\delta \Delta G^{4-NO_2} = 48 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ is used as the reference, assuming additivity of free energy contributions and comparing the complexes 232 (X \neq NO₂) with their trinitro analogue, $\delta \Delta G$ values remarkably consistent with those directly determined by comparing 232 and 231 are obtained for the $4-SO_2CF_3$, CN, SO_2Me , CHO, COOMe, CF_3 , and Cl groups. Comparison of the hydroxy complexes 10a' and 10b' with the TNB complex 5a in 50:50



 H_2O-Me_2SO (see Table I) also yields similar $\delta \Delta G^{4-X}$ values for X = CN and CF₃. This is a noteworthy result since steric and resonance factors are reduced in the precursors of these complexes.

More generally, the $\delta\Delta G$ values of Table XXXVIII have been used to predict Meisenheimer complex stability. Fair to good agreement between experimentally determined and predicted relative free energies of stabilization was found for more than 100 pairs of benzene and naphthalene complexes. Schemes like those outlined in eq 94 and 95 were used. In these latter ex-



amples, the predicted ratios K^{72a}/K^{5a} and K^{13a}/K^{32} obtained from eq 93 are 1400 and 4.4×10^4 , respectively. while those directly measured are 2950 and 4.25×10^4 . respectively. One should note that most earlier comparisons 35,133 are not affected by changes in the individual $\delta \Delta G$ values assigned to the para substituents. Though surprising, the remarkable consistency of the results tends to confirm the hypothesis that groundstate effects are in most cases unimportant in determining the relative thermodynamic stabilities of structurally similar complexes.^{11,133} It also supports the additivity of the $\delta \Delta G$ values attributed to structural changes.^{35,133} This is further substantiated by the observation of satisfactory relationships between the log K for complex formation and the corresponding substituent constants σ_8 obtained from summation of appropriate individual substituent constants.¹³³ Equation 93 is therefore useful in estimating unknown stabilities and reactivities of polysubstituted arenes. Similar treatments should be applicable to heteroarenes. However, the available data are yet too limited to allow statistically valid predictions.

VIII. Solvent Effects

When solvent effects in Meisenheimer complex chemistry are considered, an essential feature is undoubtedly the ability of dipolar aprotic solvents, especially Me₂SO, to greatly enhance the stability of 1:1 complexes. Calorimetric studies have provided insights into the origin of this effect for the reactions of TNA with sodium methoxide to give 13a (eq 96) and of TNB



with sodium thiophenoxide to give 166a (eq 97) in MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures.³⁷⁻³⁹ The heats of formation $\Delta H_{\rm R}$ of 13a and 166a and the heats of transfer $\Delta H_{\rm T}$ of the starting materials (TNA, TNB, NaOMe, NaSC₆H₅) and complexes have been determined over a wide range

TABLE XXXIX. Heats of Reaction and Heats of Transfer for the TNA-Sodium Methoxide System in MeOH-Me₂SO Solutions at $25 \,^{\circ}C^{a}$

| % Me ₂ SO (v/v) | ΔH_{R}^{b} (TNA + NaOMe) | $\Delta H_{\rm T}^{b}$ (TNA) | ∆ <i>H</i> T ^b (NaOMe) | ${}^{\Delta}H_{\mathrm{T}}{}^{b}$ (13a) | ΔG_{R}^{b} (TNA + NaOMe) | ΔS_{R}^{c} (TNA + NaOMe) | $\Delta G_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ddagger b}$ |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| 0 | -20.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -24.12 | 12.75 | 0 |
| 10 | -26.80 | -3.80 | 2.5 | -7.8 | -28.30 | 5,06 | 0.042 |
| 20 | -29.17 | -4.60 | 5.43 | -8.02 | -30.05 | 2.92 | -0.21 |
| 30 | -35.10 | -5.18 | 9.20 | -11.08 | -32.35 | -10.24 | -0.38 |
| 50 | -43.05 | -6.02 | 16.30 | -14.17 | | | |
| 60 | -49.32 | | | | | | |
| 70 | | -7.19 | 29.26 | | | | |
| 80 | -63.95 | -8.07 | 34,45 | -17.18 | | | |
| 95.4 | -85.70 | -7.56 | 44.48 | -28.34 | | | |

TABLE XL. Heats of Reaction and Heats of Transfer for the TNB-Sodium Thiophenoxide System in MeOH-Me₂SO Solutions at 20 °C

| % Me ₂ SO (v/v) | $\frac{\Delta H_{\rm R}^{\ b}}{({\rm TNB} + {\rm NaSC}_6 {\rm H}_5)}$ | ${}^{\Delta H_{\mathrm{T}}b}_{(\mathrm{TNB})}$ | $\frac{\Delta H_{\rm T}^{b}}{({\rm NaSC_6H_5})}$ | $\Delta H_{\mathrm{T}}^{b}$ (166a) | ΔG_{R}^{b} (TNB + NaSC ₆ H ₅) | $\frac{\Delta S_{R}^{c}}{(\text{TNB }+\text{NaSC}_{6}\text{H}_{5})}$ |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 0 | | 0 | 0 | | -1.55 | |
| 10 | -15.42 | -2.67 | 4.26 | 0 | -4.05 | -38.83 |
| 20 | -17.60 | -3.43 | 2.30 | -4.89 | -5.93 | -39.87 |
| 30 | -17.18 | -3.85 | 2.38 | -4.80 | -8.40 | -29.93 |
| 40 | -21.36 | -3.18 | -0.17 | -10.87 | -10.45 | -37.20 |
| 50 | -22.90 | -3.97 | | -17.14 | -12.75 | -34.70 |
| 60 | -27.67 | -3.18 | -8.36 | -25.37 | -15.60 | -41.30 |
| 70 | -29.68 | -3.30 | -10.07 | -29.26 | -18.68 | -37.53 |
| 80 | -31.43 | -3 | -11.16 | -31.77 | -20.56 | -37.11 |
| 95 | -37.41 | -3.80 | -13.63 | -41 | -26.80 | -36.24 |
| 100 | -41.50 | -3,13 | | | -27.71 | -47.11 |

^a Reference 39. ^b kJ mol⁻¹. ^c J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹.

of MeOH-Me₂SO mixtures. They are listed in Tables XXXIX and XL together with the free energy and entropy changes $\Delta G_{\rm R}$ and $\Delta S_{\rm R}$. In the TNA-NaOMe system, the free energies and entropies of transfer $\Delta G_{\rm T}$ and $\Delta S_{\rm T}$ of both reactants and the complex as well as the free energy of transfer $\Delta G_{\rm T}^*$ of the transition state are also available in the Me₂SO concentration range of 0-30%.³⁸ The transfers of both TNA and 13a are enthalpy controlled, as is the transfer of NaOMe. The latter is remarkable in that $\Delta S_{\rm T}^{\rm NaOMe} \simeq 0$, i.e., $\Delta G_{\rm T}^{\rm NaOMe} \simeq \Delta H_{\rm T}^{\rm NaOMe}$. Interestingly, the free energy of the transition state is essentially insensitive to solvent. The overall reactions to give 13a and 166a are both enthalpy controlled. In fact, changes in $\Delta G_{\rm R}$ exactly parallel those in $\Delta H_{\rm R}$ for the formation of 166a, the reaction being isoentropic, except in 100% Me₂SO.³⁹

On the basis of these data, the solvent effect on the thermodynamics of reactions 96 and 97 is nicely illustrated by Figure 9. The most striking feature is that the heat of reaction between TNA and NaOMe to give 13a becomes considerably more exothermic on transfer from MeOH to Me₂SO than does the heat of reaction between TNB and $NaSC_6H_5$ to give 166a. Going from MeOH to Me₂SO therefore results in a much greater increase in the equilibrium constant K for formation of 13a³⁸ than in that for formation of 166a.³⁹ The reason for this is obvious. On the one hand, the heats of transfer of 13a and 166a as well as those of TNA and TNB are of the same order of magnitude. Since the two complexes as well as the two precursor aromatics are quite similar in structure, this is to be expected. On the other hand, the heat of transfer of $NaSC_6H_5$ is exothermic while that of NaOMe is strongly endothermic. In reaction 97, both reactants and product are becoming more stable as the Me₂SO concentration increases. $\Delta H_{\rm R}$ is negative only because the increase in the stability of 166a is greater. In reaction 96, the enormous increase in ease of formation of 13a in Me₂SO is due not only to the increased stabilization of this complex but also to the decreased stabilization of the nucleophile. In fact, the latter predominates.

The results are fully consistent with well-known differences in hydrogen-bonding power of protic and dipolar aprotic solvents and the ability of the latter to stabilize large polarizable anions.477,478 In this regard, comparison of $\Delta H_{\rm T}$ values for NaOMe, NaSC₆H₅, and the complexes 13a and 166a is meaningful. In all cases, the cation is Na⁺, and its contribution will be the same in each case, so the observed differences in $\Delta H_{\rm T}$ must be due to the anions. For 13a and 166a which have a highly delocalized negative charge, $\Delta H_{\rm T}$ is quite negative. The increased stabilization of 13a and 166a contributes about 28 and 41 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively, to the increased heats of reaction in 95% Me₂SO. For the less polarizable, less delocalized thiophenoxide, $\Delta H_{\rm T}$ values are still negative but significantly smaller in absolute magnitude than those of 13a and 166a. For the small, "hard" in HSAB theory, 335,479 MeO- ion which is a strong hydrogen-bond acceptor, $\Delta H_{\rm T}$ is largely positive ($\simeq 45$ kJ mol⁻¹ in 95% Me₂SO), reflecting the expected decrease in solvation of this ion on going from MeOH to Me₂SO.477

The above data for reactions 96 and 97 provide a good frame of reference for understanding the effect of Me₂SO on the stability of other Meisenheimer complexes. In this regard, the much greater increase in K for 13a³⁸ than for 166a^{39,95} on transfer from MeOH to Me₂SO is essentially a reflection of differences in $\Delta H_{\rm T}$ values of NaOMe and NaSC₆H₅. This suggests that the ability of Me₂SO to enhance the thermodynamic sta-



Figure 9. (a) Heats of reaction (ΔH_R) and heats of transfer (ΔH_T) for the TNA-sodium methoxide system (1,1-complex formation) in MeOH-Me₂SO solutions at 25 °C.^{37,38} (b) Heats of reaction (ΔH_R) and heats of transfer (ΔH_T) for the TNB-sodium thiophenoxide system in MeOH-Me₂SO solutions at 20 °C.³⁹

bility of complexes formed from similarly activated substrates but different nucleophiles should depend primarily on the nature of these nucleophiles. Experimental observations confirm this expectation. It is generally observed that log plots of the equilibrium constant K for complex formation vs. the mole fraction of Me₂SO are all linear for a variety of reactions.^{56,288,319} Even though such linear plots are probably fortuitous, it is noteworthy that the slopes can differ widely. In the TNB and TNA series, much higher slopes are thus observed with hydroxide, alkoxide, or sulfite complexes (>10) than with phenoxide (4.9) or thiophenoxide (4.6) complexes.^{56,82,288,319} This trend is well consistent with the notion that small or doubly charged (i.e., OH⁻, RO⁻, and SO_3^{2-} ions are much more susceptible to destabilization by Me₂SO than the large and polarizable $C_6H_5O^-$ and $C_6H_5S^-$ ions.^{477,478}

Another interesting comparison is between complexes formed from the reactions of a given nucleophile with different activated aromatics. However, only data for hydroxide and methoxide complexes of substituted dinitrobenzenes and dinitroanisoles are available.^{56,159} As mentioned in section IIB, the effect of Me₂SO on complex stability is very similar in each of these series. This is simply because destabilization of OH⁻ and MeO⁻ is so important in determining the $\Delta H_{\rm R}$ values for formation of the various complexes that it completely overshadows the effect of the differences in the $\Delta H_{\rm T}$ values of the aromatics and complexes.

In all systems studied, the effect of Me_2SO on the stability of 1:1 complexes is the result of an increase in the rate constant of formation k_f and a decrease in the rate constant of decomposition k_d .^{32,53,54,56,160,288,319} The relative contributions of the changes in k_f and k_d to changes in K are governed by relative differences in stabilization of the reactants, complexes, and respective transition states on going from MeOH to Me₂SO. The data of Table XXXIX provide a clear explanation of

this phenomenon in the case of the TNA-MeO⁻ system.³⁸ Since the free energy of the corresponding transition state is essentially unaffected by the solvent, it is apparent that changes in k_f and k_d parallel, respectively, the destabilization of the reactants, in fact that of MeO⁻, and the increased stabilization of 13a. Just as for log K, the dependence of log k_f and log k_d on $N_{\text{Me}_2\text{SO}}$ is generally linear,^{56,288,319} the slopes of the plots being primarily dependent on the nucleophile. This is illustrated in Figure 3 which refers to the reactions of MeO⁻ with a number of 4-X-2,6-dinitroanisoles (section IIIB2a). Such linear correlations have proven very useful in estimating rate and equilibrium parameters not directly measurable in water or MeOH.^{56,288,319}

In contrast, with 1:1 complexes, the stability of 1:2 complexes decreases on transfer from protic to dipolar aprotic solvents.³⁰⁵ This is consistent with destabilization of such anions which bear at least two relatively localized negative charges and are therefore poorly solvated by Me_2SO .

IX. Electrolyte and Micellar Effects

Salt effects on σ -complex formation and decomposition processes have been reported for a number of systems, but in only in a few cases have these been conducted in a systematic fashion. Fendler et al. have studied the effect of various inert electrolytes on the decomposition of 13a in aqueous solution at 25 °C (eq 98).^{480,481} Lithium perchlorate and lithium chloride

$$\begin{array}{c} MeO \\ O_2N \\ \hline \\ O_2N \\ \hline \\ NO_2 \\ NO_2 \\ NO_2 \\ 13a \end{array}$$
 NA⁺ $\xrightarrow{*-1}$ TNA + NaOMe (98)

enhance whereas all the other electrolyte investigated decrease the rate of decomposition of 13a. The reactivity order LiClO₄ > LiCl > NaNO₃ > NaCl > NaBr > Me₄NCl > NaClO₄ > KCl > Na₂SO₄ > p-MeC₆H₄SO₃Na arises from a smaller destabilization of the initial state (13a) than of the transition state. It is essentially the reverse of that found for the reactions of anionic nucleophiles with 2,4-dinitrohalogenobenzenes in which the rate-determining step is the formation and not the decomposition of the intermediate σ complex.⁴⁸²

An important observation relating to salt effects is the finding that ion pairing affects the equilibrium formation of 1,1-dialkoxy complexes in alcohols (eq 99).^{30,57,59,60,119-121,123} Intensive studies of this effect have



been made in MeOH where the measured equilibrium constant K_c for formation of 233 (R = Me) depends to some extent on the nature of the cation and the base concentration.^{114,119–121} This is illustrated by Figure 10



Figure 10. variation of the equilibrium constant K_c with base concentration for formation of the 1,1-dimethoxy complex of 4-methoxycarbonyl-2,6-dinitroanisole with the following methoxides: (A) lithium; (B) tetra-*n*-butylammonium; (C) sodium; (C') NaOMe with 18-crown-6-ether; (D) potassium. $t = 25 \, {}^{\circ}C.^{120,123}$

which refers to 4-methoxycarbonyl-2,6-dinitroanisole.¹²⁰ With *n*-Bu₄NOMe as the base, one observes an increase in K_c which is much less pronounced than with KOMe or NaOMe while with LiOMe the effect is reversed, a slight decrease in K_c being observed with increasing methoxide concentration. Significantly, addition of small concentrations of Ba²⁺ and Ca²⁺ results in much larger variations¹²¹ while addition of crown ethers causes only small changes in K_c .¹²³ For a given cation, the effect of increasing the base concentration is also strongly dependent on the structure of the parent anisole. Crampton has proposed the ion association scheme of eq 100 (R = Me) to account for these results.

In terms of this scheme, ion association will affect the measured equilibrium constant so that K_c is given by eq 101 where K_1 is the thermodynamic equilibrium

$$K_{\rm c} = \frac{K_1(1 + K_{\rm SOR^-,M^+}[M^+])}{1 + K_{\rm RO^-,M^+}[M^+]}$$
(101)

constant in terms of free ions (eq 2 in section IIA). In agreement with the observed trends in K_c , analysis of the data shows that for $M^+ = K^+$, Na^+ , or n-Bu₄ N^+ , the complexes 233 (R = Me) are stabilized by ion-pair formation to a greater extent than MeO⁻ ion (K_{MeO^-,M^+} $< K_{SOR^-,M^+}$) while the reverse holds for $M^+ = Li^+$. The overall changes in K_c result from increases in the rates of formation and decreases in the rate of decomposition with increasing the methoxide concentration.¹¹⁹ The apparent decrease in the rate of decomposition is well predicted by eq 100 since, in dilute solutions where association of MOMe is negligible compared to that of **233**, the observed value is given by $k_{-1}/(1 + K_{\text{SOR}^-,M^+}[M^+])$.^{119,128} In contrast, the increase in the rate of formation is probably the result of a genuine salt effect.^{119,156} However, at high methoxide concentrations, it may also be due to the greater reactivity of the methoxide ion pairs compared to that of free MeO⁻ ions. In contrast with complexes **233**, those of type **234** (R



= Me, R' = H, OMe)¹²¹ and spiro complexes 235⁶³ show no evidence for apreciable association with cations in MeOH. On this basis, the tendency of anions 233 to associate would arise from a specific interaction of the cations with the oxygen atoms of the methoxy groups at C₁ and the ortho substituents (X = NO₂, COOMe, Cl) as described in structure 236 (R = Me).^{121,123}

Recent studies of the formation of complexes 233 and 234 (R = Et, Pr, *i*-Pr) in EtOH, PrOH, and *i*-PrOH also support the facile formation of associations like 236.^{57,59,60} In these solvents, the rate constants for attack of free and ion-paired RO⁻ ions on the parents (S) and those for the decomposition of the free and ionpaired complexes were determined. For 1,1-complex formation, the alkoxide ion pairs show in general greater reactivity than free RO⁻ ions while the ion-paired complexes revert to the reactants less rapidly than their unpaired analogues. In contrast, alkoxide ion pairs are generally less reactive than free RO⁻ ions toward unsubstituted carbons (see section IIB). Table XLI summarizes some thermodynamic data for sodium alkoxide systems.

Reaction 63 which involves only uncharged reactants is subject to a large salt effect in the presence of tetraethylammonium chloride in Me₂SO.³⁶³ The corresponding equilibrium constant K (eq 64 in section IVA1b) increases by 340-fold on increasing the Et₄NCl concentration from 0 to 1.2 M. This increase in Kresults from a 2.5-fold increase in the forward rate constant and a 140-fold decrease in the reverse rate constant. Though in the same direction, much less pronounced changes in these parameters are obtained with Et₄NClO₄. This specific and unusual catalytic effect of Et₄NCl might originate from association of



chloride ion with protonated Dabco to yield the Dabco H^+ ...Cl⁻ heteroconjugate complex with a consequent decrease in the rate of the reverse reaction.³⁶³

The cationic micellar hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) increases, the anionic micellar sodium dodecyl sulfate (NaLS) decreases, and the uncharged polyoxyethylene (15) nonylphenol (Igepal CO-730) does not affect the equilibrium constant for formation of the hydroxyl complex **72a** in aqueous solution.⁴⁸³ These



effects arise primarily from those on the rate constant of formation k_1 . CTAB increases k_1 by a factor of 36, NaLS decreases it by a factor of 43, and nonionic Igepal CO-730 has no appreciable effect. The results resemble those observed in S_NAr reactions of 2,4-dinitrohalobenzenes⁴⁸⁴ and are explicable in terms of simple electrostatic interactions. Appreciable catalysis by the cationic micelles results from the incorporation of TTNN into micellar phase resulting in an electrostatically more favorable environment for attack by the incoming OH⁻ ion. Similarly, rate retardation by anionic NaLS is explicable in terms of repulsion of OH⁻ from the surface of the micelle-substrate complex.⁴⁸³ The binding constants between TTNN and CTAB and between TTNN and NaLS are 1.9×10^5 and 3.6×10^3 L mol⁻¹, respectively. As that of the complexes 13a, 70a, 70d, and 136a, the spontaneous decomposition of 72a is retarded by cationic and neutral micellar surfactants and almost unaffected by anionic NaLS.480,481 However, the magnitude of the rate retardation for complex decomposition is markedly dependent on the substrate. Thus, the $k_{-1}/k_{-1}^{\text{CTAB}}$ values for 72a, 13a, 70a, 70d, and 136a are equal to 2.8, 12, 3, 2, and 660, respectively. For the most part, these ratios reflect differences in destabilization of the various transition states by CTAB.481,483

Dodecylammonium carboxylates considerably enhance the rate of decomposition of 13a and 70a in benzene (containing 0.05% Me₂SO to dissolve the complexes).⁴⁸⁵ In the case of 13a, k_{-1} in the presence

TABLE XLI. Association Constants of Sodium Ions with Alkoxide Ions $(K_{\text{RO}^-,\text{Na}^+})$ and 1,1-Dialkoxy Complexes 233 $(K_{\text{SOR}^-,\text{Na}^+})$ at 25 °C

| | | | $Y = NO_2; X =$ | | | | | $X = NO_2; Y =$ | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| solvent | R | NaOR | COOR | Cl | NO ₂ | Н | COOR | CF ₃ | | |
| methanol ethanol propanol 2-propanol | Me Et Pr <i>i</i> -Pr | 4.9 ^{<i>a</i>} 4.9 ^{<i>b</i>} 672 ^{<i>c</i>} 1.9 × 10 ⁴ ^{<i>a</i>} | $ \begin{array}{r} 160^{d} \\ 3300^{d} \\ 1.05 \times 10^{4} \stackrel{e}{} \\ 1.3 \times 10^{5} \stackrel{d}{} \\ \end{array} $ | $25^{d} \\ 270^{d} \\ 1100^{e} \\ 1 \times 10^{4} d$ | 70 ^d 1000 ^e | $200^{d} \\ 1500^{e} \\ 6000^{d}$ | 100 ^f | 100 ^f | | |

^a Barthel, J.; Wachter, R.; Knerr, M. Electrochim. Acta 1971, 16, 723. ^b Barthel, J.; Schwitzgebel, G.; Wachter, R. Z. Phys. Chem. (Wiesbaden) 1967, 55, 33. ^c Barthel, J.; Justice, J. C.; Wachter, R. Z. Phys. Chem. (Wiesbaden) 1973, 84, 113. ^d Reference 59. ^e Reference 60. ^f Reference 123.

of dodecylammonium benzoate (DABz) aggregates $(k_{-1}^{\text{DABz}} = 0.943 \text{ s}^{-1})$ is greater by a factor of 6.2 × 10⁴ than k_{-1} in pure benzene $(k_{-1}^{\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{6}} = 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1})$; for comparison $k_{-1}^{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}} = 5.08 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Saturation-type kinetics are, however, observed with respect to both the surfactant and 13a. The rate enhancement of the decomposition of 13a and 70a is explicable in terms of solubilization of these complexes in the polar cavity of the micelles and a mechanism involving proton transfer from the ammonium group on the surfactant to the leaving methoxyl group.^{485,486} Phospholipids, like phosphatidylethanolamine 237 and lecithin 238, also enhance the rate of decomposition of 13a in benzene.485 237 is a better catalyst than DABz because it has a higher capability to transfer protons from its ammonium group. In contrast, lecithin, which cannot transfer proton to 13a, has a much smaller catalytic effectiveness than DABz or 237.485



The base-catalyzed decomposition of the 1,1-dihydro complex 239 in aqueous solution is unique in that it vields 3.5.3'.5'-tetranitroazoxybenzene as the final product. Bovin serum albumine (BSA) acts as a macromolecular catalyst in accelerating this reaction by a factor of $\sim 10^4$ in the neutral to slightly basic region. At higher pH values (11-12), the base-catalyzed decomposition of 239 is rapid ($t_{1/2} \sim 105$ s at pH 11.5), but in this region where BSA is known to undergo conformational transition rate accelerations due to this protein are elíminated.

Acknowledgments. I especially express my gratitude to Professor J. F. Bunnett who has encouraged me in this work and to Professors J. W. Akitt, C. F. Bernasconi, R. Schaal, and M. J. Strauss for their helpful comments during preparation of the manuscript. I am also indebted to Professors Buncel, Crampton, Fendler, and Norris for providing preprints of manuscripts submitted for publication or allowing reproduction of figures from their previous papers. My warmest thanks go to my co-workers, who made possible part of the work described in this review, notably G. Ah-Kow, A. P. Chatrousse, J. C. Halle, and F. Millot. I also thank Sylvie Huet for typing the manuscript. Finally, I wish to thank my wife and my daughters for their great patience during the writing of this review.

X. References and Notes

- Jackson, C. J.; Gazzolo, F. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1900, 23, 376.
- Meisenheimer, J. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1902, 323, 205.
 Bunnett, J. F.; Zahler, R. E. Chem. Rev. 1951, 49, 273.

- Bunnett, J. F. Q. Rev., Chem. Soc. 1958, 12, 1.
 Foster, R.; Fyfe, C. A. Rev. Pure Appl. Chem. 1966, 16, 61.
 Buncel, E.; Norris, A. R.; Russell, K. E. Q. Rev., Chem. Soc.
- 1968, 22, 123.
- (7) Miller, J. "Aromatic Nucleophilic Substitution"; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1968.

- (8) Buck, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1969, 8, 120.
 (9) Crampton, M. R. Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 1969, 7, 211.
 (10) Hall, T. N.; Poranski, C. F. In "The Chemistry of the Nitro and Nitroso Groups", Part 2; Feuer, H., Ed.; Interscience: New York, 1970, p 329.

- New York, 1970, p 329.
 (11) Strauss, M. J. Chem. Rev. 1970, 70, 667.
 (12) Strauss, M. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1974, 7, 181.
 (13) Gitis, S. S.; Kaminskii, A. Ya. Usp. Khim. 1978, 47, 1970; Chem. Abstr. 1979, 90, 71249.
 (14) Sekiguchi, S. Yugi Grosei Kagaku Kyokaishi 1978, 36, 633.
 (15) Caldin, E. F.; Long, G. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 1955, 2020 2020 226, 263.
- (16)Ainscough, J. B.; Caldin, E. F. J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 2540. Bernasconi, C. F. MTP Int. Rev. Sci.; Org. Chem., Ser. One (17)
- 1973. 3. 33.
- Bernasconi, C. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1978, 11, 147. (18)(19)
- Bernasconi, C. F. Chimia 1980, 34, 1. Bernasconi, C. F. Chimia 1980, 34, 1. Bernasconi, C. F. "Relaxation Kinetics"; Academic Press: New York, 1976. (20)
- (21)
- (22)
- (23)
- New 1018, 1910. Ross, S. D. Prog. Phys. Org. Chem. 1963, 1, 31. Pietra, F. Q. Rev., Chem. Soc. 1969, 23, 504. Bunting, J. W. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1979, 25, 1. Destro, R.; Grammacioli, C.; Simonetta, M. Acta Crystallogr. (24)**1968**, 24, 1369. Ueda, H.; Sakabe, N.; Tanaka, J.; Furasaki, A. Bull. Chem.
- (25) Soc. Jpn. 1968, 41, 2866. Caveng, P.; Fisher, P. B.; Heilbronner, E.; Miller, A. L.;
- (26)Zollinger, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1967, 50, 848.
- Hosoya, H.; Hosoya, S.; Nagakura, S. Theor. Chim. Acta 1968, 12, 117. (27)
- (28)Benesi, H. A.; Hildebrand, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, 71, 2703.
- Rochester, C. H. "Acidity Functions"; Academic Press: New (29)York, 1970.
- Terrier, F. Ann. Chim. (Paris) 1969, 4, 153.
- Crampton, M. R.; El Ghariani, M. A.; Khan, H. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1972, 1178. (31)
- (32)Fendler, E. J.; Fendler, J. H.; Griffin, C. E.; Larsen, J. W. J.
- Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 287. Larsen, J. W.; Fendler, J. H.; Fendler, E. J. J. Am. Chem. (33)Soc. 1969, 91, 5903.
- (34) Buncel, E.; Norris, A. R.; Proudlock, W.; Russell, K. E. Can. J. Chem. 1969, 47, 4129.
 (35) Murphy, R. M.; Wulff, C. A.; Strauss, M. J. J. Am. Chem.
- Soc. **197**4, 96, 2678
- Murphy, R. M.; Wulff, C. A.; Strauss, M. J. J. Org. Chem. (36)1975, 40, 1499.
- (37)Larsen, J. W.; Amin, K.; Fendler, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 2910.
- Fendler, J. H.; Larsen, J. W. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 2608. Larsen, J. W.; Amin, K.; Ewing, S.; Magid, L. L. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 3857. (39)
- Fendler, J. H. Chem. Ind. (London) 1965, 764 (40)
- Fendler, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 1237. Katsanos, N. Z. Phys. Chem. 1969, 63, 225. (41)
- (42)
- Takisawa, N.; Sasaki, M.; Amita, F.; Osugi, J. Chem. Lett. (43) 1979, 671.
- (44) Sasaki, M.; Takisawa, N.; Amita, F.; Osugi, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7268.
- (45) In practical situations, very often k₋₁ << k₁[RO⁻], so that only k₁ can be determined; in some other cases k₁[RO⁻] is small compared to k₋₁ which can only be obtained.
 (46) Terrier, F.; Millot, F.; Norris, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 5883.
- Chatrousse, A. P.; Terrier, F. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. C 1976, 282, 195. (47)
- Terrier, F.; Millot, F.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Yagupol'skii, L. M.; Boiko, V. N.; Shchupak, G. M.; Ignatev, N. V. J. Chem. Res. (48)(S) 1979, 272. Terrier, F.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Paulmier, C.; Schaal, R. J. Org.
- (49)Chem. 1975, 40, 2911. Terrier, F.; Millot, F. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. C
- (50) **1969**, 268, 808. Terrier, F.; Millot, F. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. **1970**, 1743. Bernasconi, C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1971**, 93, 6975. Terrier, F.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Schaal, R. J. Org. Chem. **1972**, 97, 2010.

 - (53) 37, 3010.
 - Terrier, F.; Millot, F.; Schaal, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1972, 1192. Di Nunno, L.; Florio, S.; Todesco, P. E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin (54)
 - (55) Trans. 2 1975, 1469.

 - Terrier, F.; Millot, F.; Morel, J. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3892. Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1977, 1442. Sekiguchi, S.; Takei, T.; Aizawa, T.; Okada, K. Tetrahedron (58)Lett. 1977. 1209.

- (59) Crampton, M. R.; Gibson, B.; Gilmore, F. W. J. Chem. Soc., (69) Orampton, M. R. J. Chem. Res. (S) 1980,
 (60) Alaruri, A. D. A.; Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Res. (S) 1980,
- 140; J. Chem. Res. (M) 1980, 2157
- (61) Fyfe, C. A.; Cocivera, M.; Damji, S. W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 5707.
- (62) Fendler, E. J.; Fendler, J. H.; Byrne, W. E.; Griffin, C. E. J.
- Grendler, E. J.; Fendler, J. H.; Byrne, W. E.; Griffin, C. E. J.
 Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 4141.
 Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1973, 2157.
 Crampton, M. R.; Willison, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1974, 1681.
 Bernasconi, C. F.; De Rossi, R. H. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 500 (63)(64)
- (65) 500
- (66)
- Bernasconi, C. F.; Cross, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 1054. Bernasconi, C. F.; Gandler, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3387. Ah Kow, G.; Terrier, F.; Lessard, F. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, (67)
- (68)
- Crampton, M. R.; Willison, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin (69) Trans. 2 1974, 1686. (70) Eisenbrand, J.; Von Halban, H. V. Z. Phys. Chem. 1930, A,
- 146. 11**1**
- Schaal, R. J. Chim. Phys. 1955, 52, 796 (71)
- (72)
- Cuta, F.; Pisecky, J. Chem. Listy 1957, 51, 433. Cuta, F.; Pisecky, J. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1958, (73)23, 628
- (74) Abe, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1960, 33, 41.
 (75) Lambert, G.; Schaal, R. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. 1962, 255, 1939.
- Gold, V.; Rochester, C. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 1710.
- (77) Gaboriaud, R.; Schaal, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1969, 2683.
 (78) Bernasconi, C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 4682.

- (79) Norris, A. R.; Gan, L. H. Can. J. Chem. 1971, 49, 2490.
 (80) Bernasconi, C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 129.
 (81) Bernasconi, C. F.; Bergstrom, R. G. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 1325.

- (82) Terrier, F.; Chatrousse, A. P. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1972, 4549.
 (83) Symons, E. A.; Buncel, E. Can. J. Chem. 1972, 50, 1729.
 (84) Schaal, R.; Lambert, G. J. Chim. Phys. 1962, 59, 1151.
 (85) Gold, V.; Rochester, C. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 1692.
 (86) Bellobono, I. R. Ric. Sci. 1969, 39, 358.
 (87) Obi, N.; Kimura, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1972, 20, 2295.
 (88) Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1973, 710.
 (90) Gan, L. H.; Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 1.
 (91) 5c was originally believed to be a charge-transfer complex.¹⁵
 (92) Ballinger, P.; Long, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 795.
 (93) Hine, J.; Hine, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 5266.
 (94) Jencks, W. P.; Gilchrist, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 2910.
 (95) Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc. B 1968, 1208.
 (96) Alaluri, A. D. A.; Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1981, 807.
 (97) Buncel, E.; Symons, E. A. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 1201.
- (97)
- Buncel, E.; Symons, E. A. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 1201. Bernasconi, C. F.; Bergstrom, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, (98)*96.* 2397

- 96, 2397.
 (99) Kohashi, K.; Tsuruta, Y.; Yamaguchi, M.; Ohkura, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1977, 25, 1103.
 (100) Sheppard, W. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 1314.
 (101) Terrier, F.; Millot, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 2933.
 (102) Millot, F.; Terrier, F. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1974, 1823.
 (103) Crampton, M. R.; Khan, H. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1972, 733.
 (104) Fendler, E. J.; Fendler, J. H.; Arthur, N. L.; Griffin, C. E. J.
- 2 1972, 733.
 (104) Fendler, E. J.; Fendler, J. H.; Arthur, N. L.; Griffin, C. E. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 812.
 (105) Hasegawa, Y.; Abe, T. Chem. Lett. 1972, 985.
 (106) Hasegawa, Y.; Abe, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1973, 46, 2756.
 (107) Hasegawa, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1974, 47, 2186.
 (108) Millot, F.; Terrier, F. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1971, 3897.
 (109) Gold, V.; Rochester, C. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 1687.
 (110) Abe, T.; Kumai, T.; Arai, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1965, 38, 1526

- 1526

- (111) Servis, K. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 1508.
 (112) Terrier, F.; Millot, F. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1969, 2692.
 (113) Fendler, J. H.; Fendler, E. J.; Griffin, C. E. J. Org. Chem. 1**969**, *34*, 68<u>9</u>
- (114) Bemporad, P.; Illuminati, G.; Stegel, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 674
- (115) Dickeson, J. E.; Dyall, L. K.; Pickles, V. A. Aust. J. Chem.
- (116) Dickeson, 5: 25, Dyan, D. K., Fickles, V. K. Aust. 5: Creat. 1968, 21, 1267.
 (116) Millot, F.; Morel, J.; Terrier, F. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. C 1972, 274, 23.
 (117) Simonnin, M. P.; Pouet, M. J.; Terrier, F.; Dearing, C. A.
- (117) Sintinin, A. J., Poter, M. S., Terner, P., Dearing, C. A. Can. J. Chem. 1972, 50, 3558.
 (118) Terrier, F.; Dearing, C. A.; Schaal, R. "Reaction Transition States", Gordon and Breach: London, 1973; p 137.
 (119) Crampton, M. R.; Khan, H. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1972, 1173.
- (120) Crampton, M. R.; Khan, H. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1972, 2286.
- (121) Crampton, M. R.; Khan, H. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1973, 1103.

(122) Crampton, M. R.; El Ghariani, M. A.; Willison, M. J. J. (122) Crampton, M. R.; El Gharlani, M. A., Willson, M. S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1974, 441.
 (123) Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1975, 825.
 (124) Hirakawa, T. Tsuruoka Kogyo Koto Semmon Galeko Kenk-yu Kinzo 1976, 10, 91; Chem. Abstr. 1979, 90, 86523r.

Terrier

- (125) Fendler, E. J.; Fendler, J. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.
- 1972, 269.
- 1972, 269.
 (126) Fendler, J. H., Fendler, E. J. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 2172.
 (127) Fendler, J. H.; Fendler, E. J.; Chang, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 3273.
 (128) Abe, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 53, 1399.
 (129) Murto, J.; Kohvakka, E. Suom. Kemistil. B 1966, 39, 128.
 (130) Crampton, M. R.; Gold, V. J. Chem. Soc. B 1966, 893.
 (131) Baldini, G.; Doddi, G.; Illuminati, G.; Stegel, F. J. Org. Chem. 1976. 41, 2153.

- 1976, 41, 2153. (132) Simonnin, M. P.; Pouet, M. J.; Terrier, F. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 855.
- (133) Fendler, J. H.; Hinze, W. L.; Liu, L. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1975, 1768.
- (134) Terrier, F.; Millot, F., unpublished results.
 (135) Kavalek, J.; Machacek, V.; Pastrnek, M.; Sterba, V. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1977, 42, 2928.
- (136) Gibson, B.; Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1979, 648.
- (137)
- Ainscough, J. B.; Caldin, E. F. J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 2528. Murto, J.; Vainionpää, J. Suom. Kemistil. B 1966, 39, 133. Gitis, S. S.; Kaminskii, A. Ya.; Glaz, A. I.; Kozina, Z. N. (138)
- (139)Reakts. Sposobnost Org. Soedin., Tartu Gos. Univ. 1967, 4,

- Reakts. Sposonost Org. Soedin., Tartu Gos. Univ. 1967, 4, 625; Chem. Abstr. 1968, 69, 43164g.
 (140) Rochester, C. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 2404.
 (141) Terrier, F.; Pastour, P.; Schaal, R. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 1965, 260, 5783.
 (142) Gitis, S. S.; Morozova, T. I.; Glaz, A. I.; Kaminskii, A. Ya.; Golopolosova, T. V. Zh. Org. Khim. 1976, 12, 1935.
 (143) Gitis, S. S.; Morozova, T. I.; Glaz, A. I.; Kaminskii, A. Ya.; Golopolosova, T. V. Sint Angel Strukt Org. Social 1974 6.
- Golopolosova, T. V. Sint. Anal. Strukt. Org. Soedin. 1974, 6, 6; Chem. Abstr. 1977, 86, 138977f.
- (144) Bernasconi, C. F.; Gandler, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 8117.
- (145) Bernasconi, C. F.; Gandler, J. R. "Techniques and Applica-tions of Fast Reactions in Solution"; Gettins, W. J., Wyntions of Fast Reactions in Solution; Gettins, W. S., Wyn-Jones, E., Eds.; D. Reidel Publishing Co.: Dordrecht, Holland, 1979; p 463.
 (146) Lelievre, J.; Gaboriaud, R.; Schaal, R. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. C 1970, 270, 877.
 (147) Lelievre, J.; Gaboriaud, R.; Schaal, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1071, 1042
- 1971, 1246.

- (148) Murto, J.; Viitala, A. Suom. Kemistil. B 1966, 39, 138.
 (149) More O'Ferrall, R. A. J. Chem. Soc. B 1970, 274.
 (150) Jencks, W. P. Chem. Rev. 1972, 72, 705.
 (151) Jencks, D. A.; Jencks, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 7948.
- (152) The numbering of these anisoles is chosen so that C-3 is the one para to a NO₂ group and not to the X group. The 1,3-complexes thus formed from base addition at this position benefit from the stabilizing effect of a p-NO₂ group. In this way, they are analogous to the 1,3 complexes of 4-X-2,6-dinitroanisoles. This also allows a clear distinction with the 1,5-complexes which do not have a NO2 group para to the sp³ carbon.
- (153) Terrier, F.; Halle, J. C.; Simonnin, M. P. Org. Magn. Reson.
- (154) Millot, F.; Terrier, F. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. C 1973, 276, 327.
 (155) Millot, F.; Terrier, F. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. C 1977, 284, 979.
 (156) Bernesseni C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 00, 4089.

- 1977, 284, 979.
 (156) Bernasconi, C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 4982.
 (157) Byrne, W. E.; Fendler, E. J.; Fendler, J. H.; Griffin, C. E. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 33, 2506.
 (158) Terrier, F.; Millot, F. Nouv. J. Chim. 1980, 4, 255.
 (159) Millot, F. Thèse, Paris, 1973, A. O. CNRS 8514.
 (160) Fendler, E. J.; Ernsberger, W.; Fendler, J. H. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 26, 2322

(166)

(167)(168)(169)

(170)

(171)(172)

- 1971, 36, 2333 Terrier, F.; Morel, J.; Simonnin, M. P.; Pouet, M. J. Can. J. Chem. 1980, 58, 55. (161)
- (162) Gold, V.; Toullec, J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1979, 596.
 (163) Cordes, E. H. Prog. Phys. Org. Chem. 1967, 4, 1.
 (164) Cordes, E. H.; Bull, H. G. Chem. Rev. 1974, 74, 581.

(165) Capon, B.; Nimmo, K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1975,

Murto, J.; Viitala, A. Suom. Kemistil. B 1966, 39, 138.
 Ballinger, P.; Long, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 1050.
 Gold, V.; Rochester, C. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 1697.
 Gold, V.; Rochester, C. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 1727.

Crampton, M. R.; Gold, V. Proc. Chem. Soc. London 1964,

Servis, K. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 5495. Crampton, M. R.; Gibson, B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1980, 752.

(173) Buncel, E.; Hamaguchi, M.; Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1980,
58.1609

- (174) Buncel, E.; Hamaguchi, M.; Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1980, 58, 1615.
- Crampton, M. R.; Wilson, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. (175)2 1980, 1854.
- (176) De Rossi, R. H.; Madoery, O. D.; De Vargas, E. B. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 649.
- Boulton, J. J. K.; McFarlane, N. R. J. Chem. Soc. B 1971, (177)
- (178) Boulton, J. J. K.; Jewess, P. J.; McFarlane, N. R. J. Chem. (17) Bounton, J. J. Stewess, F. J.; McFarlane, N. R. J. Chem. Soc. B 1971, 928.
 (179) Clapp, J. B.; Lacey, H.; Beckwith, G. G.; Srivastava, R. M.; Muhammad, N. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 4262.
 (180) Fyfe, C. A.; Damji, S. W. H.; Koll, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979,
- 101, 951

- 101, 351.
 (181) Sekiguchi, S.; Itagaki, T.; Hirose, T.; Matsui, K.; Sekine, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1973, 3527.
 (182) Fyfe, C. A.; Malkiewich, C. D.; Damji, W. H.; Norris, A. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6983.
 (183) Bernasconi, C. F. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 1671.
 (184) Ainscough, J. B.; Caldin, E. F. J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 2546.
 (185) Buncel, E.; Norris, A. R.; Russell, K. E.; Tucker, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 1646.
 (186) Buncel, E.: Norris, A. R.: Russell, K. E.; Shoridan, P.; Wilcon, Sci. 1972, 94, 1646.
- (186) Buncel, E.; Norris, A. R.; Russell, K. E.; Sheridan, P.; Wilson, H. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 1750. (187) Buncel, E.; Norris, A. R.; Russell, K. E.; Wilson, H. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 2306.
- Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1980, 58, 2178. (188)
- Brooke, D. N.; Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Res. 1980, (S) 340; (189)(M) 4401
- (190) Brooke, D. N.; Crampton, M. R.; Golding, P.; Hayes, G. F. J.
- Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1981, 526. Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1978, 343. (191)
- (192) Crampton, M. R.; El Ghariani, M. A. J. Chem. Soc. B 1970,
- (193) Bird, R. NATO Adv. Study Inst. Ser., Ser. C 1978, C-50, 469; Chem. Abstr. 1980, 92, 93579h.
- (194) Murto, J. Suom. Kemistil. B 1965, 38, 251.
- (195) Bunton, C. A.; Ihara, J.; Wright, J. L. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 2520.
- (196) Crampton, M. R.; El Ghariani, M. A. J. Chem. Soc. B 1969, 330.

- (197) Gan, L. H.; Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 18.
 (198) Gan, L. H. Aust. J. Chem. 1975, 28, 2403.
 (199) Fendler, E. J.; Camaioni, D. M.; Fendler, J. H. J. Org. Chem.
- (199) Fendler, E. J., Cantaton, 2011, 1971, 36, 1544.
 (200) Fendler, E. J.; Koranek, D. J.; Rosenthal, S. N. Solution Chem. Surfactants, Proc. Sect. 52nd Colloid Surf. Sci. Symp. Chem. Surfactants, 1980, 92, 75456m. Chem. Surfactants, Proc. Sect. 52nd Colloid Surf. Sci. Symp. 1978, 2, 575; Chem. Abstr. 1980, 92, 75456m.
 (201) Bowden, K.; Cook, R. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 249.
 (202) Bowden, K.; Cook, R. S. J. Chem. Soc. B 1971, 1771.
 (203) Blakeley, R. L.; Zerner, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6586.
 (204) Fendler, J. H.; Fendler, E. J.; Byrne, W. E.; Griffin, C. E. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 977.
 (205) Sekiguchi, S.; Hirose, T.; Tsutsumi, K.; Aizawa, T.; Shizuka, H. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3921.
 (206) Fendler, J. H.; Fendler, E. J. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 3378.
 (207) Fendler, E. J.; Fendler, J. H.; J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1972, 1403.

- (208) Hinze, W. L.; Liu, L. J.; Fendler, J. H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1975, 1751.
 (209) Fendler, J. H.; Fendler, E. J.; Casilio, L. M. J. Org. Chem.
- 1971, 36, 1749. (210) Sekiguchi, S.; Shinozaki, K.; Hirose, T.; Matsui, K.; Itagaki,
- T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 1745.
- (211) Illuminati, G. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1964, 3, 285

- (212) Fyfe, C. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 659.
 (213) Illuminati, G.; Stegel, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 4169.
 (214) Abbolito, C.; Iavarone, C.; Illuminati, G.; Stegel, F.; Vazzoler,
- . J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 6746
- (215) Schaal, R.; Terrier, F.; Halle, J. C.; Chatrousse, A. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 1393.
 (216) Biffin, M. E. C.; Miller, J.; Moritz, A. G.; Paul, D. B. Aust. J. Chem. 1970, 23, 957.
- (217) Chatrousse, A. P.; Terrier, F.; Schaal, R. J. Chem. Res. 1977,
- (S) 228; (M), 2413.
 (218) Biffin, M. E. C.; Miller, J.; Moritz, A. G.; Paul, D. B. Aust. J. Chem. 1970, 23, 963.
- (219) Terrier, F.; Chatrousse, A. P., unpublished results.
 (220) Biffin, M. E. C.; Miller, J.; Moritz, A. G.; Paul, D. B. Aust. J. Chem. 1969, 22, 2561.
- (221) Doddi, G.; Illuminati, G.; Stegel, F. Chem. Commun. 1969, 953.
- (222) Spinelli, D.; Armanino, V.; Corrao, A. J. Heterocycl. Chem.
- 1970, 7, 1441. Doddi, G.; Illuminati, G.; Stegel, F. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, (223)1918.
- (224) Terrier, F.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Schaal, R.; Paulmier, C.; Pastour, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 1961.
 (225) Doddi, G.; Illuminati, G.; Stegel, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem.

Commun. 1972, 1143.

- (226)Doddi, G.; Illuminati, G.; Stegel, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 3221
- (227)Paulmier, C.; Simonnin, M. P.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Terrier, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 1123
- (228) Doddi, G.; Poretti, A.; Stegel, F. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1974,
- (229) Baldini, G.; Doddi, G.; Illuminati, G.; Stegel, F. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 2153.
- (230) Doddi, G.; Stegel, F.; Tanasi, M. T. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 4303.
- (231)Terrier, F.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Paulmier, C. J. Org. Chem.
- (a) 1979, 44, 1634.
 (232) Spinelli, D.; Consiglio, G.; Noto, R. J. Chem. Res. 1978, (S) 242; (M) 2984.
- (233) Simonnin, M. P.; Terrier, F.; Paulmier, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 2803.

- (234) De Santis, F.; Stegel, F. Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1973, 103, 649.
 (235) Mencarelli, P.; Stegel, F. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 2824.
 (236) Chierici, L.; Dell'Erba, C.; Guareschi, A.; Spinelli, D. Ann. Chim. (Rome) 1967, 57, 632.
- (237) Dell'Erba, C.; Guareschi, A.; Spinelli, D. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1970, 7, 1425.
- (238) Bak, B.; Christensen, D.; Dixon, W. B.; Hansen-Nygaard, L.; Andersen, J. R.; Schottländer, M. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1962, 9,
- (239) Nardelli, M.; Fava, G.; Giraldi, G. Acta Crystallogr. 1962, 15, 737.
- (240) Brown, R. D.; Burden, F. R.; Godfrey, P. D. J. Mol. Spec-
- (241) Boulton, J. J. K.; Kirby, P. Chem. Commun. 1970, 1618.
 (242) Dal Monte, D.; Sandri, E.; Di Nunno, L.; Florio, S.; Todesco, P. E. Chim. Ind. (Milan) 1971, 53, 940.
- (243) Ghosh, P. B.; Whitehouse, M. W. J. Med. Chem. 1968, 11,
- (244) Dalmonte, D.; Sandri, E.; Di Nunno, L.; Florio, S.; Todesco, P. E. J. Chem. Soc. B 1971, 2209
- (245) Di Nunno, L.; Florio, S.; Todesco, P. E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1975, 1469.
- (246) Terrier, F.; Millot, F.; Norris, W. P. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1975, 551
- (247) Buncel, E.; Chuaqui-Offermans, N.; Norris, A. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1977, 415
- (248) Buncel, E.; Chuaqui-Offermans, N.; Hunter, B. K.; Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1977, 55, 2852.
- (249) Sigg, R. H.; Luisi, P. L.; Aboderin, A. A. J. Biol. Chem. 1977, 252, 2507.
- (250) Ah-Kow, G. C. R. Hedb. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. C 1978, 287,
- (251) Terrier, F.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Millot, F. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 2666.
- (252) Terrier, F.; Sorkhabi, H. A.; Millot, F.; Halle, J. C.; Schaal, R. Can. J. Chem. 1980, 58, 1155.
 (253) Whitehouse, M. W.; Ghosh, P. B. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1968,
- 17.158
- (254) Ghosh, P. B.; Ternai, B.; Whitehouse, M. W. J. Med. Chem. 1972, 15, 255
- (255) Thompson, S.; Kellicutt, L. Mutat. Res. 1977, 48, 145.
 (256) Ghosh, P.; Ternai, B.; Whitehouse, M. W. Med. Res. Rev. 1981, 1, 159.
 (257) Burding of Wards and Market and Market
- (257) Bunting, J. W.; Meathrel, W. G. Can. J. Chem. 1972, 50, 917.
 (258) Bunting, J. W.; Meathrel, W. G. Can. J. Chem. 1973, 52, 1965
- (259)Jencks, W. P. "Catalysis in Chemistry and Enzymology";
- McGraw-Hill: New York, 1969; p 175.
 Bender, M. L.; Turnquest, B. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 1656
- (261)
- (262)
- Norris, W. P.; Osmundsen, J. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 2407. Drost, P. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1899, 307, 49. Green, A. G.; Rowe, F. M. J. Chem. Soc. 1913, 103, 2023. Green, A. G.; Rowe, F. M. J. Chem. Soc. 1913, 103, 2023.
 Gaughran, R. J.; Picard, J. P.; Kaufman, J. V. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 2233.
 Butler, A. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1975, 1557.
 Murto, J.; Hiiro, A. M. Suom. Kemistil. B 1964, 37, 177.
 Terrier, F., unpublished results.
 Buncel, E.; Chuaqui-Offermans, N.; Moir, R. Y.; Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1975, 57, 494.
 Baines, B. S.; Allen, G.; Brocklehurst. K. Biochem. J 1977 (263)(264)
- (265)
- (266)
- (267)
- (268)
- (269) Baines, B. S.; Allen, G.; Brocklehurst, K. Biochem. J. 1977, *163*, 189. (270)Allen, G.; Lowe, G. Biochem. J. 1973, 133, 679.
- (271) Shipton, M.; Stuchbury, T.; Brocklehurst, K. Biochem. J. 1976, 159, 235.
- (272) Gripper-Gray, A. C. Ph.D. Thesis, 1966, University of East Anglia, Norwich, England.
- (273) Shipton, M.; Stuchbury, T.; Brocklehurst, K.; Herbert, J. A. L.; Suschitzky, H. Biochem. J. 1977, 161, 627.
 (274) Deicha, C.; Terrier, F. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1981, 312; J.
- Chem. Res., Miniprint 1981, 3642.
 (275) Di Nunno, L.; Florio, S. Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1978, 108, 607.
 (276) Bartoli, G.; Ciminale, F.; Todesco, P. E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin

Trans. 2 1975, 1472.

- (277) Bartoli, G.; Lelli, M.; Ciminale, F.; Attanasi, O. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1977, 20
- (278) Lok, C. M.; Den Boer, M. E.; Cornelisse, J. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1973, 92, 340.
- (279) Biggi, G.; Veracini, C. A.; Pietra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 523

- (280) Abc, T.; Asao, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 1327.
 (281) Pietra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 544.
 (282) Farina, V.; Cavazza, M.; Cabrinor, R.; Veracini, C. A.; Pietra, . Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 1319
- (283) Shein, S. M.; Byval'kevich, O. G. Zh. Org. Khim. 1972, 8, 328.
 (284) Buncel, E.; Webb, J. G. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 8470.
 (285) Buncel, E.; Jonczyk, A.; Webb, J. G. K. Can. J. Chem. 1975,
- 53, 3761
- (286) Shein, S. M.; Byval'kevich, O. G.; Khmelinskaya, A. D. Zh. Org. Khim., 1976, 12, 134.
 (287) Buncel, E.; Eggimann, W. Can. J. Chem. 1976, 54, 2436.
 (288) Bernasconi, C. F.; Muller, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100,
- 5530
- (289) Crampton, M. R.; Willison, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1976, 155.
 (290) Drodz, V. N.; Knyazev, V. N.; Minov, V. M. Zh. Org. Khim.
- 1975, 11, 1135. (291) Terrier, F.; Ah-Kow, G., in preparation. (292) Bunton, C. A.; Savelli, G.; Sepulveda, L. J. Org. Chem. 1978,
- 3. 1925
- (293)Murto, J. Suom. Kemistil. B 1965, 38, 255.
- (294)Bernasconi, C. F.; Wang, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6265
- Knyazev, V. N.; Klimov, A. A.; Yaryshev, N. G.; Drodz, V. N. Zh. Org. Khim. 1974, 10, 2587. (295)
- (296)Eigen, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1964, 3, 1

- (297) Ballinger, P.; Long, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 795.
 (298) Azegami, M.; Iwai, K. J. Biochem. (Tokyo) 1964, 55, 346.
 (299) Azegami, M.; Iwai, K. J. Biochem. (Tokyo) 1975, 78, 409.
 (300) Ah-Kow, G.; Terrier, F.; Pouet, M. J.; Simonnin, M. P. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 4399. Terrier, F.; Ah-Kow, G. Abstracts of the 2nd International
- (301)Conference of the Chemical Society, Canterbury, England, July 1979
- Adenosine is known to have one relatively acidic OH group in aqueous solution³⁰³ ($pK_a = 12.35$). However, there is evi-dence that this acidity is associated with the presence of the two adjacent 2'- and 3'-OH groups. Substitution of H for either the 2'- or 3'-OH group or OMe for the 2'-OH group results in loss of this acidity and pK_a comparable to those for other glycol derivatives.³⁰⁵ A similar situation can be rea-sonably expected to prevail in 147 justifying the essumption (302)sonably expected to prevail in 147, justifying the assumption of a K value of $\simeq 0.3$ L mol⁻¹.
- 61 a K Valle of ≈ 0.3 L mol⁻².
 (303) Izatt, R. M.; Hansen, L. D.; Rytting, J. H.; Christensen, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 2760.
 (304) Bunnett, J. F. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1963, 271.
 (305) Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc. B 1967, 1341.
 (306) Cuta, F.; Beranek, E. Chem. Listy 1957, 51, 1669.
 (307) Cuta, F.; Beranek, E. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1958, 21, 21, 21, 21

- 23.1501

- (308) Henry, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 2637.
 (309) Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1967, 45, 177.
 (310) Marendic, N.; Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1973, 51, 3927.
 (311) Buncel, E.; Norris, A. R.; Russell, K. E.; Sheridan, P. J. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 25.
- (312) Bernasconi, C. F.; Bergstrom, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973,
- 95, 3603 Strauss, M. J.; Taylor, S. P. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, (313)3813.
- Crampton, M. R.; Willison, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 215. (314)
- (315) Sasaki, M. Chem. Lett. 1973, 205.
 (316) Crampton, M. R.; Willison, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1976, 160.
 (317) Bernasconi, C. F.; Wang, H. C.; Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 1979, 11,
- 375
- (318) Kaminskaya, E. G.; Gitis, S. S.; Kaminskii, A. Ya. Zh. Org. Khim. 1979, 15, 793.
 (319) Brooke, D. N.; Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.
- 2 1980, 1850 (320)Crampton, M. R.; El Ghariani, M. A. J. Chem. Soc. B 1971,
- 1043
- Crampton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc. B 1971, 2112. Gan, L. H. Aust. J. Chem. 1977, 30, 1475.
- Nitta, K.; Bratcher, S. C.; Kronman, M. J. Biochem. J. 1979, (323)177. 385
- (324)Biggi, G.; Pietra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 229
- (325) Biggi, G.; Pietra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1973, 1980
- Farina, E.; Veracini, C. A.; Pietra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 672. (326)
- (327) Cabrino, R.; Farina, E.; Del Cima, F.; Pietra, F. J. Chem. Soc.,

Perkin Trans. 1 1976, 2215.

(328)

Terrier

- Cavazza, M.; Morganti, G.; Guerriero, A.; Pietra, F. Tetra-hedron Lett. 1980, 3703. Crampton, M. R.; Willison, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1976, 901. (329)
- (330) Ah-Kow, G. Thèse, Paris, 1979.
 (331) Leshina, T. V.; Solodova, K. V.; Shein, S. M. Zh. Org. Khim. 1974, 10, 354.
- (332) Knyazev, V. N.; Klimov, A. A.; Drodz, V. N. Zh. Org. Khim. 1975, 11, 1440.
- (333)
- (334)
- (335)
- (336)
- 1975, 11, 1440. Kresge, A. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1973, 2, 475. Crampton, M. R.; Willison, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1974, 238. Jensen, W. B. Chem. Rev. 1978, 78, 1. Crampton, M. R.; Gold, V. J. Chem. Soc. B 1967, 23. Buncel, E.; Webbs, J. G. K. Can. J. Chem. 1972, 50, 129. Buncel, E.; Jarrell, H.; Leung, H. W.; Webb, J. G. K. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 272 (337)(338) (339) Bernasconi, C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 129.
 (340) Orvik, J. A.; Bunnett, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 2417.
 (341) Bernasconi, C. F. J. Phys. Chem. 1971, 75, 3636.

- (342) Bernasconi, C. F.; Gerhiger, C. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1092.
- (343)Buncel, E.; Webb, J. G. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 4417. Bernasconi, C. F.; Terrier, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, (344)7458
- (345) Buncel, E.; Webb, J. G. K.; Wiltshire, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4429. Buncel, E.; Eggimann, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5958.
- (346)
- (347)Bernasconi, C. F.; Miller, M. C.; Schmid, P. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3189.
- Caveng, P.; Zollinger, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1967, 50, 861. A relaxation time τ of $\simeq 10^{-2}$ s has been reported for the (348)
- (349)formation of the azide complex of TNA at -20 °C in 80% dimethylacetamide-20% acetonitrile.³⁴⁸
- (350) Halle, J. C.; Terrier, F.; Pouet, M. J.; Simonnin, M. P. J. Chem. Res. 1980, (S) 360.
- Terrier, F.; Halle, J. C.; Debleds, F. Abstracts of the 5th IUPAC Conference on Physical Organic Chemistry, Santa Cruz, CA, Aug 1980. (351)
- (352)Chudek, J. A.; Foster, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1979, 628
- (353) Grudtsyn, D. Yu.; Gitis, S. S. Zh. Org. Khim. 1975, 11, 2544.
- Bard, R. R.; Strauss, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 2421. Briegleb, G.; Liptay, W.; Canter, M. Z. Phys. Chem. (Wies-(354)
- (355)baden) 1960, 26, 55
- (356)Crampton, M. R.; Gibson, B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1981, 533.
- Bamkole, T. O.; Hirst, J.; Onyido, I. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1981, 1201. (357)
- Fyfe, C. A.; Koll, A.; Damji, S. W. H.; Malkiewich, C. D.;
 Forte, P. A. Can. J. Chem. 1977, 55, 1468.
 Sekiguchi, S.; Shinozaki, K.; Hirose, T.; Matsui, K.; Sekine, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1974, 47, 2264.
 Sekiguchi, S.; Fujisawa, S.; Ando, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1976, 1451. (358)
- (359)
- (360) 1976, 49, 1451
- Buncel, E.; Webb, J. G. K. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 630. Buncel, E.; Leung, H. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (361)(362)1975, 19.
- (363) Buncel, E.; Eggimann, W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1978. 673.
- (364) Bernasconi, C. F.; Gehriger, C. L.; de Rossi, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 8451.
- Bianchin, B.; Chrisment, J.; Delpuech, J. J.; Deschamps, M. N.; Nicole, D.; Serratrice, G. "Chemical and Biological Ap-plications of Relaxation Spectrophotometry"; Wyn-Jones, E., Ed.; D. Reidel Publishing Co.: Dordrecht/Boston, 1975; p (365) 365.
- (366) Buncel, E.; Eggimann, W.; Leung, H. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 55.
- (367) Bernasconi, C. F.; Terrier, F. "Chemical and Biological Applications of Relaxation Spectrometry"; Wyn-Jones, E., Ed.;
 D. Reidel Publishing Co.: Dordrecht/Boston, 1975; p 379.
 (368) Kreevoy, M. M.; Wang, Y. J. Phys. Chem. 1977, 81, 1924.
 (369) Nelsen, S. F.; Kinlen, P. J.; Evans, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- (370)
- (371)
- Neisen, S. F.; Kinnen, F. J.; Evans, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1875.
 Bunnett, J. F.; Davis, G. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 665.
 Bunnett, J. F.; Garst, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 3879.
 Barnett, R. E.; Jencks, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 00070 (372)2358
- (373)
- Jencks, W. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 425. Bernasconi, C. F.; Carre, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, (374) 2698
- Bernasconi, C. F.; Fox, J. P.; Fornarini, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2810. (375)
- Bunnett, J. F.; Randall, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, (376)6020.
- (377) Sekiguchi, S.; Hikage, R.; Obana, K.; Matsui, K.; Ando, Y.; Tomoto, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 53, 2921.
 (378) Golobov, Yu. G.; Onys'ko, P. P.; Fadeev, V. N.; Gusar, N.

- I. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1975, 45, 1391. (379) Onys'ko, P. P.; Gololobov, Yu. G. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1977, 47, 2480
- (380) Gololobov, Yu. G.; Onys'ko, P. P.; Prokopenko, V. P. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 1977, 237, 105.
- Onys'ko, P. P.; Kasukhin, L. F.; Gololobov, Yu. G. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1978, 48, 342. (381)
- Onys'Ko, P. P.; Gololobov, Yu. G. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1979, (382)49, 39.
- Onys'ko, P. P.; Gololobov, Yu. G.; Boiko, V. N.; Ignatev, I. V.; Yagupol'skii, L. M. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1979, 49, 748. (383)
- Onys'ko, P. P.; Gololobov, Yu. G. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1980, (384)50, 729.
- Onys'ko, P. P.; Gololobov, Yu. G.; Remennikov, G. Ya.; (385)Chersakov, V. M. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1980, 50, 1230.
- Warren, L. A.; Smiles, S. J. Chem. Soc. 1930, 956. Evans, W. J.; Smiles, S. J. Chem. Soc. 1935, 181. Truce, W. E.; Kreider, E. M.; Brand, W. W. Org. React. 1970, (387)
- (388)8. 99 (389)Shine, H. J. "Aromatic Rearrangements"; Elsevier: New
- Sinie, H. 5. Aromatic Rearrangements, Elseviel. New York, 1967; p 307.
 Kleb, K. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1968, 7, 291.
 Knyazev, V. N.; Drozd, V. N. Izv. Timiryazevsk S-Kh. Akad.
 1977, 203; Chem. Abstr. 1978, 88, 36715. (391)
- (392) Bernasconi, C. F.; de Rossi, R. H.; Gehriger, C. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 2838
- (393) Sekiguchi, S.; Shiojima, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1973, 46, 693.
- (394) Knyazev, V. N.; Klimov, A. A.; Minov, V. M.; Drozd, V. N. Zh. Org. Khim. 1975, 11, 1775.
 (395) Sekiguchi, S.; Okada, K. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 2782.
 (396) Knyazev, V. N.; Drozd, V. N.; Minov, V. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 4825.

- (397) Knipe, A. C.; Lound-Keast, J.; Sridhar, N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 765.
 (398) Drozd, V. N.; Knyazev, V. N.; Minov, V. M. Zh. Org. Khim.
- 1977, 13, 396
- (399) Knyazev, V. N.; Drozd, V. N.; Minov, V. M.; Akimova, N. P. Zh. Org. Khim. 1977, 13, 1255.
 (100) Order V. S. Minoviki, S. M. Org. Chem. 1978, 42, 441
- Okada, K.; Sekiguchi, S. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 441. Okada, K.; Matsui, K.; Sekiguchi, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. (400)
- (401)(401) Ondata, 11, Jacobi, 11, 2019, 201
- 1978, 14, 105. Knyazev, V. N.; Drozd, V. N.; Mozhaeva, T. Ya. Zh. Org. (403)
- Khim. 1979, 15, 1107. (404)
- Sekiguchi, S.; Ohtsuka, I.; Okada, K. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, (405)
- Knyazev, V. N.; Drozd, V. N.; Mozhaeva, T. Ya. Zh. Org. Khim. 1979, 15, 2561. Knipe, A. C.; Sridhar, N.; Lound-Keast, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 2541. (406)
- (407) Buncel, E.; Hamaguchi, M.; Norris, A. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1980, 2205.
 (408) Sunamoto, J.; Kondo, H.; Yanase, F.; Okamoto, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 53, 1361.
- (409)Kondo, H.; Moriuchi, F.; Sunamoto, J. J. Org. Chem. 1981,
- 46, 1333 (410) Moshchitskii, S. D.; Kovalevskaya, T. V.; Sogolub, L. S.; Pavlenko, A. F.; Kukhar, V. P. Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin.
- 1979, 1348; Chem. Abstr. 1980, 92, 146555f.
- Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1967, 45, 2703. Gan, L. H.; Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 8. (411)
- 412)
- (413) Buncel, E.; Norris, A. R.; Proudlock, W. Can. J. Chem. 1968, 46, 2759
- (414) Kavalek, J.; Kolb, I.; Machacek, V.; Sterba, V. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1975, 40, 3879.
- (415) Moir, M. E.; Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1980, 58, 1691.
 (416) Buncel, E.; Moir, R. Y.; Norris, A. R.; Chatrousse, A. P. Can.
- J. Chem. 1981, 59, 2470. (417) Machacek, V.; Sterba, V.; Sterbova, A. Collect. Czech. Chem.
- Commun. 1976, 41, 2556.
- (418)Bard, R. R.; Strauss, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3789. (419)
- Schran, H.; Strauss, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 856. Björklund, C.; Nilsson, M.; Wennerström, O. Acta Chem. (420)Scand. 1970, 24, 3599.
- (421)Wennerström, O. Acta Chem. Scand. 1971, 25, 789, 2341.
- Nilsson, M.; Ullenius, C.; Wennerström, O. Tetrahedron Lett. (422)1971, 2713
- (423) Hansson, A. T.; Nilsson, M. Acta Chem. Scand. 1980, B34, 119.
- (424) Artamkina, G. A.; Beletskaya, I. P.; Reutov, O. A. Zh. Org. Khim. 1973, 9, 1776.
 (425) Ustynyuk, Yu. A.; Artamkina, G. A.; Luzikov, Yu. N.; Grishin, Yu. K.; Azizov, A. A.; Beletskaya, I. P. Zh. Org. Khim. 1976, 12, 1615.
 (426) Dimeth K. Beichardt, C.; Sienmann, T.; Behemann, F.
- 19(6, 12, 1015.
 (426) Dimroth, K.; Reichardt, C.; Siepmann, T.; Bohemann, F. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1963, 661, 1.
 (427) Foster, R.; Fyfe, C. A. J. Chem. Soc. B 1966, 53.
 (428) Foreman, M. I.; Foster, R.; Strauss, M. J. J. Chem. Soc. C

1969, 2112

- (429) Strauss, M. J.; Jensen, T. C.; Schran, H.; O'Conner, K. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 383.
- (430) Kohashi, K.; Ohkura, Y.; Momose, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1970, 18, 2151.
- (431) Strauss, M. J.; Taylor, S. P. B. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 856.
 (432) Kabeya, T.; Kohashi, K.; Ohkura, Y.; Momose, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1973, 21, 2168.
- (433) Kavalek, V.; Machacek, V.; Sterba, V.; Subert, J. Collect.
- Czech. Chem. Commun. 1974, 39, 2063. (434) Ashfaq, A.; Machacek, V.; Sterba, V. Collect. Czech. Chem.
- Commun. 1975, 40, 1910.
- (435) Kolb, I.; Machacek, V.; Sterba, V. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1976, 41, 1914.
- (436) Kohashi, K.; Kabeya, T.; Ohkura, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1977, 25, 50.
- Kaminskaya, E. G. Zh. Org. Khim. 1978, 14, 2576. Foreman, M. I.; Foster, R. Can. J. Chem. 1969, 47, 729. (437)
- (438)
- (439)Cherkasov, V. M.; Remennikov, G. Ya.; Kisilenko, A. A.; Romanenko, E. A. Khim. Geterosikl. Soedin. 1980, 239; Chem. Abstr. 1980, 93, 25434.
- Strauss, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 2021. (440)
- (441) Kavalek, J.; Ashfaq, A.; Sterba, V. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1979, 44, 1453.
- (442) Leffek, K. T.; Tremaine, P. H. Can. J. Chem. 1973, 51, 1659. (443) Leffek, K. T.; Matinopoulos-Scordou, A. E. Can. J. Chem.

- (443) Leffek, K. T.; Matinopoulos-Scordou, A. E. Can. J. Chem. 1977, 55, 2656.
 (444) Gitis, S. S.; Kaminskii, A. Ya.; Bronshtein, E. A.; Grudtsyn, Yu. D. Zh. Org. Khim. 1971, 7, 1830.
 (445) Kovar, K. A. Pharm., Unserer Z. 1972, 1, 17.
 (446) Butler, A. R. Clin. Chim. Acta 1975, 59, 227.
 (447) Kohashi, K.; Tsuruta, Y.; Ohkura, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1977, 25, 2127.
 (448) Koheshi, K.; Tsuruta, Y.; Vamaguchi, M.; Ohkura, Y. Chem.
- (448) Kohashi, K.; Tsuruta, Y.; Yamaguchi, M.; Ohkura, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1979, 27, 2122.
- (449) Strauss, M. J.; Taylor, S. P. B. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 1330.
 (450) Strauss, M. J.; Taylor, S. P. B.; Shindo, H. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 3658.
 (451) Kohashi, K.; Ohkura, Y.; Momose, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull.
- 1971, 19, 645. (452) Strauss, M. J.; Schran, H. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 2349.
- (453) Strauss, M. J.; Schran, H. F.; Bard, R. R. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 3394.
- (454) Savinova, L. N.; Gitis, S. S.; Kaminskii, A. Ya.; Gracheva, L. A.; Kumantsov, V. I. Sint. Anal. Strukt. Org. Soedin. 1974, 6, 18; Chem. Abstr. 1976, 84, 58198 w.
 (455) Butler, A. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1975, 853.
- (456) Savinova, L. N.; Gitis, S. S.; Kaminskii, A. Ya.; Golopolosova, T. Org. React. Tartu. 1978, 15, 483.
- (457) Gitis, S. S.; Kaminskii, A. Ya.; Bronshtein, E. A.; Gol'Teuzen, E. E.; Grudtsyn, Yu. D. Zh. Org. Khim. 1973, 9, 2091.
 (458) Obi, N.; Kakizaki, H.; Kimura, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1973, 21, 235.
- (459) Kimura, M.; Obi, N.; Kawazoi, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1969, 17. 531.
- (460) Kimura, M.; Obi, N.; Kawazoi, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1972, 20.452
- (461) Leffek, K. T.; Matinopoulos-Scordou, A. E. Can. J. Chem. 1977, 55, 2664. (462) Gitis, S. S.; Kaminskii, A. Ya.; Savinova, L. N. Reakts. Spo-
- sobnost. Org. Soedin. 1971, 8, 399; Chem. Abstr. 1972, 76, 45362 e.
- (463) Bronshtein, E. A.; Kaminskii, A. Ya.; Gitis, S. S. Reakts. Sposobnost. Org. Soedin. 1972, 9, 1129; Chem. Abstr. 1973, 79, 77878 f.
- (464) Renfrow, R. A.; Strauss, M. J.; Terrier, F. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 471.
- (465) Jones, J. R. "The Ionization of Carbon Acids"; Academic Press: London, 1973. (466) Buncel, E. "Carbanions: Mechanistic and Isotopic Aspects";
- Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1975.
- (467) Lehmann, F. P. A.; Ciurlizza, G. A. Rev. Latinoamer. Quim. 1974, 5, 72; Chem. Abstr. 1974, 81, 36955b.
 (468) Lehmann, F. P. A.; Ciurlizza, G. A. Rev. Latinoamer. Quim.
- 1974, 5, 143; Chem. Abstr. 1975, 82, 111200c.
- (469) Ciurlizza, G. A.; Ordunez, M. R. Acta Politec. Mex. 1978, 19, 37; Chem. Abstr. 1980, 93, 7203
- (470)
- (471)
- (472) (473)
- (474)
- Strauss, M. J.; Bard, R. R. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 3600.
 Bard, R. R.; Strauss, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 435.
 Strauss, M. J.; Terrier, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 313.
 Terrier, F.; Strauss, M. J., unpublished results.
 Palmer, D. C.; Strauss, M. J. Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 1.
 Dewar, M. J. "The Electronic Theory of Organic Chemistry";
 Oxford University Press: London 1949, 177 (475)Dewar, M. J. The Electronic Theory of Organic Chemistry; Oxford University Press: London, 1949, p 177. Miller, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 1628. Parker, A. J. Chem. Rev. 1969, 69, 1. Buncel, E.; Wilson, H. Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 1977, 14, 133. Pearson, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 1827. Fendler, E. J.; Fendler, J. H. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1970, 816.
- (476)
- (477)

(480)

1970, 816.

- (478)
- (479)

- (481) Fendler, J. H.; Fendler, E. J.; Merritt, M. V. J. Org. Chem.
- 1971, 36, 2172.
 (482) Bunton, C. A.; Robinson, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 5965, 5972.
- (483) Casilio, L. M.; Fendler, E. J.; Fendler, J. H. J. Chem. Soc. B 1971, 1377.
- (484) Bunton, C. A.; Robinson, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 356.
 (485) Fendler, J. H.; Fendler, E. J.; Chang, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 3273.
- (486) Robinson, B. H. "Proton-Transfer Reactions"; Caldin, E., Gold, V., Eds.; Chapman and Hall: London, 1975; p 149.
 (487) Taylor, R. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1970, 1463.
 (488) Kaplan, L. A.; Siedle, A. R. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 937.
 (489) Taylor, R. P.; Vatz, J. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 5819.
 (490) Buncel, E.; Hamaguchi, M.; Norris, A. R. Can. J. Chem. 1981, 59, 795.

- 59, 795.

- (491) Crampton, M. R.; Routledge, P. J.; Wilson, P. N. J. Chem.
- Res. 1981, (S) 152; (M) 1972. Capon, B.; McManus, S. P. "Neighbouring Group Participation"; Plenum: New York, 1976; Vol. 1. (492)
- (493) Rate and equilibrium parameters have recently been reported for complexes 74 and 75 in 90% Me₂SO-10% MeOH.⁴⁹⁴
 (494) Sekiguchi, S.; Takei, I.; Matsui, K.; Tone, N.; Tomoto, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1981, 54, 3009.
- (495) No rate-limiting proton transfer was observed in a recent
- study of the reactions of 174 with pyrrolidine and piperidine to give complexes analogous to 175 in Me_2SO .⁴⁹⁶ See also ref 497.
- (496) Bunnett, J. F.; Sekiguchi, S.; Smith, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4865.
- (497) Sekiguchi, S.; Bunnett, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 4871.